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## INTRODUCTION



## INTRODUCTION

- Cancer is a devastating disease affecting individuals, their families, and all of society. Its prevention, early detection, and treatment are among the most important challenges the US currently faces.
- Investments in research, screening, and related activities aimed at reducing the incidence and severity of cancer not only change lives, but also generate important economic benefits. Such investments have the potential to both reduce the cost of cancer through improving outcomes and serve as a catalyst for business development in other related industries (such as biomedicine).
- The Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) is helping establish Texas as a center for cancer prevention and research. Through its operations and programs designed to systematically reduce the effects of the disease, CPRIT is not only working toward improving lives of countless persons potentially affected by cancer, but also providing a sizable economic stimulus.
- The Perryman Group (TPG) was asked to quantify the cost of cancer in Texas and well as the economic benefits of CPRIT and its screening/prevention and research programs. This report presents the findings from TPG's analysis.


## Highlights of Study Findings

- The Perryman Group estimates that the annual direct medical costs and morbidity and mortality losses associated with cancer in Texas in 2010 to be approximately $\$ 25.3$
billion, an increase of $\mathbf{1 5 . 8 \%}$ since 2007. A total of 104,141 new cases of cancer are expected to be diagnosed in the state in 2010, with 37,984 cancer deaths projected. When the numerous "multiplier" effects associated with the disease are included, these substantial direct losses represent only a portion of the overall toll on business activity.
- The Perryman Group's analysis indicates a total cost to the Texas economy of some $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 1 . 3}$ billion in reduced annual spending, $\$ 60.7$ billion in output losses per annum, and 691,735 lost jobs from cancer treatment, morbidity, and mortality and the associated spillover effects. The yearly loss in State fiscal revenues is almost $\$ 4.6$ billion, while various local governments experience a combined loss of $\$ 1.9$ billion per annum.
- In FY 2010, CPRIT awarded a total of $\$ 216.1$ million for research and prevention of cancer to entities including universities, hospitals and private companies. About $\$ 165.1$ million of the total was designated for cancer research, while $\$ 21.7$ million was awarded for prevention programs. The second-largest source of cancer research funding in the nation, CPRIT is expected to award $\$ 3$ billion through 2017 to invest in cancer research, prevention programs, and services.
- Even beyond the potentially life-changing influence of spending to reduce the incidence and severity of the disease, this investment in research, screening, and related activities generates substantial economic impacts.
Moreover, the investment has the potential to reduce the cost of cancer through improving outcomes.
- Returns on investments in medical research include jobs created in the private sector, health care costs saved, the value of increased longevity, the value of reduced morbidity and disability, and the benefits of newer medicines and therapies.
- The direct outlays and related "multiplier" effects emanating from CPRIT operations and programs generated a sizable increase in business activity in Texas including \$452.5 million in output and $\mathbf{7 , 0 6 6}$ jobs during the Institute's first year.
- These economic benefits include $\$ 7.3$ million in output and 81 jobs from operations, $\$ 30.1$ million in output and 576 jobs from prevention and screening, and $\$ 415.1$ million in output and 6,408 jobs from research programs.
- The ongoing outlays for CPRIT operations and programs alone generate $\$ 265.6$ million in annual State revenue, as well as $\$ 169.7$ million to various local governments.
- Even beyond these sizable gains in business activity directly stemming from CPRIT investments, improved outcomes from screening and prevention could further enhance the economy.
Over ten years, these outcomes-related screening effects total $\$ 923.4$ million in output and $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 2 1}$ person-years of employment.
- In addition, research sponsored by CPRIT could also generate breakthroughs which lessen the cost of cancer, facilitate the attraction of more researchers, and yield spinoff companies.
- The economic benefits of CPRIT-funded research activity associated compound over time, rising from a current $\$ 328.4$ million in output and $\mathbf{3 , 6 5 8}$ jobs to $\$ 3.2$ billion in output and 35,560 jobs in year 10 . This phenomenon occurs because research benefits are ongoing and continue to provide benefits beyond the initial year of the outlays.
- The cumulative ten-year total effect of secondary research benefits stemming from CPRIT activities
includes $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 . 2}$ billion in output and $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 3 3 1}$ personyears of employment.
- Adding the economic benefits of CPRIT operations, prevention/screening programs, research, outcomes-based prevention/screening, and secondary research yields a total gross impact of the Institute's operations.
- The current total annual impact of all prevention and research programs (including initial outlays and downstream effects) associated with CPRIT on Texas business activity was found to be $\mathbf{\$ 8 5 2 . 3} \mathbf{~ m i l l i o n ~ i n ~}$ output and 11,537 jobs.
- The cumulative effect of CPRIT prevention and research programs on Texas business activity over the first ten years of full funding includes $\$ 23.5$ billion in output and 285,989 jobs.
- Because any State outlay involves positive economic effects, The Perryman Group isolated the impact of CPRIT programs net of the State spending. The results of this phase of the analysis clearly demonstrate the positive effects of the State's investment in CPRIT.
- During Fiscal 2010, the net economic benefits of prevention and research programs associated with the Institute totaled $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 9 . 8}$ million in output and $\mathbf{4 , 4 7 1}$ jobs.
- These effects will grow over time as positive outcomes are realized and benefits compound. The net stimulus is expected to rise to nearly $\$ 3.3$ billion in output and 36,654 jobs by year 10 .
- The net cumulative ten-year impact of all prevention and research programs associated with CPRIT includes gains in Texas business activity of $\$ 18.1$ billion in output and 201,852 person-years of employment.
- This incremental business activity also generates taxes for the State and local governments.
- For Texas, tax receipts associated with CPRIT activities from all sources in the first year of full activity total \$51.2 million, while local public entities receive $\$ 26.4$ million.
- By the tenth year, these annual increases rise to $\$ 261.7$ million and $\$ 116.4$ million, respectively.
- Over an extended time horizon, CPRIT and its programs will likely generate fiscal receipts totaling a substantial multiple of the commitment of public resources (in addition to the notable economic and health benefits).
- TPG also measured the benefits that would occur if CPRIT, in conjunction with other ongoing initiatives, serves as a catalyst for greater economic development in the biomedical and pharmaceutical arena.
- If Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of the US, annual incremental gains in business activity include $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 . 3}$ billion in output (gross product) and 143,173 permanent jobs as of 2035 . There would also be a stimulus of $\$ 676.2$ million in annual State revenue and $\$ 334.1$ million to local governments.
- If Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of California (a large state that has strategically used its academic research capabilities to foster industrial development), the annual gain in business activity in the state would be almost $\$ 17.6$ billion in output and 173,983 permanent jobs by 2035. Incremental State fiscal revenues in such a scenario would include $\mathbf{\$ 8 2 2 . 3}$ million per annum, with local governments receiving an additional $\$ 405.9$ million.
- The ultimate goal of CPRIT is reducing cancer incidence and the associated high human and economic costs. If CPRIT's screening/prevention programs, research advances, and other initiatives reduce the incidence of cancer over time to equal the average of current levels observed in the five states with the lowest incidence, substantial economic benefits would be realized.
- The potential annual impact of this substantial reduction in cancer incidence stemming from the catalytic effects of CPRIT initiatives includes some \$8.7 billion in annual output (gross product) in Texas as of 2035 and 98,796 jobs. Yearly State and local governmental resources would rise by $\mathbf{\$ 6 5 3 . 3} \mathbf{~ m i l l i o n}$ and $\$ 271.4$ million, respectively.
- The benefits of such advances would not be restricted to Texas; they would bring better outcomes throughout the country and, indeed, the entire world. For the US as a whole, reducing cancer prevalence over time to equal the average of current levels of incidence in the five states with the lowest rates total $\$ 168.0$ billion in annual output and 1,871,458 jobs by 2035.
- CPRIT's efforts in the areas of screening/prevention and cancer research stand to lower the cost of the disease in the future-both economically and, more importantly, in human terms. In addition, the Institute's operations and programs provide a sizable economic stimulus now which will only grow in the years to come and represent an excellent return to the commitment of fiscal resources.


## The Perryman Group's Perspective

- TPG is a Texas-based economic research and analysis firm with more than 25 years of experience in assessing the economic impact of corporate expansions, regulatory changes, real estate developments, public policy initiatives, and myriad other types of events affecting business activity.
- The firm has conducted hundreds of impact analyses for the US and Texas economies as well as all Texas metro areas and regions. The firm has maintained an extensive set of economic models for more than two decades, including econometric, impact assessment, demographic, occupational, and real estate absorption models developed to specifically reflect the underlying structure of the Texas economy and its various regions.
- Impact studies have been performed for hundreds of clients including many of the largest corporations in the world, governmental entities at all levels, educational institutions, major health care systems, utilities, and economic development organizations. TPG has extensively analyzed the health care sector, including insurance, cost, affordability, and other areas relevant to the current analysis. From a public policy perspective, studies have been performed related to Medicaid and State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) coverage, wellness initiatives, obesity treatment, and funding for mental health and substance abuse.
- Moreover, the proprietary models developed and maintained by the firm have been used in the analysis of scores of major medical facilities. Representative examples include the Methodist Hospital, Parkland, University Health System, Menninger Clinic, Scott \& White, M. D. Anderson Cancer

Center (including an assessment of its contribution to improved outcomes and the resulting benefits), and the University of Kansas Cancer Center (including an investigation of the benefits of achieving the status of a Comprehensive Cancer Center). Similarly, they have been employed to evaluate educational institutions and specific instructional and research programs for the University of Texas, Texas A\&M University, University of Texas Medical Branch, Baylor University, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, and Baylor College of Medicine.

## Report Approach and Methodology

- This report evaluates the full economic cost of cancer and the impact of CPRIT investments with particular attention given to the return on operations, prevention and screening programs, research supported by CPRIT, and economic development and social gains associated with CPRIT investments.
- The following is a short summary of the underlying methodology used for each of the impacts presented throughout this report. Specific assumptions and additional methodological detail are noted with the corresponding results. Additionally, further explanation of the methods and terms used in this study, including the pertinent input-output and econometric systems, may be found in Appendices A and B .
- The methods used in this study include dynamic input-output assessment making use of TPG's US Multi-Regional Input Assessment System (USMRIAS), which essentially uses extensive survey data, industry information, and a variety of corroborative source materials to create a matrix describing the various goods and services (known as resources or inputs) required to produce one
unit (a dollar's worth) of output for a given sector. Standard economic and fiscal measures (such as output, income, employment, and State revenues) are also quantified.
- The Perryman Group first estimated the economic cost of cancer in terms of Texas business activity including losses stemming from treatment, morbidity, and mortality as well as the associated spillover effects. Data regarding the numbers of Texans with cancer and the associated costs for direct medical expenses, morbidity costs, and mortality are the subject of reports by entities such as the National Institutes of Health, the American Cancer Society, and the Texas Department of State Health Services.
- The overall effect of CPRIT operations on business activity in Texas (including multiplier effects) was estimated using input data regarding direct employment at the Institute.
- The positive economic benefits of CPRIT-supported cancer prevention and screening programs were also assessed, including both the increase in business activity due to the screenings themselves as well as associated benefits from improved health.
- TPG estimated economic returns on research supported by the Institute (including the effects related to the specific outlays, anticipated recruitment efforts for high quality scholars in relevant areas, typical returns on medical research investments, and spinoff companies that surface from such endeavors).
- Some illustrative scenarios related to potential economic development and social gains stemming from the Institute's role as a catalyst for incremental business activity as well as social gains such as the economic value of increased quality of life, longevity, and productivity from improved outcomes were similarly provided.
- The key models used in this analysis include The Perryman Group's Texas Econometric Model (described in Appendix A) as well as the firm's US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System which was used to estimate the total (direct, indirect, and induced) economic effects. Although the models used in this process have been maintained for more than 25 years and are widely used and accepted, all economic models are based on estimates and do not give perfect results.
- Impacts are expressed in terms of key measures of business activity (described more fully in the methodological appendices). In essence, total expenditures (or total spending) measures every dollar that changes hands in the local area as a result of the stimulus. Gross product (or output) is the amount of new production of goods and services that will come about locally as a result of the activity. Personal income is dollars that end up in the hands of people in the area; the vast majority of this derives from the earnings of employees, but payments such as interest and rents are also included. Job gains are expressed in either person-years of employment (for a temporary effect such as construction) or permanent jobs (for an ongoing impact).


## THE ECONOMIC COST OF CANCER IN TEXAS



## THE ECONOMIC COST OF CANCER IN TEXAS

## Overview of the Issue

- Cancer affects the longevity, quality of life, and finances of individuals suffering with the illness.
- Costs associated with cancer include direct medical outlays for treatment and care as well as indirect costs such as disease-related work disability or premature mortality. Prevention, early detection, effective treatment, and medical advances to minimize the consequences of the disease are vital national and, indeed, global priorities.


## Cancer Incidence

- Despite advances in many aspects of cancer prevention and treatment, the number of Americans diagnosed with the disease continues to rise. One factor in this upward trend is the aging of the US population, as cancer incidence increases among older age groups.
- Although it is difficult to determine the precise number of people who have cancer at any given time, the National Cancer Institute estimated that as of January 2006, there were some 11.4 million Americans with a history of cancer, although some were cancerfree at the time. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]- The American Cancer Society estimates that there will be about 1,529,560 new cases of cancer and 569,490 deaths from cancer in the US in $2010 .{ }^{2}$
- In Texas, a total of 104,141 new cases of cancer are expected in 2010, with 37,984 cancer deaths projected. ${ }^{3}$ Like the nation, cancer is the second leading cause of death in the state after cardiovascular disease. ${ }^{4}$


## Cancer and Age

- Anyone can develop cancer, but there are certain risk factors that can increase the likelihood of being diagnosed with cancer such as smoking, alcohol abuse, obesity, poor nutrition, history of cancer in a first-degree relative, race (with blacks having a higher cancer incidence than any other racial group), and gender (with males reporting higher cancer incidence than female). ${ }^{5}$
- The American Cancer Society statistics also demonstrate that the risk of being diagnosed with cancer increases with age. In fact, about $77 \%$ of the people diagnosed with cancer between 2003 and 2007 were 55 years of age or older. ${ }^{6}$

[^1]

- From 2003 to 2007, the median age at initial diagnosis for cancer in all sites was 66 years of age. According to the National Cancer Institute, cancer the incidence rate (per 100,000) for people younger than 20 years of age in 2007 was 17 , while for people over 75 years of age it was 2,271 , or almost 134 times higher. ${ }^{7}$

[^2]

## Cancer Costs

- Cancer affects individuals, businesses, and society as a whole through shortened life spans, lost productivity, increased health care expenditures, and premature mortality.
- The National Institute of Health (NIH) estimated the total overall cost of cancer in 2010 to be $\$ 263.8$ billion including
- direct medical costs of $\$ 102.8$ billion (including the total of all health expenditures),
- indirect morbidity costs (the cost of lost productivity due to illness) of $\$ 20.9$ billion, and
- indirect mortality costs (the cost of lost productivity due to premature death) of $\$ 140.1$ billion. $^{8}$

[^3]- A study directed by the Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) found that the total cost of cancer in the state was roughly $\$ 21.9$ billion in 2007, with $\$ 10.0$ billion in direct medical costs and $\$ 11.8$ billion in indirect costs from lost productivity due to cancer morbidity and mortality. ${ }^{9}$
- These studies clearly portray the very large losses associated with cancer. However, they fail to capture numerous "multiplier" effects associated with the disease and, thus, represent only a portion of the overall toll on business activity.


## The Perryman Group's More Comprehensive Measure of Cancer Cost

- Studies such as those described above reflect only the initial effect of the various categories of cost. However, these losses, in turn, generate further reductions in business activity as measured in the present study.
- The Perryman Group developed a more comprehensive measure of the cost of cancer in terms of Texas business activity. This includes losses stemming from treatment, morbidity, and mortality as well as the associated foregone spillover effects.


## Methods Used

- The cost of cancer includes direct medical outlays for treatment and care and indirect costs such as disease-related work disability or premature mortality. Most studies of cancer costs reflect only the initial effect of the various categories of cost.

[^4]However, these losses, in turn, generate further reductions in business activity. This more comprehensive measure was the approach utilized by The Perryman Group.

- An important source of input data is the Texas Cancer Registry, which includes information regarding treatment costs and income losses attributable to morbidity and mortality. Though this is an excellent source of the necessary input data, it is characterized by a time lag of more than two years. In order to assess the full economic effects as of 2010, TPG updated these estimates using a projection model based on population, overall inflation, and heath care costs. Patterns in mortality and morbidity were also updated using recent data from the American Cancer Society. This segment of the analysis indicates that the annual direct medical costs and morbidity and mortality losses associated with cancer within the state is now estimated at approximately $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 . 3}$ billion, an increase of $15.8 \%$ since 2007.
- Because the treatment cost component represents a loss to various payers, there is a "multiplier" effect if these funds could be redeployed into business activity. To estimate the direct inputs for this segment of the analysis, the actual outlays are allocated based on the current incidence of health care spending across more than 500 industrial and consumer categories utilizing the direct requirements matrix from the impact system described in Appendix B.
- The mortality and morbidity estimates TPG used include productivity assumptions below historical patterns and future projections. Average compensation (rather than per-capita) was used to better capture any disparity between state and national earning patterns. Because the values were computed in terms of lost income, they do not reflect the full extent of the losses to the
economy. Foregone income necessarily means that production, spending, employment, and other measures of economic activity are also foregone. These aggregates were measured using relevant coefficients to capture the relationships among the pertinent variables, as well as data from the Regional Economic Information System of the US Department of Commerce. Because the original approach captures the overall income effects, there are no "multiplier" calculations applied to this segment of the analysis, with the exception of the induced spending derived from the higher earnings. The direct values in this category were assumed to follow standard consumer purchasing patterns for Texas as identified by ACCRA and the US Department of Labor.


## Economic Cost of Cancer in Texas

- The Perryman Group's analysis indicates a total cost to the Texas economy of some $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 1 . 3}$ billion in reduced annual spending, $\$ 60.7$ billion in output losses per annum, and 691,735 lost jobs from cancer treatment, morbidity, and mortality and the associated spillover effects. The yearly loss in State fiscal revenues is almost $\$ 4.6$ billion, while various local governments experience a combined loss of $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 9}$ billion per annum.



## Costs of Cancer to Regions of Texas

- The Perryman Group measured the economic cost of cancer for various regions, metropolitan areas, counties, and legislative districts (Congressional, House, and Senate) of Texas; results of this analysis are included in Appendix C.
- An important element of this segment of the analysis was allocating cancer costs to various geographic areas. The allocations of various categories of direct effects were accomplished based on health spending, cancer incidence, and cancer mortality rates at the county level. The relevant information was obtained from the US Department of Commerce and the National Cancer Institute. The county-level submodels of the USMRIAS reflect the unique industrial composition and characteristics of each county and multi-county area analyzed. They also capture spillover effects across regions. Highlights of
this analysis are provided below, with detailed findings being presented in Appendix C.
- The following map illustrates the estimated economic cost of cancer to Texas metropolitan areas in terms of job losses.


## Economic Cost of Cancer to Texas' Metro Areas:

Estimated Jobs Losses Stemming from the Economic Cost of Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality Associated with Cancer as of 2010

*MD - Metropolitan Division

Source: The Perryman Group

- On a regional basis, the state's most populous areas naturally see the largest economic costs of cancer. The following table indicates the total cost of the disease by Council of Governments Region (defined in the map below).


## Texas Council of Governments Regions



## Current Regions

| 1 - Panhandle | 13 - Heart of Texas |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 - South Plains | 14 - Capital |
| 3 - West Central Texas | 15 - Brazos Valley |
| 4 - North Texas | 16 - Deep East Texas |
| 5 - North Central Texas | 17 - Middle Rio Grande |
| 6 - Texoma | 18 - Alamo |
| 7 - North East Texas | 19 - Golden Crescent |
| 8 - East Texas | 20 - Gulf Coast |
| 9 - Rio Grande | 21 - South East Texas |
| 10 - Permian Basin | 22 - Coastal Bend |
| 11 - Concho Valley | 23 - South Texas |
| 12 - Central Texas | 24 - Lower Rio Grande |

# Economic Cost of Cancer to Texas’ Regions: Total Annual Impact of Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality 

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0}\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group
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## THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE CANCER PREVENTION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS (CPRIT) AND ITS PROGRAMS

- In FY 2010, CPRIT awarded a total of $\$ 216.1$ million for research and prevention of cancer to entities including universities, hospitals and private companies. About \$165.1 million of the total was designated for cancer research, while $\$ 21.7$ million was awarded for prevention programs. The second-largest source of cancer research funding in the nation, CPRIT is expected to award $\$ 3$ billion through 2017 to invest in cancer research, prevention programs, and services.
- Even beyond the potentially life-changing influence of spending to reduce the incidence and severity of the disease, this investment in research, screening, and related activities generates substantial economic impacts. Moreover, the investment has the potential to reduce the cost of cancer through improving outcomes.
- Returns on investments in medical research include jobs created in the private sector, health care costs saved, the value of increased longevity, the value of reduced morbidity and disability, and the benefits of newer medicines and therapies.
- Job creation occurs not only directly through the scientists and staff in the research facilities, but also indirectly through the provision of business services needed by those institutions and other multiplier effects.
- Many studies over an extended period of time support the conclusion that investing in medical and cancer research can yield returns far in excess of initial outlays.


## Methods Used

- Job creation from CPRIT operations occurs not only directly through the scientists and staff in the research facilities, but also indirectly through the provision of business services needed by those institutions and other multiplier effects. Starting with input information regarding employment levels at the Institute, the model (described in Appendix B) was used to estimate the multiplier/spinoff effects stemming from the direct operations of the Institute.
- In the case of the cancer-related health costs saved through screening programs, The Perryman Group utilized available studies of the returns on investment in cancer prevention and screening. These studies also formed the basis for estimates of the potential improvement in outcomes. TPG then used standard measures of productivity and worklife to obtain the likely incremental economic activity associated with reducing the incidence/severity of cancer through early detection.
- Because returns on direct spending for prevention and screening programs were estimated based on available studies of such returns they are unlikely to be specific to Texas or the exact programs offered by the Institute and will be subject to some range of error.
- Returns on investments in medical research include jobs created in the private sector, health care costs saved, the value of
increased longevity, the value of reduced morbidity and disability, and the benefits of newer medicines and therapies.
- Job creation occurs not only directly through the scientists and staff in the research facilities, but also indirectly through the provision of business services needed by those institutions and other multiplier effects. Additionally, revenues from licensing and royalty streams are economic gains generated by research and development facilities.
- TPG calculated the magnitude of these secondary effects based on typical annual rates of return to health-related research, the addition of four researchers per year, and standard patterns in spinoff companies from research outlays (fully adjusted for attrition). Spinoff firms from these investments were estimated using information from the Association of University Technology Managers (AUTM) which was fully adjusted for attrition, as well as data from the US Department of Commerce regarding typical firm size (excluding large pharmaceutical plants).
- The Perryman Group also estimated the outcomes-based economic benefits of CPRIT's programs (such as reduced morbidity and mortality); these results are described in a subsequent section.


## Current Impact of CPRIT Direct Operations, Prevention and Screening, and Research Programs

- The direct outlays and related "multiplier" effects emanating from CPRIT operations and programs generated a sizable increase in business activity in Texas including $\$ 452.5$ million in output and 7,066 jobs during the Institute's first year.


## - These economic benefits include

- $\$ 7.3$ million in output and 81 jobs from operations,
- $\$ 30.1$ million in output and 576 jobs from prevention and screening, and
- $\$ 415.1$ million in output and 6,408 jobs from research programs.



- Over the first 10 years (assuming that the original goals for screening and research are achieve by the third year of the program), the operations, prevention/screening, and research initiatives of CPRIT lead to cumulative economic benefits of some $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 4}$ billion in output (gross product) and 84,137 person-years of employment to the state economy.

| The Ten-Year Impact of CPRIT on Business Activity in Texas <br> (Monetary Values in Millions of 2010 Dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Operations | Prevention <br> \& Screening | Research <br> \& Related <br> Programs | TOTAL |
|  | $\$ 143.121$ | $\$ 674.122$ | $\$ 9,465.129$ | $\$ 10,282.372$ |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 72.597$ | $\$ 390.040$ | $\$ 4,914.525$ | $\$ 5,37.162$ |
| Gross Product | $\$ 49.745$ | $\$ 286.537$ | $\$ 3,404.619$ | $\$, 740.942$ |
| Personal Income | $\$ 18.861$ | $\$ 51.316$ | $\$ 1,294.772$ | $\$ 1,364.948$ |
| Retail Sales | 814 | 7,461 | 75,862 | 84,137 |
| Employment <br> (person-years) | 8 |  |  |  |

- The ongoing outlays for CPRIT operations and programs alone generate $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 5 . 6}$ million in annual State revenue, as well as $\$ 169.7$ million to various local governments.
- Even beyond these sizable gains in business activity directly stemming from CPRIT investments, improved outcomes from screening and prevention could further enhance the economy. Research sponsored by CPRIT could also generate breakthroughs which lessen the cost of cancer, facilitate the attraction of more researchers, and yield spinoff companies. The following sections present findings from TPG's analysis of these incremental effects.


## Outcomes-Related Impact of CPRIT Screening Programs

- An even more important aspect of CPRIT's spending on prevention and screening programs (approximately $\$ 21.7$ million in FY 2010) is the reduced incidence and severity of cancer cases through earlier detection. Many studies have demonstrated that such programs reduce health care costs, morbidity, and mortality.
- TPG estimated the total annual net benefits from screening and prevention to be $\$ 71.4$ million in output and 813 jobs each year (on a net present value basis assuming typical outcomes from available academic studies).

- Over ten years, these outcomes-related screening effects total $\$ 923.4$ million in output and $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 2 1}$ person-years of employment.


## Secondary Impact of CPRIT Research

- The positive economic effects of research activities also go far beyond the initial stimulus. Research leads to better cancer outcomes (and, thus, lower costs), spinoff activity, and the attraction of top researchers (and associated grant inflows).
- Many studies over an extended period of time support the conclusion that investing in medical and cancer research can yield returns far in excess of initial outlays. The Perryman Group utilized studies of the relationship between research and reduced treatment costs (as well as reduced morbidity and mortality) to estimate the positive economic outcomes in these areas stemming from the Institute's research support.
- In addition, the economic benefits of new cancer-related therapeutics, diagnostics, and devices, are estimated based on available empirical analyses of typical rates of return. Direct investments from other sources, including annual rates of federal R\&D expenditures, are also quantified. Estimates of spinoff firms were derived through information sources such as studies by the AUTM and others regarding typical firm formation rates until sufficient time elapses to have actual information. It should be noted that, in the early stages of the program, the anticipated returns are of necessity estimated based on typical responses observed in other contexts. As the results of specific initiatives begin to come to fruition, more specific measures can be developed.
- The economic benefits of CPRIT-funded research activity associated compound over time, rising from a current $\$ 328.4$ million in output and $\mathbf{3 , 6 5 8}$ jobs to $\$ 3.2$ billion in output and 35,560 jobs in year 10. This phenomenon occurs because
research benefits are ongoing and continue to provide benefits beyond the initial year of the outlays.


- The cumulative ten-year total effect of secondary research benefits stemming from CPRIT activities includes \$17.2 billion in output and 191,331 person-years of employment.


## Total Gross Impact of CPRIT Prevention and Research Programs

- Adding the economic benefits of CPRIT operations, prevention/screening programs, research, outcomes-based prevention/screening, and secondary research yields a total gross impact of the Institute's operations. The current total annual impact of all prevention and research programs (including initial outlays and downstream effects) associated with CPRIT on Texas business activity was found to be $\$ 852.3$ million in output and $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 3 7}$ jobs.
- Because of the cumulative nature of the research gains, these yearly effects rise to over $\$ 3.8$ billion in output (gross product) and 45,306 jobs by the tenth year.
- The cumulative effect of CPRIT prevention and research programs on Texas business activity over the first ten years of full funding includes $\$ 23.5$ billion in output and $\mathbf{2 8 5 , 9 8 9}$ jobs.


## Total Net Economic and Fiscal Impact of CPRIT Prevention and Research Programs

- Because any State outlay involves positive economic effects, The Perryman Group isolated the impact of CPRIT programs net of the State spending.
- The results of this phase of the analysis clearly demonstrate the positive effects of the State's investment in CPRIT. During Fiscal 2010, the net economic benefits of prevention and research programs associated with the Institute totaled $\$ 399.8$ million in output and $\mathbf{4 , 4 7 1}$ jobs.

- These effects will grow over time as positive outcomes are realized and benefits compound. The net stimulus is expected to rise to nearly $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 3}$ billion in output and $\mathbf{3 6 , 6 5 4}$ jobs by year 10.
- The net cumulative ten-year impact of all prevention and research programs associated with CPRIT includes gains in Texas business activity of $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 . 1}$ billion in output and 201,852 person-years of employment.
- This incremental business activity also generates taxes for the State and local governments.
- For Texas, tax receipts associated with CPRIT activities from all sources in the first year of full activity total \$51.2 million, while local public entities receive $\$ 26.4$ million.
- By the tenth year, these annual increases rise to \$261.7 million and $\$ 116.4$ million, respectively.
- Over the entire ten-year period, the total returns include $\$ 1.557$ billion in State fiscal resources and $\$ 714.8$ million to local taxing authorities.
- It should also be noted that, even in the period after the CPRIT program is concluded, the ongoing research benefits will continue to generate a yearly stimulus of $\$ 251.0$ million in State revenues and $\$ 155.5$ million for local governments (in constant 2010 dollars).
- Thus, over an extended time horizon, the project will likely generate fiscal receipts totaling a substantial multiple of the commitment of public resources (in addition to the notable economic and health benefits). To the extent that it generates any of the potential economic benefits illustrated in the following section, the positive effects will be even more marked.


# POTENTIAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CANCER PREVENTION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS 

## POTENTIAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CANCER PRVENTION AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF TEXAS

- TPG measured the benefits that would occur if CPRIT, in conjunction with other ongoing initiatives, serves as a catalyst for greater economic development in the biomedical and pharmaceutical arena.
- TPG also quantified the gains that would occur in Texas and the US if research breakthroughs that were facilitated by CPRIT funding were able to reduce cancer incidence in the state and nation over time to a level equal the current rate of the five states with the lowest prevalence.


## Methods Used

- Illustrations of potential economic development and societal gains are derived from analysis of the likely range of potential outcomes. They are forward-looking in nature, and more appropriately measured over a long time horizon. Inputs are based on reputable academic studies; nonetheless, they are subject to a range of error and changing conditions can affect actual results. Although the models used in this process have been maintained for more than 25 years and are widely used and accepted, all economic models are based on estimates and do not give perfect results.
- The Perryman Group developed scenarios to illustrate the potential economic development effects of Institute activities and measure gains in business activity above baseline projections.
- Scenarios involve the economic stimulus associated with a shift in Texas' relative position in industries related to the Institute (such as the biomedical industry cluster). The scenarios chosen are based on indications of the catalytic effect of the Institute (such as new company locations, related industrial development, and so on).
- The ultimate goal of CPRIT is reducing cancer incidence. As noted, the disease involves a high human and economic cost. The Perryman Group developed scenarios to illustrate the potential economic benefit of reducing cancer incidence in Texas. Scenarios measure shifts in Texas' cancer incidence over time to the levels observed in other states.


## Impact Results: Catalyst for Economic Development

- An important role of CPRIT activity is as a catalyst for economic development. Investments in cancer research can be crucial to attracting top researchers and startup companies, which can later go on to grow into larger firms within the state.
- As this process occurs, supplier networks, training programs, related companies, and other resources tend to congregate, thus resulting in the establishment of a cluster of economic activity. Given the state's efforts to attract biomedical industries, CPRIT activity could serve as an impetus for a major concentration of emerging biomedical production sectors.
- The Perryman Group developed two potential scenarios to illustrate the potential economic development effects of CPRIT initiatives. Only incremental gains above baseline projections (as derived from the Texas Econometric Model) are included.


## Texas Achieves US Concentration in Biomedical Industries

- Scenario I assumes Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of the US.
- Under these assumptions, annual incremental gains in business activity include $\$ 14.3$ billion in output (gross product) and 143,173 permanent jobs as of 2035 . There would also be a stimulus of $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 6 . 2}$ million in annual State revenue and $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 4 . 1}$ million to local governments.

*Scenariol - This scenario assumes that Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of the US. Only incremental gains above baseline projections are included. Source: The Perryman Group


## Texas Achieves California Concentration in Biomedical Industries

- Scenario II presumes Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of California. While there are certainly states with a higher relative presence in these sectors, California is representative of a large state that has strategically used its academic research capabilities to foster industrial development. The CPRIT initiative offers Texas an opportunity to leverage research into an enhanced presence in associated industries such as biomedicine and pharmaceuticals in a similar manner.
- The Perryman Group estimates that if Texas achieved a California-level concentration in biomedical industries, the
annual gain in business activity in the state would be almost \$17.6 billion in output and 173,983 permanent jobs by 2035.

- Incremental State fiscal revenues in such a scenario would include $\$ 822.3$ million per annum, with local governments receiving an additional $\$ 405.9$ million.


## Impact Results: Substantial Reduction in Cancer Incidence

- The ultimate goal of CPRIT is reducing cancer incidence and the associated high human and economic costs.
- If CPRIT's screening/prevention programs, research advances, and other initiatives reduce the incidence of cancer over time to equal the average of current levels observed in the five states
with the lowest incidence, substantial economic benefits would be realized.
- The potential annual impact of this substantial reduction in cancer incidence stemming from the catalytic effects of CPRIT initiatives includes some $\$ 8.7$ billion in annual output (gross product) in Texas as of 2035 and $\mathbf{9 8 , 7 9 6}$ jobs.

- Yearly State and local governmental resources would rise by \$653.3 million and \$271.4 million, respectively.
- The benefits of such advances would not be restricted to Texas; they would bring better outcomes throughout the country and, indeed, the entire world. For the US as a whole, reducing cancer prevalence over time to equal the average of current levels of incidence in the five states with the lowest rates total \$168.0 billion in annual output and $1,871,458$ jobs by 2035.

- Clearly, the role of CPRIT and its programs in reducing cancer incidence involves the potential for substantial economic benefits. Reducing the tremendous cost of cancer would lead to gains in business activity across the US not to mention the immeasurable benefits to those who would otherwise be devastated by the disease.


## CONCLUSION



## CONCLUSION

- The human and economic costs of cancer are extremely high. The disease can be devastating to quality of life and financial stability of individuals and families.
- The aggregate economic costs stemming from treatment, morbidity, and mortality include some $\$ 60.7$ billion in output (gross product) and losses of more than 691,700 jobs in the state of Texas.
- The Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas is playing a crucial role in the war on cancer. Through its operations, screening/prevention efforts, and research programs, CPRIT is generating sizable economic stimulus including some $\$ 452.5$ million in output (gross product) and almost 7,100 jobs. Moreover, the Institute's efforts stand to improve outcomes related to cancer prevention and treatment.
- Medical research and prevention programs have been shown to reduce incidence and enhance outcomes. Given the very high costs of cancer, reductions in treatment expenses, morbidity, and mortality stand to bring notable economic benefits.
- The Perryman Group estimates that over the next 10 years, CPRIT's operations and programs could generate economic benefits of some $\$ 5.4$ billion in output (gross product) and 84,137 jobs.
- Benefits of enhanced cancer prevention and screening (such as lower treatment costs, morbidity, and mortality) lead to additional gains in business activity, as do better outcomes due to discoveries enabled through CPRIT research programs. Finally,
the Institute's role as a potential catalyst for development of Texas' biomedical industries could lead to substantial economic gains.
- CPRIT's efforts in the areas of screening/prevention and cancer research stand to lower the cost of the disease in the future-both economically and, more importantly, in human terms. In addition, the Institute's operations and programs provide a sizable economic stimulus now which will only grow in the years to come and represent an excellent return to the commitment of fiscal resources.


## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A: <br> Texas Econometric Model Methodology

## The Texas Econometric Model

## Overview

- This Appendix provides a detailed methodological overview of the Texas Econometric Model, which was to provide baseline forecasts for the various scenarios used in the present analysis. The system was developed by Dr. M. Ray Perryman, President and CEO of The Perryman Group (TPG) approximately 30 years ago has been consistently maintained and updated since that time. It is formulated in an internally consistent manner and is designed to permit the integration of relevant global, national, state, and local factors into the projection process. It is the result of more than three decades of continuing research in econometrics, economic theory, statistical methods, and key policy issues and behavioral patterns, as well as intensive, ongoing study of all aspects of the global, US, Texas, and Waco economies. It is extensively used by scores of federal and State governmental entities on an ongoing basis, as well as hundreds of major corporations.
- This section describes the forecasting process in a comprehensive manner, focusing on both the modeling and the supplemental analysis. The overall methodology, while certainly not ensuring perfect foresight, permits an enormous body of relevant information to impact the economic outlook in a systematic manner.


## Model Logic and Structure

- The Texas Econometric Model revolves around a core system which projects output (real and nominal), income (real and nominal), and employment by industry in a simultaneous manner. For purposes of illustration, it is useful to initially consider the employment functions. Essentially, employment within the system is a derived demand relationship obtained from a neo-Classical production function. The expressions are augmented to include dynamic temporal adjustments to changes in relative factor input costs, output and (implicitly) productivity, and technological progress over time. Thus, the typical equation includes output, the relative real cost of labor and capital, dynamic lag structures, and a technological adjustment parameter. The functional form is logarithmic, thus preserving the theoretical consistency with the neo-Classical formulation.
- The income segment of the model is divided into wage and non-wage components. The wage equations, like their employment counterparts, are individually estimated at the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) level of aggregation. Hence, income by place of work is measured for approximately 90 production categories. The wage equations measure real compensation, with the form of the variable structure differing between "basic" and "non-basic."
- The basic industries, comprised primarily of the various components of Mining, Agriculture, and Manufacturing, are export-oriented, i.e., they bring external dollars into the area and form the core of the economy. The production of these sectors typically flows into national and international markets; hence, the labor markets are influenced by conditions in areas beyond the borders of the particular
region. Thus, real (inflation-adjusted) wages in the basic industry are expressed as a function of the corresponding national rates, as well as measures of local labor market conditions (the reciprocal of the unemployment rate), dynamic adjustment parameters, and ongoing trends.
- The "non-basic" sectors are somewhat different in nature, as the strength of their labor markets is linked to the health of the local export sectors. Consequently, wages in these industries are related to those in the basic segment of the economy. The relationship also includes the local labor market measures contained in the basic wage equations.
- Note that compensation rates in the export or "basic" sectors provide a key element of the interaction of the regional economies with national and international market phenomena, while the "non-basic" or local industries are strongly impacted by area production levels. Given the wage and employment equations, multiplicative identities in each industry provide expressions for total compensation; these totals may then be aggregated to determine aggregate wage and salary income. Simple linkage equations are then estimated for the calculation of personal income by place of work.
- The non-labor aspects of personal income are modeled at the regional level using straightforward empirical expressions relating to national performance, dynamic responses, and evolving temporal patterns. In some instances (such as dividends, rents, and others) national variables (for example, interest rates) directly enter the forecasting system. These factors have numerous other implicit linkages into the system resulting from their simultaneous interaction with other phenomena in national and international markets which are explicitly included in various expressions.
- The output or gross area product expressions are also developed at the 3-digit NAICS level. Regional output for basic industries is linked to national performance in the relevant industries, local and national production in key related sectors, relative area and national labor costs in the industry, dynamic adjustment parameters, and ongoing changes in industrial interrelationships (driven by technological changes in production processes).
- Output in the non-basic sectors is modeled as a function of basic production levels, output in related local support industries (if applicable), dynamic temporal adjustments, and ongoing patterns. The inter-industry linkages are obtained from the input-output (impact assessment) system which is part of the overall integrated modeling structure maintained by The Perryman Group. Note that the dominant component of the econometric system involves the simultaneous estimation and projection of output (real and nominal), income (real and nominal), and employment at a disaggregated industrial level. This process, of necessity, also produces projections of regional price deflators by industry. These values are affected by both national pricing patterns and local cost variations and permit changes in prices to impact other aspects of economic behavior. Income is converted from real to nominal terms using Texas Consumer Price Index, which fluctuates in response to national pricing patterns and unique local phenomena.
- Several other components of the model are critical to the forecasting process. The demographic module includes (1) a linkage equation between wage and
salary (establishment) employment and household employment, (2) a labor force participation rate function, and (3) a complete population system with endogenous migration. Given household employment, labor force participation (which is a function of economic conditions and evolving patterns of worker preferences), and the working age population, the unemployment rate and level become identities.
- The population system uses Census information, fertility rates, and life tables to determine the "natural" changes in population by age group. Migration, the most difficult segment of population dynamics to track, is estimated in relation to relative regional and extra-regional economic conditions over time. Because evolving economic conditions determine migration in the system, population changes are allowed to interact simultaneously with overall economic conditions. Through this process, migration is treated as endogenous to the system, thus allowing population to vary in accordance with relative business performance (particularly employment).
- Retail sales is related to income, interest rates, dynamic adjustments, and patterns in consumer behavior on a store group basis. Inflation at the state level relates to national patterns, indicators of relative economic conditions, and ongoing trends. As noted earlier, prices are endogenous to the system.
- A final significant segment of the forecasting system relates to real estate absorption and activity. The short-term demand for various types of property is determined by underlying economic and demographic factors, with short-term adjustments to reflect the current status of the pertinent building cycle. In some instances, this portion of the forecast requires integration with the Multi-Regional Industry-Occupation System which is maintained by The Perryman Group.
- The overall Texas Econometric Model contains numerous additional specifications, and individual expressions are modified to reflect alternative lag structures, empirical properties of the estimates, simulation requirements, and similar phenomena. Moreover, it is updated on an ongoing basis as new data releases become available. Nonetheless, the above synopsis offers a basic understanding of the overall structure and underlying logic of the system.


## Model Simulation and Multi-Regional Structure

- The initial phase of the simulation process is the execution of a standard nonlinear algorithm for the state system and that of each of the individual sub-areas. The external assumptions are derived from scenarios developed through national and international models and extensive analysis by The Perryman Group. The US model, which follows the basic structure outlined above, was used to some extent in the current analysis to define the demand for domestically produced goods on a per capita basis.
- Once the initial simulations are completed, they are merged into a single system with additive constraints and interregional flows. Using information on minimum regional requirements, import needs, export potential, and locations, it becomes possible to balance the various forecasts into a mathematically consistent set of results. This process is, in effect, a disciplining exercise with regard to the individual regional (including metropolitan and rural) systems. By compelling equilibrium across all regions and sectors, the algorithm ensures that the patterns
in state activity are reasonable in light of smaller area dynamics and, conversely, that the regional outlooks are within plausible performance levels for the state as a whole.
- The iterative simulation process has the additional property of imposing a global convergence criterion across the entire multi-regional system, with balance being achieved simultaneously on both a sectoral and a geographic basis. This approach is particularly critical on non-linear dynamic systems, as independent simulations of individual systems often yield unstable, non-convergent outcomes.
- It should be noted that the underlying data for the modeling and simulation process are frequently updated and revised by the various public and private entities compiling them. Whenever those modifications to the database occur, they bring corresponding changes to the structural parameter estimates of the various systems and the solutions to the simulation and forecasting system. The multi-regional version of the Texas Econometric Model is re-estimated and simulated with each such data release, thus providing a constantly evolving and current assessment of state and local business activity.


## The Final Forecast

- The process described above is followed to produce an initial set of projections. Through the comprehensive multi-regional modeling and simulation process, a systematic analysis is generated which accounts for both historical patterns in economic performance and inter-relationships and best available information on the future course of pertinent external factors. While the best available techniques and data are employed in this effort, they are not capable of directly capturing "street sense," i.e., the contemporaneous and often non-quantifiable information that can materially affect economic outcomes. In order to provide a comprehensive approach to the prediction of business conditions, it is necessary to compile and assimilate extensive material regarding current events and factors both across the state of Texas and elsewhere.
- This critical aspect of the forecasting methodology includes activities such as (1) daily review of hundreds of financial and business publications and electronic information sites; (2) review of all major newspapers in the state on a daily basis; (3) dozens of hours of direct telephone interviews with key business and political leaders in all parts of the state; (4) face-to-face discussions with representatives of major industry groups; and (5) frequent site visits to the various regions of the state. The insights arising from this "fact finding" are analyzed and evaluated for their effects on the likely course of the future activity.
- Another vital information resource stems from the firm's ongoing interaction with key players in the international, domestic, and state economic scenes. Such activities include visiting with corporate groups on a regular basis and being regularly involved in the policy process at all levels. The firm is also an active participant in many major corporate relocations, economic development initiatives, and regulatory proceedings.
- Once organized, this information is carefully assessed and, when appropriate, independently verified. The impact on specific communities and sectors that is distinct from what is captured by the econometric system is then factored into the
forecast analysis. For example, the opening or closing of a major facility, particularly in a relatively small area, can cause a sudden change in business performance that will not be accounted for by either a modeling system based on historical relationships or expected (primarily national and international) factors.
- The final step in the forecasting process is the integration of this material into the results in a logical and mathematically consistent manner. In some instances, this task is accomplished through "constant adjustment factors" which augment relevant equations. In other cases, anticipated changes in industrial structure or regulatory parameters are initially simulated within the context of the MultiRegional Impact Assessment System to estimate their ultimate effects by sector. Those findings are then factored into the simulation as constant adjustments on a distributed temporal basis. Once this scenario is formulated, the extended system is again balanced across regions and sectors through an iterative simulation algorithm analogous to that described in the preceding section.


# APPENDIX B: <br> US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System Methodology 

## US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System

- The basic modeling technique employed in this study is known as input-output analysis. This methodology essentially uses extensive survey data, industry information, and a variety of corroborative source materials to create a matrix describing the various goods and services (known as resources or inputs) required to produce one unit (a dollar's worth) of output for a given sector. Once the base information is compiled, it can be mathematically simulated to generate evaluations of the magnitude of successive rounds of activity involved in the overall production process.
- There are two essential steps in conducting an input-output analysis once the system is operational. The first major endeavor is to accurately define the levels of direct activity to be evaluated. This aspect of the process was described within the report. The second step is the simulation of the input-output system to measure overall economic effects. In the case of a prospective evaluation, it is necessary to first calculate reasonable estimates of the direct activity.
- Once the direct input values were determined, the present study was conducted within the context of the US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System (USMRIAS) which was developed and is maintained by The Perryman Group. This model has been used in hundreds of diverse applications across the country and has an excellent reputation for accuracy and credibility. In addition, the model has been in operation and continually updated for over two decades. The systems used in the current simulations reflect the unique industrial structures of the relevant economies (Texas and its various regions, metropolitan areas, and counties).
- The USMRIAS is somewhat similar in format to the Input-Output Model of the United States and the Regional Input-Output Modeling System, both of which are maintained by the US Department of Commerce. The model developed by TPG, however, incorporates several important enhancements and refinements. Specifically, the expanded system includes (1) comprehensive 500 -sector coverage for any county, multi-county, or urban region; (2) calculation of both total expenditures and value-added by industry and region; (3) direct estimation of expenditures for multiple basic input choices (expenditures, output, income, or employment); (4) extensive parameter localization; (5) price adjustments for real and nominal assessments by sectors and areas; (6) measurement of the induced impacts associated with payrolls and consumer spending; (7) embedded modules to estimate multi-sectoral direct spending effects; (8) estimation of retail spending activity by consumers; and (9) comprehensive linkage and integration capabilities with a wide variety of econometric, real estate, occupational, and fiscal impact models. The models used for the present investigation have been thoroughly tested for reasonableness and historical reliability.
- As noted earlier, the impact assessment (input-output) process essentially estimates the amounts of all types of goods and services required to produce one unit (a dollar's worth) of a specific type of output. For purposes of illustrating the nature of the system, it is useful to think of inputs and outputs in dollar (rather than physical) terms. As an example, the construction of a new building will require
specific dollar amounts of lumber, glass, concrete, hand tools, architectural services, interior design services, paint, plumbing, and numerous other elements. Each of these suppliers must, in turn, purchase additional dollar amounts of inputs. This process continues through multiple rounds of production, thus generating subsequent increments to business activity. The initial process of building the facility is known as the direct effect. The ensuing transactions in the output chain constitute the indirect effect.
- Another pattern that arises in response to any direct economic activity comes from the payroll dollars received by employees at each stage of the production cycle. As workers are compensated, they use some of their income for taxes, savings, and purchases from external markets. A substantial portion, however, is spent locally on food, clothing, healthcare services, utilities, housing, recreation, and other items. Typical purchasing patterns in the relevant areas are obtained from the ACCRA Cost of Living Index, a privately compiled inter-regional measure which has been widely used for several decades, and the Consumer Expenditure Survey of the US Department of Labor. These initial outlays by area residents generate further secondary activity as local providers acquire inputs to meet this consumer demand. These consumer spending impacts are known as the induced effect. The USMRIAS is designed to provide realistic, yet conservative, estimates of these phenomena.
- Sources for information used in this process include the Bureau of the Census, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Regional Economic Information System of the US Department of Commerce, and other public and private sources. The pricing data are compiled from the US Department of Labor and the US Department of Commerce. The verification and testing procedures make use of extensive public and private sources. Note that all monetary values, unless otherwise noted, are given in constant (2010) dollars to eliminate the effects of inflation.
- The USMRIAS generates estimates of the effect on several measures of business activity. The most comprehensive measure of economic activity used in this study is Total Expenditures. This measure incorporates every dollar that changes hands in any transaction. For example, suppose a farmer sells wheat to a miller for $\$ 0.50$; the miller then sells flour to a baker for $\$ 0.75$; the baker, in turn, sells bread to a customer for $\$ 1.25$. The Total Expenditures recorded in this instance would be $\$ 2.50$, that is, $\$ 0.50+\$ 0.75+\$ 1.25$. This measure is quite broad, but is useful in that (1) it reflects the overall interplay of all industries in the economy, and (2) some key fiscal variables such as sales taxes are linked to aggregate spending.
- A second measure of business activity frequently employed in this analysis is that of Gross Product. This indicator represents the regional equivalent of Gross Domestic Product, the most commonly reported statistic regarding national economic performance. In other words, the Gross Product of, say, Amarillo is the amount of US output that is produced in that area. It is defined as the value of all final goods produced in a given region for a specific period of time. Stated differently, it captures the amount of value-added (gross area product) over intermediate goods and services at each stage of the production process, that is, it eliminates the double counting in the Total Expenditures concept. Using the
example above, the Gross Product is $\$ 1.25$ (the value of the bread) rather than $\$ 2.50$. Alternatively, it may be viewed as the sum of the value-added by the farmer, $\$ 0.50$; the miller, $\$ 0.25$ ( $\$ 0.75-\$ 0.50$ ); and the baker, $\$ 0.50$ ( $\$ 1.25$ $\$ 0.75)$. The total value-added is, therefore, $\$ 1.25$, which is equivalent to the final value of the bread. In many industries, the primary component of value-added is the wage and salary payments to employees.
- The third gauge of economic activity used in this evaluation is Personal Income. As the name implies, Personal Income is simply the income received by individuals, whether in the form of wages, salaries, interest, dividends, proprietors' profits, or other sources. It may thus be viewed as the segment of overall impacts which flows directly to the citizenry.
- The fourth measure, Retail Sales, represents the component of Total Expenditures which occurs in retail outlets (general merchandise stores, automobile dealers and service stations, building materials stores, food stores, drugstores, restaurants, and so forth). Retail Sales is a commonly used measure of consumer activity.
- The final aggregates used are Permanent Jobs and Person-Years of Employment. The Person-Years of Employment measure reveals the full-time equivalent jobs generated by an activity. A person-year is simply the equivalent of a person working for a year. As an example, it could be a carpenter employed for five months, a mason for three months, and a painter for four months. In the case of a construction project, these are typically spread over the course of the construction and development phase. It should be noted that, unlike the dollar values described above, Permanent Jobs is a "stock" rather than a "flow." In other words, if an area produces $\$ 1$ million in output in 2007 and $\$ 1$ million in 2008, it is appropriate to say that $\$ 2$ million was achieved in the 2007-2008 period. If the same area has 100 people working in 2007 and 100 in 2008, it only has 100 Permanent Jobs. When a flow of jobs is measured, such as in a construction project or a cumulative assessment over multiple years, it is appropriate to measure employment in Person-Years (a person working for a year). This concept is distinct from Permanent Jobs, which anticipates that the relevant positions will be maintained on a continuing basis.


## APPENDIX C: Detailed Sectoral Results

## The Economic Cost of Cancer in Texas

## The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity in Texas Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ (\text { Permanent } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Comptroller's Economic Region Results

| Economic Region | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Plains | (\$4,182,238,590) | (\$2,183,683,883) | (\$1,329,533,090) | $(\$ 938,898,932)$ | -25,728 |
| Northwest Texas | (\$3,743,026,769) | (\$1,948,551,995) | (\$1,179,107,832) | (\$859,873,414) | -22,994 |
| Metroplex | (\$31,044,321,190) | (\$15,583,244,297) | (\$9,499,884,022) | (\$5,818,391,033) | -174,401 |
| Upper East Texas | (\$7,545,834,312) | (\$3,871,859,669) | (\$2,364,097,828) | (\$1,712,018,270) | -46,169 |
| Southeast Texas | (\$5,070,863,227) | (\$2,640,143,050) | (\$1,659,401,104) | (\$1,230,807,296) | -32,548 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$30,043,061,074) | (\$14,091,835,974) | (\$8,545,871,726) | (\$4,678,768,702) | -147,434 |
| Capital | (\$6,083,794,474) | (\$3,216,673,515) | (\$1,989,420,926) | (\$1,331,783,287) | -38,097 |
| Central Texas | (\$5,525,692,941) | (\$2,870,452,584) | (\$1,764,042,684) | (\$1,300,161,636) | -35,349 |
| Alamo | (\$11,450,061,496) | (\$5,845,677,285) | (\$3,589,406,223) | (\$2,400,182,839) | -68,928 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$4,650,361,178) | (\$2,283,707,035) | (\$1,390,568,743) | (\$984,575,061) | -26,425 |
| South Texas Border | (\$5,043,119,636) | (\$2,713,695,420) | (\$1,669,622,766) | (\$1,251,614,412) | -33,921 |
| West Texas | (\$3,036,528,616) | (\$1,527,620,812) | (\$915,948,492) | (\$654,037,851) | -17,436 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$3,876,153,633) | (\$1,933,980,562) | (\$1,169,616,656) | (\$759,258,340) | -22,303 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$121,295,057,134) | $(\$ 60,711,126,081)$ | (\$37,066,522,092) | (\$23,920,371,075) | -691,735 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Council of Governments (COG) Region Results 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & & & \\ \hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { COG } & & & & \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and Rural Texas Results 

| MSA | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene | (\$1,080,392,973) | (\$543,527,421) | $(\$ 326,658,117)$ | (\$217,145,836) | -6,172 |
| Amarillo | (\$1,416,421,951) | (\$743,966,387) | (\$451,210,467) | (\$306,367,058) | -8,626 |
| Austin-Round Rock | (\$5,158,472,673) | (\$2,751,801,569) | (\$1,709,960,489) | (\$1,127,749,663) | -32,582 |
| Beaumont-Port Arthur | (\$2,565,308,276) | (\$1,314,085,964) | (\$835,051,616) | (\$595,357,570) | -15,976 |
| Browns ville-Harlingen | (\$1,448,513,641) | (\$757,916,293) | (\$465,048,898) | (\$339,941,019) | -9,458 |
| College Station-Bryan | (\$850,748,263) | (\$440,689,481) | (\$269,412,190) | (\$195,436,873) | -5,329 |
| Corpus Christi | (\$2,770,165,466) | (\$1,318,078,825) | (\$802,346,429) | (\$542,617,764) | -14,937 |
| Dallas-Plano-Irving MD* | (\$18,212,455,957) | (\$9,068,092,646) | (\$5,497,636,819) | (\$3,170,313,602) | -98,518 |
| Fort Worth-Arlington MD* | (\$10,433,152,205) | (\$5,279,536,636) | (\$3,234,947,623) | (\$2,073,730,827) | -60,523 |
| El Paso | (\$3,771,786,278) | (\$1,877,551,425) | (\$1,134,986,995) | (\$730,629,118) | -21,589 |
| Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown | (\$29,177,167,427) | (\$13,640,500,628) | (\$8,268,251,846) | (\$4,454,438,748) | -141,782 |
| Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood | (\$1,562,314,930) | (\$850,369,878) | (\$532,540,429) | (\$394,558,128) | -10,771 |
| Laredo | (\$612,166,862) | (\$324,577,698) | (\$194,311,054) | (\$153,101,040) | -3,887 |
| Longview | (\$1,457,540,287) | (\$755,242,223) | (\$464,420,271) | (\$319,988,735) | -8,836 |
| Lubbock | (\$1,473,804,499) | (\$788,922,932) | (\$486,263,882) | (\$323,582,512) | -9,381 |
| McAllen-Edinburg-Mission | (\$2,068,965,461) | (\$1,133,322,485) | (\$704,110,116) | (\$508,069,337) | -14,205 |
| Midland | (\$593,606,626) | (\$302,454,974) | (\$180,503,079) | (\$121,894,292) | -3,320 |
| Odessa | (\$747,639,730) | (\$376,825,189) | (\$231,556,460) | (\$157,578,932) | -4,277 |
| San Angelo | (\$683,808,318) | (\$338,384,840) | (\$198,788,843) | (\$141,720,952) | -3,922 |
| San Antonio | (\$10,619,916,899) | (\$5,431,116,336) | (\$3,339,212,947) | (\$2,212,721,130) | -63,935 |
| Sherman-Denison | (\$764,610,766) | (\$410,939,757) | (\$257,695,067) | (\$199,236,276) | -5,278 |
| Texarkana | (\$579,576,819) | (\$314,454,616) | (\$196,823,567) | (\$143,003,010) | -3,910 |
| Tyler | (\$1,405,690,223) | (\$704,176,199) | $(\$ 416,346,187)$ | (\$288,521,182) | -7,952 |
| Victoria | (\$699,855,377) | $(\$ 344,612,500)$ | (\$211,242,224) | (\$147,102,936) | -3,932 |
| Waco | (\$1,539,982,858) | (\$764,109,349) | (\$463,340,898) | $(\$ 317,195,524)$ | -9,120 |
| Wichita Falls | (\$939,442,835) | (\$507,152,125) | (\$309,162,027) | (\$218,970,573) | -5,970 |
| Rural Area | (\$18,661,549,535) | (\$9,628,717,705) | (\$5,884,693,553) | (\$4,519,398,441) | -117,546 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$121,295,057,134) | $(\$ 60,711,126,081)$ | $(\$ 37,066,522,092)$ | $(\$ 23,920,371,075)$ | -691,735 |

*Metropolitan Division
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results 

| County | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson | $(\$ 372,876,600)$ | $(\$ 203,151,405)$ | (\$125,080,045) | (\$86,428,772) | -2,406 |
| Andrews | (\$66,416,014) | (\$34,363,964) | (\$20,566,916) | (\$14,377,500) | -380 |
| Angelina | (\$509,450,625) | (\$267,258,851) | (\$166,218,215) | (\$125,762,633) | -3,343 |
| Aransas | (\$253,449,250) | (\$119,510,911) | $(\$ 70,788,349)$ | $(\$ 52,844,446)$ | -1,360 |
| Archer | (\$41,406,988) | $(\$ 21,416,582)$ | (\$12,497,326) | (\$9,779,072) | -251 |
| Armstrong | (\$12,719,160) | $(\$ 6,376,502)$ | $(\$ 3,902,193)$ | $(\$ 2,172,684)$ | -70 |
| Atascosa | (\$239,344,039) | (\$117,206,289) | (\$70,992,535) | (\$48,755,296) | -1,315 |
| Austin | (\$186,147,629) | (\$91,433,709) | (\$57,036,114) | (\$33,344,408) | -994 |
| Bailey | (\$26,328,761) | (\$14,071,042) | (\$8,556,068) | (\$6,810,605) | -171 |
| Bandera | $(\$ 151,265,182)$ | (\$73,751,770) | (\$43,985,418) | $(\$ 35,462,104)$ | -895 |
| Bastrop | (\$377,803,931) | (\$191,526,979) | (\$117,182,036) | (\$88,498,013) | -2,359 |
| Baylor | (\$43,895,328) | (\$23,488,599) | (\$14,357,526) | (\$10,341,900) | -279 |
| Bee | (\$125,593,684) | (\$66,925,857) | (\$40,554,367) | (\$31,824,311) | -821 |
| Bell | (\$1,170,524,625) | $(\$ 645,561,596)$ | (\$406,784,757) | (\$293,500,013) | -8,138 |
| Bexar | (\$8,494,240,738) | (\$4,368,231,582) | (\$2,695,448,694) | (\$1,714,178,343) | -50,889 |
| Blanco | (\$58,290,536) | (\$28,522,281) | (\$16,971,883) | (\$13,124,303) | -347 |
| Borden | (\$14,069,480) | (\$7,095,491) | (\$4,136,158) | (\$2,895,065) | -73 |
| Bosque | $(\$ 130,353,142)$ | (\$67,042,405) | (\$41,425,368) | (\$29,006,904) | -812 |
| Bowie | (\$579,576,819) | (\$314,454,616) | $(\$ 196,823,567)$ | (\$143,003,010) | -3,910 |
| Brazoria | (\$1,312,586,819) | $(\$ 650,632,560)$ | $(\$ 404,501,756)$ | (\$300,387,941) | -7,785 |
| Brazos | (\$620,327,102) | (\$319,787,258) | (\$195,009,983) | (\$133,861,581) | -3,808 |
| Brewster | $(\$ 45,428,421)$ | $(\$ 25,601,563)$ | (\$15,964,425) | (\$11,794,251) | -319 |
| Briscoe | (\$9,770,897) | $(\$ 4,819,128)$ | (\$2,912,368) | $(\$ 2,248,384)$ | -57 |
| Brooks | $(\$ 29,555,053)$ | (\$16,154,818) | (\$10,047,270) | $(\$ 8,561,224)$ | -207 |
| Brown | (\$237,741,421) | (\$132,887,761) | (\$82,719,688) | (\$69,056,170) | -1,756 |
| Burleson | (\$117,466,549) | $(\$ 63,020,143)$ | (\$38,655,186) | (\$30,415,641) | -764 |
| Burnet | (\$307,276,401) | (\$151,776,717) | (\$91,423,958) | (\$65,924,335) | -1,787 |
| Caldwell | (\$216,918,796) | (\$109,694,147) | (\$67,340,767) | (\$48,924,919) | -1,318 |
| Calhoun | $(\$ 81,681,950)$ | (\$35,004,958) | (\$21,402,917) | (\$14,907,011) | -393 |
| Callahan | $(\$ 108,906,517)$ | (\$53,055,520) | (\$31,321,971) | (\$23,938,667) | -617 |
| Cameron | (\$1,448,513,641) | (\$757,916,293) | (\$465,048,898) | (\$339,941,019) | -9,458 |
| Camp | $(\$ 67,508,237)$ | (\$34,210,296) | $(\$ 21,062,447)$ | (\$15,982,215) | -422 |
| Carson | (\$15,539,295) | $(\$ 6,388,174)$ | (\$3,349,065) | $(\$ 1,826,427)$ | -59 |
| Cass | (\$193,759,443) | (\$100,552,969) | (\$62,454,839) | $(\$ 52,828,949)$ | -1,285 |
| Castro | (\$18,862,137) | (\$9,747,520) | (\$5,940,676) | $(\$ 5,133,372)$ | -124 |
| Chambers | (\$156,371,327) | (\$67,272,709) | $(\$ 39,981,540)$ | (\$23,773,849) | -700 |
| Cherokee | (\$270,465,549) | (\$141,992,301) | (\$89,205,586) | (\$70,243,928) | -1,796 |
| Childress | (\$43,047,175) | $(\$ 21,907,564)$ | (\$13,273,822) | (\$11,017,480) | -275 |
| Clay | (\$71,382,340) | (\$37,031,391) | (\$23,411,560) | (\$15,359,865) | -435 |
| Cochran | (\$11,568,278) | (\$6,082,377) | (\$3,538,655) | (\$2,167,225) | -65 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coke | (\$38,413,986) | (\$18,676,933) | (\$11,320,884) | $(\$ 8,174,607)$ | -209 |
| Coleman | (\$84,415,011) | (\$43,676,012) | (\$26,059,458) | (\$19,466,592) | -509 |
| Collin | (\$2,260,665,058) | (\$1,192,233,744) | (\$738,417,999) | (\$503,944,419) | -14,085 |
| Collingsworth | $(\$ 21,836,262)$ | (\$12,112,863) | $(\$ 7,594,525)$ | (\$5,777,176) | -146 |
| Colorado | (\$148,436,435) | (\$77,342,225) | (\$46,932,078) | (\$39,144,221) | -1,001 |
| Comal | (\$601,792,767) | (\$301,019,918) | (\$181,363,191) | (\$138,232,464) | -3,730 |
| Comanche | (\$102,442,054) | (\$53,942,072) | (\$33,217,019) | $(\$ 24,920,304)$ | -659 |
| Concho | $(\$ 15,823,598)$ | (\$8,592,642) | $(\$ 5,585,983)$ | (\$3,759,072) | -109 |
| Cooke | $(\$ 267,788,349)$ | (\$135,714,333) | (\$84,387,564) | (\$58,262,914) | -1,575 |
| Coryell | (\$256,511,613) | (\$133,905,739) | (\$82,313,724) | (\$65,404,548) | -1,712 |
| Cottle | (\$13,340,426) | $(\$ 7,792,565)$ | $(\$ 4,906,711)$ | $(\$ 3,318,619)$ | -91 |
| Crane | (\$13,000,821) | $(\$ 6,970,100)$ | $(\$ 4,197,588)$ | (\$2,725,375) | -78 |
| Crockett | (\$17,538,042) | (\$9,137,622) | (\$5,410,777) | $(\$ 5,035,543)$ | -114 |
| Crosby | (\$31,467,986) | (\$17,170,094) | (\$10,372,755) | (\$6,190,422) | -193 |
| Culberson | $(\$ 9,502,055)$ | (\$5,729,330) | (\$3,539,020) | (\$3,514,761) | -79 |
| Dallam | (\$22,074,103) | (\$12,119,155) | (\$7,370,146) | $(\$ 4,726,565)$ | -143 |
| Dallas | (\$11,968,085,341) | (\$5,878,260,338) | (\$3,532,284,323) | (\$1,799,702,863) | -60,705 |
| Dawson | $(\$ 78,653,395)$ | (\$40,479,542) | (\$23,617,169) | (\$18,385,707) | -467 |
| Deaf Smith | (\$50,331,675) | $(\$ 25,298,460)$ | (\$15,251,643) | (\$9,744,196) | -292 |
| Delta | (\$29,437,263) | (\$15,546,622) | $(\$ 9,875,492)$ | (\$5,154,237) | -175 |
| Denton | (\$2,080,238,033) | (\$1,033,894,724) | $(\$ 631,778,369)$ | (\$412,672,847) | -11,821 |
| DeWitt | (\$158,563,381) | (\$81,623,695) | $(\$ 50,723,377)$ | $(\$ 37,220,939)$ | -1,009 |
| Dickens | $(\$ 19,581,695)$ | (\$10,519,224) | $(\$ 6,572,838)$ | $(\$ 4,917,570)$ | -125 |
| Dimmit | $(\$ 36,025,355)$ | (\$18,823,314) | (\$11,419,323) | (\$10,071,086) | -239 |
| Donley | $(\$ 26,831,285)$ | (\$15,539,276) | $(\$ 9,746,591)$ | $(\$ 8,933,229)$ | -214 |
| Duval | (\$58,794,694) | $(\$ 28,370,045)$ | (\$16,691,099) | (\$11,647,842) | -319 |
| Eastland | (\$150,239,158) | (\$75,046,694) | (\$45,120,755) | (\$36,364,816) | -913 |
| Ector | (\$747,639,730) | (\$376,825,189) | $(\$ 231,556,460)$ | (\$157,578,932) | -4,277 |
| Edwards | (\$13,204,671) | (\$6,672,994) | (\$3,805,013) | $(\$ 3,052,231)$ | -75 |
| El Paso | (\$3,771,786,278) | (\$1,877,551,425) | (\$1,134,986,995) | (\$730,629,118) | -21,589 |
| Ellis | $(\$ 646,607,556)$ | (\$315,094,110) | $(\$ 193,047,903)$ | (\$144,636,239) | -3,771 |
| Erath | (\$171,377,870) | (\$94,631,561) | (\$59,626,800) | (\$47,787,062) | -1,244 |
| Falls | $(\$ 119,153,514)$ | (\$64,708,126) | (\$40,323,580) | (\$29,286,970) | -804 |
| Fannin | (\$238,666,944) | (\$124,178,292) | (\$77,733,079) | (\$58,106,755) | -1,564 |
| Fayette | (\$221,344,425) | (\$114,302,070) | (\$68,539,888) | $(\$ 46,772,238)$ | -1,316 |
| Fisher | (\$27,854,171) | $(\$ 14,800,114)$ | $(\$ 8,993,036)$ | $(\$ 7,469,658)$ | -187 |
| Floyd | $(\$ 25,371,553)$ | (\$12,025,706) | $(\$ 7,123,438)$ | $(\$ 4,768,161)$ | -137 |
| Foard | (\$4,195,373) | (\$2,444,534) | $(\$ 1,550,133)$ | (\$1,262,817) | -32 |
| Fort Bend | (\$1,988,531,715) | (\$950,574,490) | (\$573,918,079) | (\$375,724,568) | -10,437 |
| Franklin | (\$63,148,725) | (\$31,625,460) | (\$18,633,813) | (\$14,721,043) | -371 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freestone | (\$132,703,090) | (\$65,956,252) | (\$38,780,427) | (\$32,669,513) | -785 |
| Frio | $(\$ 75,131,492)$ | $(\$ 36,623,850)$ | $(\$ 21,498,424)$ | (\$16,195,740) | -417 |
| Gaines | $(\$ 56,422,585)$ | (\$27,155,714) | (\$15,565,740) | (\$11,376,492) | -295 |
| Galveston | (\$1,940,050,266) | $(\$ 940,913,390)$ | (\$575,607,501) | (\$404,848,334) | -11,059 |
| Garza | (\$28,361,611) | (\$14,004,782) | $(\$ 8,405,453)$ | (\$6,542,011) | -161 |
| Gillespie | (\$210,896,074) | (\$106,759,254) | (\$65,686,949) | (\$49,228,493) | -1,327 |
| Glasscock | (\$1,730,442) | $(\$ 834,304)$ | (\$464,033) | $(\$ 249,906)$ | -8 |
| Goliad | (\$46,453,061) | (\$24,868,983) | $(\$ 15,333,057)$ | (\$13,484,655) | -318 |
| Gonzales | (\$85,071,122) | (\$44,564,294) | (\$27,560,791) | (\$21,670,740) | -557 |
| Gray | (\$173,991,043) | (\$83,008,820) | (\$50,177,244) | (\$39,007,742) | -953 |
| Grayson | (\$764,610,766) | (\$410,939,757) | (\$257,695,067) | (\$199,236,276) | -5,278 |
| Gregg | (\$845,287,456) | (\$450,018,401) | (\$278,489,870) | (\$186,708,935) | -5,265 |
| Grimes | (\$120,053,019) | (\$61,962,228) | (\$38,478,623) | $(\$ 28,968,294)$ | -761 |
| Guadalupe | (\$508,546,755) | (\$261,646,870) | (\$160,886,845) | (\$130,458,932) | -3,306 |
| Hale | (\$127,598,872) | $(\$ 71,381,132)$ | (\$44,145,995) | (\$39,545,419) | -945 |
| Hall | $(\$ 26,620,680)$ | (\$13,836,088) | (\$8,252,052) | $(\$ 6,354,827)$ | -164 |
| Hamilton | (\$62,297,614) | (\$31,818,273) | (\$19,664,309) | $(\$ 16,323,836)$ | -409 |
| Hansford | (\$15,857,966) | $(\$ 7,012,164)$ | (\$3,860,654) | $(\$ 2,420,760)$ | -65 |
| Hardeman | (\$24,744,403) | (\$13,953,204) | (\$8,555,929) | $(\$ 8,268,570)$ | -190 |
| Hardin | (\$335,677,215) | (\$169,111,845) | (\$102,073,434) | (\$80,185,761) | -2,020 |
| Harris | (\$20,394,009,933) | (\$9,374,265,515) | (\$5,663,122,042) | (\$2,699,252,257) | -93,188 |
| Harrison | $(\$ 461,358,087)$ | (\$219,176,616) | (\$134,570,199) | $(\$ 84,372,455)$ | -2,413 |
| Hartley | $(\$ 7,225,768)$ | (\$3,657,005) | $(\$ 2,191,538)$ | $(\$ 1,632,316)$ | -45 |
| Haskell | (\$46,137,258) | $(\$ 23,906,067)$ | (\$14,814,939) | (\$10,515,554) | -284 |
| Hays | $(\$ 481,507,660)$ | $(\$ 251,993,457)$ | (\$154,113,636) | (\$115,023,979) | -3,083 |
| Hemphill | (\$10,746,485) | (\$4,991,816) | $(\$ 2,837,427)$ | $(\$ 2,012,162)$ | -51 |
| Henderson | $(\$ 724,213,038)$ | (\$357,717,668) | (\$215,869,467) | (\$155,910,368) | -4,263 |
| Hidalgo | (\$2,068,965,461) | (\$1,133,322,485) | (\$704,110,116) | $(\$ 508,069,337)$ | -14,205 |
| Hill | (\$265,156,200) | (\$127,659,315) | $(\$ 76,090,560)$ | (\$63,446,239) | -1,627 |
| Hockley | (\$96,679,746) | (\$50,194,044) | (\$30,329,041) | $(\$ 24,032,186)$ | -612 |
| Hood | $(\$ 398,612,268)$ | (\$195,559,471) | (\$119,889,667) | $(\$ 91,922,502)$ | -2,399 |
| Hopkins | (\$199,865,937) | (\$108,842,777) | $(\$ 67,966,800)$ | (\$55,300,701) | -1,401 |
| Houston | (\$212,437,853) | $(\$ 107,247,546)$ | (\$67,886,876) | $(\$ 38,673,685)$ | -1,185 |
| Howard | $(\$ 222,643,102)$ | (\$109,110,475) | $(\$ 66,132,628)$ | (\$47,888,254) | -1,252 |
| Hudspeth | $(\$ 5,058,675)$ | (\$2,789,857) | (\$1,615,909) | (\$2,024,351) | -39 |
| Hunt | $(\$ 454,556,620)$ | (\$238,539,566) | (\$147,917,373) | (\$121,651,643) | -3,054 |
| Hutchinson | (\$139,931,047) | (\$67,693,829) | (\$40,951,429) | (\$35,966,725) | -799 |
| Irion | $(\$ 6,292,537)$ | (\$2,771,440) | (\$1,578,833) | (\$1,311,058) | -30 |
| Jack | (\$55,368,970) | (\$27,792,762) | (\$16,991,001) | (\$12,452,240) | -321 |
| Jackson | (\$86,086,476) | (\$44,291,720) | (\$26,048,972) | $(\$ 21,046,346)$ | -517 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jasper | $(\$ 236,920,409)$ | $(\$ 124,136,600)$ | $(\$ 76,852,147)$ | (\$62,825,735) | -1,599 |
| Jeff Davis | (\$14,813,941) | $(\$ 7,538,136)$ | $(\$ 4,603,010)$ | (\$3,771,300) | -94 |
| Jefferson | (\$1,694,917,412) | (\$871,273,563) | (\$559,255,272) | (\$383,470,023) | -10,566 |
| Jim Hogg | $(\$ 31,304,823)$ | $(\$ 16,005,797)$ | $(\$ 9,291,555)$ | (\$8,257,649) | -188 |
| Jim Wells | (\$179,895,442) | (\$100,033,919) | (\$60,272,234) | (\$47,617,440) | -1,212 |
| Johnson | $(\$ 760,132,835)$ | (\$392,258,961) | (\$246,776,839) | $(\$ 179,318,328)$ | -4,872 |
| Jones | (\$129,527,758) | $(\$ 66,041,158)$ | (\$39,944,302) | $(\$ 27,977,737)$ | -772 |
| Karnes | (\$108,079,561) | (\$50,207,113) | (\$29,784,347) | (\$21,662,954) | -557 |
| Kaufman | (\$510,558,261) | (\$257,378,787) | (\$159,095,105) | (\$120,773,680) | -3,222 |
| Kendall | (\$203,842,598) | (\$97,974,282) | $(\$ 59,338,338)$ | $(\$ 44,586,796)$ | -1,164 |
| Kenedy | $(\$ 5,382,121)$ | $(\$ 2,726,367)$ | (\$1,611,888) | (\$1,622,938) | -38 |
| Kent | $(\$ 5,018,563)$ | $(\$ 2,496,405)$ | (\$1,483,764) | (\$1,137,540) | -28 |
| Kerr | $(\$ 436,037,469)$ | (\$220,970,732) | (\$133,223,556) | (\$100,374,523) | -2,691 |
| Kimble | (\$44,448,314) | $(\$ 20,118,301)$ | (\$11,744,335) | (\$9,113,772) | -229 |
| King | (\$5,375,951) | $(\$ 2,961,514)$ | $(\$ 1,815,975)$ | (\$1,048,473) | -33 |
| Kinney | (\$28,046,678) | (\$13,310,773) | $(\$ 7,450,514)$ | $(\$ 6,077,156)$ | -151 |
| Kleberg | (\$158,464,572) | (\$81,381,762) | $(\$ 49,119,309)$ | (\$36,487,849) | -967 |
| Knox | (\$27,719,505) | (\$14,704,783) | (\$8,796,481) | $(\$ 5,583,800)$ | -159 |
| La Salle | (\$21,100,725) | (\$11,484,396) | (\$6,842,031) | (\$6,274,591) | -148 |
| Lamar | (\$332,897,887) | (\$170,619,259) | $(\$ 106,130,307)$ | (\$85,177,427) | -2,201 |
| Lamb | $(\$ 56,326,845)$ | (\$27,239,652) | $(\$ 16,566,424)$ | (\$12,830,679) | -318 |
| Lampasas | (\$135,278,691) | (\$70,902,544) | (\$43,441,949) | $(\$ 35,653,567)$ | -921 |
| Lavaca | (\$155,795,759) | (\$86,854,238) | $(\$ 53,938,630)$ | (\$40,287,603) | -1,081 |
| Lee | (\$102,266,042) | (\$52,051,332) | $(\$ 31,568,515)$ | (\$22,608,472) | -608 |
| Leon | (\$97,071,071) | (\$53,490,675) | $(\$ 31,886,833)$ | (\$27,933,161) | -662 |
| Liberty | (\$488,837,830) | (\$254,415,968) | (\$157,381,727) | $(\$ 108,229,180)$ | -2,985 |
| Limestone | (\$146,584,488) | $(\$ 76,565,025)$ | $(\$ 47,801,297)$ | (\$38,098,902) | -951 |
| Lipscomb | (\$15,170,508) | $(\$ 7,309,139)$ | $(\$ 4,106,835)$ | (\$2,720,652) | -76 |
| Live Oak | $(\$ 85,428,506)$ | $(\$ 41,965,429)$ | $(\$ 25,384,369)$ | (\$21,717,556) | -506 |
| Llano | (\$236,144,397) | (\$118,219,547) | (\$70,956,192) | (\$55,604,276) | -1,458 |
| Loving | (\$2,715,784) | (\$1,118,023) | $(\$ 596,130)$ | (\$319,524) | -10 |
| Lubbock | (\$1,442,336,513) | (\$771,752,837) | $(\$ 475,891,127)$ | $(\$ 317,392,090)$ | -9,188 |
| Lynn | (\$21,174,435) | (\$10,566,770) | $(\$ 6,279,297)$ | $(\$ 3,184,180)$ | -110 |
| Madison | (\$65,902,104) | $(\$ 34,555,580)$ | $(\$ 20,507,046)$ | (\$18,610,469) | -444 |
| Marion | $(\$ 91,637,485)$ | (\$47,633,237) | (\$29,139,910) | (\$23,263,087) | -607 |
| Martin | (\$23,446,048) | $(\$ 11,245,507)$ | $(\$ 6,689,354)$ | $(\$ 4,477,425)$ | -118 |
| Mason | $(\$ 38,236,624)$ | (\$19,023,886) | $(\$ 11,052,364)$ | (\$8,460,033) | -220 |
| Matagorda | (\$238,118,139) | (\$113,022,510) | $(\$ 69,825,688)$ | $(\$ 56,103,287)$ | -1,361 |
| Maverick | (\$163,377,642) | (\$86,120,964) | (\$52,134,326) | (\$43,145,365) | -1,101 |
| McCulloch | (\$62,909,028) | (\$33,679,880) | $(\$ 21,137,289)$ | (\$15,962,751) | -417 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McLennan | $(\$ 1,539,982,858)$ | (\$764,109,349) | $(\$ 463,340,898)$ | (\$317,195,524) | -9,120 |
| McMullen | (\$1,986,916) | $(\$ 945,879)$ | $(\$ 539,535)$ | $(\$ 324,679)$ | -9 |
| Medina | (\$216,283,350) | (\$106,711,788) | (\$63,619,938) | $(\$ 50,579,653)$ | -1,311 |
| Menard | (\$19,553,961) | (\$10,300,638) | (\$6,079,245) | $(\$ 5,035,543)$ | -122 |
| Midland | $(\$ 593,606,626)$ | (\$302,454,974) | $(\$ 180,503,079)$ | (\$121,894,292) | -3,320 |
| Milam | (\$148,398,053) | (\$76,416,723) | (\$47,768,399) | $(\$ 37,129,919)$ | -956 |
| Mills | (\$27,836,984) | (\$17,366,961) | (\$11,132,550) | (\$8,987,608) | -231 |
| Mitchell | (\$57,290,120) | (\$29,922,951) | (\$18,061,963) | $(\$ 13,654,555)$ | -352 |
| Montague | (\$171,021,935) | (\$84,068,111) | (\$49,475,096) | $(\$ 38,178,253)$ | -997 |
| Montgomery | (\$2,347,304,756) | (\$1,136,773,196) | (\$692,907,846) | (\$425,549,695) | -12,529 |
| Moore | (\$79,875,681) | (\$35,707,919) | (\$21,199,584) | (\$15,093,624) | -389 |
| Morris | (\$84,101,971) | (\$38,569,445) | (\$24,219,216) | $(\$ 14,021,447)$ | -427 |
| Motley | (\$12,332,418) | (\$5,949,998) | $(\$ 3,401,217)$ | $(\$ 2,698,274)$ | -68 |
| Nacogdoches | (\$311,328,292) | (\$170,473,851) | (\$107,613,936) | $(\$ 86,561,544)$ | -2,279 |
| Navarro | (\$324,226,712) | (\$165,187,670) | (\$102,728,501) | (\$70,792,185) | -2,021 |
| Newton | (\$52,197,319) | (\$33,240,022) | (\$22,186,672) | (\$18,141,066) | -445 |
| Nolan | (\$122,897,846) | (\$65,048,844) | (\$39,075,955) | (\$28,330,604) | -757 |
| Nueces | (\$2,130,243,947) | (\$1,012,954,440) | $(\$ 617,423,176)$ | $(\$ 398,797,967)$ | -11,323 |
| Ochiltree | (\$32,504,233) | (\$15,595,153) | $(\$ 9,193,727)$ | $(\$ 6,391,096)$ | -169 |
| Oldham | (\$2,701,844) | (\$1,602,578) | (\$1,005,821) | (\$1,131,031) | -24 |
| Orange | (\$534,713,648) | (\$273,700,556) | (\$173,722,911) | (\$131,701,785) | -3,391 |
| Palo Pinto | (\$236,388,266) | (\$112,699,853) | (\$67,249,126) | $(\$ 49,488,387)$ | -1,310 |
| Panola | (\$164,376,271) | (\$84,231,007) | $(\$ 51,552,600)$ | (\$37,141,261) | -990 |
| Parker | (\$629,114,055) | (\$305,201,784) | $(\$ 184,269,142)$ | (\$133,919,091) | -3,608 |
| Parmer | (\$14,694,999) | (\$6,771,926) | $(\$ 4,101,563)$ | $(\$ 1,801,627)$ | -72 |
| Pecos | $(\$ 68,706,490)$ | (\$34,446,561) | $(\$ 20,459,177)$ | $(\$ 16,807,953)$ | -416 |
| Polk | $(\$ 443,197,560)$ | (\$230,862,048) | $(\$ 139,161,278)$ | (\$110,453,621) | -2,747 |
| Potter | (\$768,211,069) | (\$402,970,756) | $(\$ 244,428,593)$ | (\$161,274,235) | -4,623 |
| Presidio | $(\$ 29,564,263)$ | (\$14,770,251) | (\$8,907,297) | $(\$ 7,524,559)$ | -183 |
| Rains | $(\$ 83,416,484)$ | (\$39,794,502) | (\$23,315,361) | (\$19,340,949) | -465 |
| Randall | (\$619,952,428) | (\$328,230,956) | (\$199,530,616) | (\$141,093,712) | -3,874 |
| Reagan | (\$11,925,352) | (\$6,201,711) | $(\$ 3,591,547)$ | (\$3,044,590) | -70 |
| Real | $(\$ 35,381,322)$ | (\$16,516,024) | $(\$ 9,666,844)$ | $(\$ 7,412,447)$ | -187 |
| Red River | (\$119,215,290) | (\$58,519,762) | (\$34,950,019) | $(\$ 27,315,995)$ | -708 |
| Reeves | (\$61,817,832) | (\$31,997,825) | (\$19,177,867) | (\$16,999,416) | -399 |
| Refugio | (\$49,722,608) | (\$25,177,687) | (\$14,488,252) | (\$14,824,895) | -310 |
| Roberts | (\$3,170,678) | (\$1,491,797) | $(\$ 861,464)$ | $(\$ 916,096)$ | -18 |
| Robertson | (\$112,954,611) | (\$57,882,080) | (\$35,747,021) | (\$31,159,651) | -756 |
| Rockwall | (\$262,307,825) | (\$137,144,754) | (\$85,220,255) | (\$61,777,675) | -1,685 |
| Runnels | $(\$ 93,386,698)$ | (\$43,145,130) | (\$25,355,460) | $(\$ 18,579,582)$ | -483 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent <br> Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rusk | (\$329,773,467) | $(\$ 162,002,138)$ | (\$99,468,912) | (\$67,918,934) | -1,883 |
| Sabine | (\$81,647,089) | $(\$ 42,908,052)$ | (\$27,735,890) | (\$22,516,678) | -559 |
| San Augustine | $(\$ 78,506,549)$ | (\$38,227,951) | (\$22,572,470) | (\$17,472,781) | -448 |
| San Jacinto | (\$169,863,382) | $(\$ 85,841,363)$ | (\$52,446,310) | $(\$ 41,534,312)$ | -1,066 |
| San Patricio | (\$386,472,269) | (\$185,613,475) | (\$114,134,903) | (\$90,975,351) | -2,254 |
| San Saba | (\$39,480,987) | (\$21,634,368) | (\$13,217,676) | (\$11,017,480) | -279 |
| Schleicher | (\$9,946,285) | (\$4,997,333) | $(\$ 3,036,392)$ | (\$1,604,979) | -54 |
| Scurry | $(\$ 87,559,940)$ | (\$48,584,085) | (\$28,649,212) | (\$24,456,866) | -586 |
| Shackelford | (\$20,493,065) | (\$10,244,021) | $(\$ 6,034,929)$ | $(\$ 4,439,203)$ | -116 |
| Shelby | (\$128,225,679) | (\$72,353,249) | (\$46,717,138) | (\$37,314,509) | -963 |
| Sherman | (\$4,551,308) | $(\$ 2,179,184)$ | (\$1,297,223) | (\$843,615) | -25 |
| Smith | (\$1,405,690,223) | (\$704,176,199) | (\$416,346,187) | $(\$ 288,521,182)$ | -7,952 |
| Somervell | $(\$ 26,479,117)$ | (\$12,250,700) | (\$7,865,268) | (\$3,904,761) | -143 |
| Starr | (\$130,074,811) | (\$74,352,434) | (\$46,774,134) | (\$41,917,237) | -1,010 |
| Stephens | $(\$ 62,620,438)$ | (\$34,363,894) | (\$20,715,274) | (\$18,171,660) | -423 |
| Sterling | (\$3,078,051) | (\$1,825,039) | (\$1,122,929) | (\$1,166,413) | -25 |
| Stonewall | (\$10,319,474) | (\$5,854,459) | (\$3,570,270) | (\$3,087,873) | -74 |
| Sutton | (\$23,402,037) | (\$12,359,999) | $(\$ 7,381,625)$ | $(\$ 6,173,399)$ | -148 |
| Swisher | (\$27,613,688) | (\$13,244,624) | (\$7,909,372) | $(\$ 5,686,195)$ | -156 |
| Tarrant | (\$8,766,235,998) | (\$4,437,142,328) | (\$2,716,418,317) | (\$1,694,265,499) | -50,328 |
| Taylor | (\$841,958,698) | (\$424,430,743) | (\$255,391,843) | (\$165,229,432) | -4,783 |
| Terrell | (\$3,764,470) | (\$2,239,074) | (\$1,423,923) | (\$1,023,441) | -27 |
| Terry | (\$57,063,591) | (\$29,802,216) | (\$17,046,034) | (\$15,338,416) | -347 |
| Throckmorton | (\$7,953,177) | (\$4,148,504) | (\$2,366,851) | (\$1,825,838) | -45 |
| Titus | (\$131,564,388) | $(\$ 66,313,347)$ | $(\$ 41,160,895)$ | $(\$ 36,129,203)$ | -861 |
| Tom Green | (\$677,515,781) | (\$335,613,400) | (\$197,210,010) | (\$140,409,893) | -3,892 |
| Travis | (\$3,231,206,808) | (\$1,730,566,492) | (\$1,074,645,076) | (\$664,882,936) | -20,000 |
| Trinity | $(\$ 134,548,529)$ | (\$74,879,954) | $(\$ 46,009,473)$ | $(\$ 36,202,031)$ | -952 |
| Tyler | (\$147,231,666) | $(\$ 78,627,597)$ | (\$48,949,083) | (\$37,991,132) | -987 |
| Upshur | (\$282,479,365) | (\$143,221,684) | $(\$ 86,461,488)$ | (\$65,360,867) | -1,688 |
| Upton | (\$15,445,584) | (\$7,777,194) | (\$4,507,613) | (\$3,262,272) | -84 |
| Uvalde | (\$137,963,555) | (\$73,353,406) | $(\$ 45,258,342)$ | $(\$ 33,345,093)$ | -919 |
| Val Verde | (\$179,837,227) | (\$103,286,918) | (\$65,489,477) | (\$48,079,716) | -1,323 |
| Van Zandt | $(\$ 318,862,545)$ | (\$182,522,294) | (\$112,416,600) | $(\$ 90,076,305)$ | -2,333 |
| Victoria | (\$571,720,366) | $(\$ 284,738,558)$ | (\$174,506,250) | (\$118,711,270) | -3,221 |
| Walker | (\$382,608,393) | (\$207,104,636) | $(\$ 128,336,199)$ | (\$104,711,932) | -2,670 |
| Waller | (\$193,463,769) | (\$88,377,730) | $(\$ 51,348,930)$ | (\$41,794,205) | -1,038 |
| Ward | (\$60,863,496) | (\$31,432,605) | (\$18,799,992) | (\$15,760,368) | -378 |
| Washington | (\$217,656,623) | (\$116,107,945) | (\$71,672,497) | (\$51,491,818) | -1,410 |
| Webb | (\$612,166,862) | (\$324,577,698) | (\$194,311,054) | (\$153,101,040) | -3,887 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wharton | (\$266,594,063) | $(\$ 139,707,338)$ | $(\$ 84,972,227)$ | (\$65,904,825) | -1,687 |
| Wheeler | (\$30,440,139) | (\$16,858,967) | (\$10,243,451) | $(\$ 8,683,196)$ | -210 |
| Wichita | $(\$ 826,653,507)$ | (\$448,704,152) | (\$273,253,141) | (\$193,831,636) | -5,284 |
| Wilbarger | (\$107,158,514) | $(\$ 53,766,987)$ | (\$33,497,818) | (\$25,650,911) | -664 |
| Willacy | (\$68,094,456) | (\$39,214,501) | (\$23,854,001) | $(\$ 20,522,415)$ | -499 |
| Williamson | (\$851,035,478) | (\$468,020,495) | $(\$ 296,678,974)$ | (\$210,419,817) | -5,821 |
| Wilson | (\$204,601,468) | (\$104,573,836) | $(\$ 63,577,988)$ | (\$50,467,541) | -1,324 |
| Winkler | (\$36,503,120) | $(\$ 18,775,445)$ | $(\$ 11,302,451)$ | (\$8,764,278) | -217 |
| Wise | (\$277,669,317) | (\$144,933,564) | $(\$ 87,483,325)$ | $(\$ 66,227,909)$ | -1,714 |
| Wood | (\$394,321,784) | (\$196,967,665) | $(\$ 118,904,207)$ | (\$87,097,001) | -2,347 |
| Yoakum | (\$26,398,781) | $(\$ 13,491,133)$ | $(\$ 7,999,149)$ | $(\$ 6,824,097)$ | -161 |
| Young | (\$159,378,110) | (\$81,793,890) | $(\$ 48,918,417)$ | (\$37,223,081) | -947 |
| Zapata | (\$39,507,244) | (\$20,671,576) | (\$12,473,656) | (\$11,321,054) | -263 |
| Zavala | (\$29,555,166) | (\$18,065,848) | $(\$ 11,693,484)$ | (\$11,026,975) | -267 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$121,295,057,134) | $(\$ 60,711,126,081)$ | $(\$ 37,066,522,092)$ | $(\$ 23,920,371,075)$ | -691,735 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$949,075,717) | (\$501,210,266) | (\$312,637,531) | (\$233,116,492) | -6,229 |
| 2 | (\$856,835,649) | (\$460,856,362) | $(\$ 283,649,335)$ | (\$231,068,896) | -5,852 |
| 3 | (\$876,129,490) | (\$451,467,227) | $(\$ 278,717,326)$ | (\$223,798,606) | -5,715 |
| 4 | (\$1,234,771,298) | $(\$ 615,096,455)$ | (\$374,964,572) | (\$276,684,048) | -7,485 |
| 5 | (\$1,205,667,473) | $(\$ 593,576,260)$ | (\$360,998,341) | (\$252,812,538) | -6,871 |
| 6 | (\$1,152,665,983) | (\$577,424,483) | (\$341,403,873) | (\$236,587,370) | -6,521 |
| 7 | (\$1,098,311,696) | $(\$ 576,770,117)$ | $(\$ 353,432,184)$ | (\$238,642,747) | -6,696 |
| 8 | (\$976,390,890) | (\$510,860,352) | (\$314,390,271) | (\$227,989,372) | -6,163 |
| 9 | (\$836,628,017) | (\$448,099,704) | $(\$ 281,491,580)$ | $(\$ 226,691,247)$ | -5,847 |
| 10 | (\$911,763,756) | (\$442,753,425) | (\$269,138,463) | (\$208,082,478) | -5,398 |
| 11 | $(\$ 977,053,139)$ | (\$495,472,992) | (\$308,113,975) | (\$213,977,808) | -5,854 |
| 12 | (\$961,094,202) | (\$506,607,765) | (\$313,623,081) | $(\$ 241,490,107)$ | -6,348 |
| 13 | (\$906,465,666) | (\$476,608,518) | $(\$ 295,523,433)$ | (\$218,516,452) | -5,834 |
| 14 | (\$576,904,205) | $(\$ 297,402,150)$ | (\$181,359,284) | (\$124,491,270) | -3,541 |
| 15 | (\$1,056,287,140) | (\$511,547,938) | (\$311,808,531) | (\$191,497,363) | -5,638 |
| 16 | (\$1,079,760,188) | (\$522,915,670) | (\$318,737,609) | (\$195,752,860) | -5,763 |
| 17 | (\$1,010,740,280) | (\$520,627,856) | $(\$ 316,528,402)$ | $(\$ 236,808,896)$ | -6,316 |
| 18 | (\$1,143,292,818) | (\$587,587,603) | (\$358,904,711) | (\$256,982,273) | -6,860 |
| 19 | (\$837,033,999) | (\$432,260,334) | (\$270,187,351) | (\$208,956,327) | -5,313 |
| 20 | (\$531,364,018) | (\$287,025,945) | (\$181,273,938) | (\$131,818,837) | -3,576 |
| 21 | (\$898,306,229) | (\$461,774,989) | $(\$ 296,405,294)$ | (\$203,239,112) | -5,600 |
| 22 | (\$882,165,368) | $(\$ 453,290,664)$ | (\$290,645,643) | $(\$ 201,303,196)$ | -5,508 |
| 23 | (\$1,048,794,449) | $(\$ 500,092,868)$ | (\$304,760,991) | (\$210,004,083) | -5,787 |
| 24 | (\$1,047,627,144) | $(\$ 508,093,230)$ | (\$310,828,051) | (\$218,618,100) | -5,972 |
| 25 | (\$748,174,487) | (\$370,860,559) | (\$230,566,001) | (\$171,221,126) | -4,438 |
| 26 | (\$805,355,345) | $(\$ 384,982,669)$ | $(\$ 232,436,822)$ | (\$152,168,450) | -4,227 |
| 27 | (\$805,355,345) | $(\$ 384,982,669)$ | $(\$ 232,436,822)$ | (\$152,168,450) | -4,227 |
| 28 | $(\$ 837,878,857)$ | (\$408,694,221) | (\$245,365,592) | (\$179,086,698) | -4,708 |
| 29 | (\$802,530,471) | (\$392,794,510) | $(\$ 243,761,443)$ | (\$185,270,102) | -4,709 |
| 30 | (\$1,021,888,591) | (\$522,685,898) | (\$319,705,481) | $(\$ 232,091,052)$ | -6,137 |
| 31 | (\$412,026,807) | (\$220,767,365) | (\$134,232,205) | (\$110,816,446) | -2,758 |
| 32 | (\$955,930,303) | (\$451,554,332) | (\$274,242,719) | (\$202,594,584) | -5,253 |
| 33 | $(\$ 947,958,556)$ | (\$450,764,726) | (\$274,753,313) | (\$177,465,095) | -5,039 |
| 34 | $(\$ 947,958,556)$ | (\$450,764,726) | (\$274,753,313) | (\$177,465,095) | -5,039 |
| 35 | (\$786,781,211) | $(\$ 402,153,469)$ | (\$242,860,443) | (\$185,386,891) | -4,739 |
| 36 | (\$517,241,365) | (\$283,330,621) | (\$176,027,529) | (\$127,017,334) | -3,551 |
| 37 | (\$579,405,456) | (\$303,166,517) | (\$186,019,559) | (\$135,976,408) | -3,783 |
| 38 | (\$579,405,456) | (\$303,166,517) | (\$186,019,559) | (\$135,976,408) | -3,783 |
| 39 | (\$517,241,365) | (\$283,330,621) | (\$176,027,529) | (\$127,017,334) | -3,551 |
| 40 | (\$517,241,365) | (\$283,330,621) | (\$176,027,529) | (\$127,017,334) | -3,551 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | (\$517,241,365) | (\$283,330,621) | $(\$ 176,027,529)$ | (\$127,017,334) | -3,551 |
| 42 | (\$428,516,803) | $(\$ 227,204,388)$ | (\$136,017,738) | (\$107,170,728) | -2,721 |
| 43 | $(\$ 582,503,753)$ | $(\$ 307,066,503)$ | (\$186,933,801) | (\$143,440,279) | -3,792 |
| 44 | (\$798,219,346) | (\$410,785,000) | (\$252,025,624) | (\$202,597,213) | -5,188 |
| 45 | (\$756,716,992) | (\$390,209,884) | (\$238,426,286) | (\$177,073,201) | -4,748 |
| 46 | (\$549,305,157) | (\$294,196,304) | (\$182,689,663) | (\$113,030,099) | -3,400 |
| 47 | (\$549,305,157) | $(\$ 294,196,304)$ | (\$182,689,663) | (\$113,030,099) | -3,400 |
| 48 | (\$516,993,089) | (\$276,890,639) | (\$171,943,212) | (\$106,381,270) | -3,200 |
| 49 | $(\$ 549,305,157)$ | (\$294,196,304) | (\$182,689,663) | (\$113,030,099) | -3,400 |
| 50 | (\$516,993,089) | $(\$ 276,890,639)$ | (\$171,943,212) | (\$106,381,270) | -3,200 |
| 51 | (\$549,305,157) | (\$294,196,304) | (\$182,689,663) | (\$113,030,099) | -3,400 |
| 52 | (\$468,069,513) | (\$257,411,272) | (\$163,173,436) | (\$115,730,899) | -3,202 |
| 53 | (\$1,265,610,290) | (\$635,427,636) | (\$381,229,169) | (\$291,538,659) | -7,635 |
| 54 | (\$899,059,696) | (\$474,448,283) | (\$293,511,962) | (\$216,042,907) | -5,881 |
| 55 | (\$714,020,021) | $(\$ 393,792,573)$ | $(\$ 248,138,702)$ | (\$179,035,008) | -4,964 |
| 56 | (\$985,589,029) | (\$489,029,984) | $(\$ 296,538,175)$ | $(\$ 203,005,135)$ | -5,837 |
| 57 | (\$949,475,129) | (\$485,715,827) | (\$295,267,204) | (\$221,180,640) | -5,949 |
| 58 | (\$890,485,978) | $(\$ 459,301,366)$ | $(\$ 288,202,207)$ | (\$208,325,231) | -5,684 |
| 59 | (\$646,945,252) | (\$343,915,306) | (\$213,819,670) | $(\$ 167,328,120)$ | -4,398 |
| 60 | (\$1,106,094,616) | $(\$ 560,801,694)$ | (\$341,729,440) | (\$269,442,738) | -6,918 |
| 61 | $(\$ 906,783,372)$ | $(\$ 450,135,348)$ | (\$271,752,467) | (\$200,147,000) | -5,323 |
| 62 | (\$1,003,277,710) | (\$535,118,049) | $(\$ 335,428,145)$ | (\$257,343,030) | -6,842 |
| 63 | $(\$ 686,478,551)$ | (\$341,185,259) | $(\$ 208,486,862)$ | (\$136,182,039) | -3,901 |
| 64 | (\$707,280,931) | (\$351,524,206) | (\$214,804,646) | $(\$ 140,308,768)$ | -4,019 |
| 65 | (\$686,478,551) | (\$341,185,259) | (\$208,486,862) | (\$136,182,039) | -3,901 |
| 66 | $(\$ 610,379,566)$ | (\$321,903,111) | (\$199,372,860) | (\$136,064,993) | -3,803 |
| 67 | (\$610,379,566) | (\$321,903,111) | (\$199,372,860) | (\$136,064,993) | -3,803 |
| 68 | (\$1,037,373,754) | $(\$ 530,036,467)$ | (\$323,820,059) | $(\$ 236,908,680)$ | -6,247 |
| 69 | (\$868,060,495) | (\$470,120,733) | (\$285,750,467) | (\$203,610,708) | -5,535 |
| 70 | (\$610,379,566) | $(\$ 321,903,111)$ | (\$199,372,860) | (\$136,064,993) | -3,803 |
| 71 | $(\$ 964,856,544)$ | (\$489,479,587) | (\$294,467,798) | (\$193,560,036) | -5,540 |
| 72 | (\$860,779,827) | (\$432,797,368) | (\$255,242,068) | (\$186,695,920) | -5,039 |
| 73 | (\$1,167,796,622) | (\$579,505,224) | (\$350,373,896) | (\$267,509,857) | -7,118 |
| 74 | (\$633,240,881) | $(\$ 340,976,544)$ | (\$209,639,582) | (\$164,016,965) | -4,261 |
| 75 | (\$754,357,256) | (\$375,510,285) | (\$226,997,399) | (\$146,125,824) | -4,318 |
| 76 | (\$754,357,256) | (\$375,510,285) | (\$226,997,399) | (\$146,125,824) | -4,318 |
| 77 | (\$754,357,256) | (\$375,510,285) | $(\$ 226,997,399)$ | (\$146,125,824) | -4,318 |
| 78 | (\$754,357,256) | (\$375,510,285) | (\$226,997,399) | (\$146,125,824) | -4,318 |
| 79 | (\$754,357,256) | (\$375,510,285) | (\$226,997,399) | (\$146,125,824) | -4,318 |
| 80 | (\$569,520,406) | (\$291,140,932) | (\$174,658,039) | (\$143,370,565) | -3,635 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | (\$850,558,864) | (\$429,964,598) | (\$263,425,826) | (\$180,720,709) | -4,874 |
| 82 | (\$724,152,473) | $(\$ 368,927,317)$ | (\$219,514,804) | (\$150,745,071) | -4,068 |
| 83 | $(\$ 768,003,995)$ | (\$405,624,404) | (\$247,789,037) | (\$171,356,835) | -4,807 |
| 84 | $(\$ 865,401,908)$ | (\$463,051,702) | (\$285,534,676) | (\$190,435,254) | -5,513 |
| 85 | (\$723,496,980) | (\$371,981,296) | (\$224,390,473) | (\$171,796,701) | -4,410 |
| 86 | (\$702,285,818) | (\$370,908,154) | (\$225,349,763) | (\$158,327,820) | -4,379 |
| 87 | (\$868,177,353) | (\$447,246,033) | (\$270,274,465) | (\$179,037,901) | -5,096 |
| 88 | (\$706,463,989) | (\$349,627,869) | (\$210,987,886) | (\$166,884,688) | -4,109 |
| 89 | (\$691,834,186) | (\$363,669,166) | (\$225,519,675) | (\$157,527,115) | -4,361 |
| 90 | (\$876,623,600) | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 91 | $(\$ 876,623,600)$ | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 92 | (\$876,623,600) | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 93 | $(\$ 876,623,600)$ | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 94 | $(\$ 876,623,600)$ | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 95 | $(\$ 876,623,600)$ | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 96 | (\$876,623,600) | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 97 | (\$876,623,600) | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 98 | (\$876,623,600) | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 99 | $(\$ 876,623,600)$ | (\$443,714,233) | (\$271,641,832) | (\$169,426,550) | -5,033 |
| 100 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 101 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 102 | $(\$ 748,005,334)$ | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 103 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 104 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 105 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 106 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 107 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 108 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 109 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 110 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 111 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 112 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 113 | $(\$ 748,005,334)$ | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 114 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | $(\$ 112,481,429)$ | -3,794 |
| 115 | (\$748,005,334) | (\$367,391,271) | (\$220,767,770) | (\$112,481,429) | -3,794 |
| 116 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 117 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 118 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 119 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 120 | (\$849,424,074) | (\$436,823,158) | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 122 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 123 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 124 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 125 | (\$849,424,074) | $(\$ 436,823,158)$ | (\$269,544,869) | (\$171,417,834) | -5,089 |
| 126 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 127 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 128 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 129 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 130 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 131 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 132 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 133 | $(\$ 815,760,397)$ | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 134 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 135 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 136 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 137 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 138 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 139 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 140 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 141 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 142 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 143 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 144 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 145 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 146 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 147 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 148 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | $(\$ 226,524,882)$ | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 149 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| 150 | (\$815,760,397) | (\$374,970,621) | (\$226,524,882) | (\$107,970,090) | -3,728 |
| TOTAL | (\$121,295,057,134) | (\$60,711,126,081) | (\$37,066,522,092) | (\$23,920,371,075) | -691,735 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
In cases in which a country was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas Senate District 

| Senate District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$4,984,420,807) | (\$2,540,621,620) | (\$1,553,830,001) | (\$1,114,154,536) | -30,149 |
| 2 | (\$4,209,164,980) | (\$2,144,126,290) | (\$1,307,060,606) | (\$867,054,640) | -24,794 |
| 3 | (\$5,140,610,852) | (\$2,639,313,846) | (\$1,620,421,706) | (\$1,191,075,912) | -31,824 |
| 4 | (\$4,411,320,175) | (\$2,184,090,832) | (\$1,359,634,792) | $(\$ 878,262,129)$ | -25,024 |
| 5 | (\$3,244,356,384) | (\$1,718,939,927) | (\$1,065,114,853) | (\$791,463,068) | -21,124 |
| 6 | (\$3,874,861,887) | (\$1,781,110,448) | (\$1,075,993,188) | (\$512,857,929) | -17,706 |
| 7 | $(\$ 4,078,801,987)$ | (\$1,874,853,103) | (\$1,132,624,408) | (\$539,850,451) | -18,638 |
| 8 | (\$3,343,108,414) | (\$1,713,243,297) | (\$1,048,636,356) | (\$645,397,473) | -19,235 |
| 9 | (\$3,742,600,343) | (\$1,862,590,883) | (\$1,131,405,506) | (\$664,369,723) | -20,426 |
| 10 | (\$4,295,455,639) | (\$2,174,199,740) | (\$1,331,044,975) | (\$830,190,094) | -24,661 |
| 11 | (\$4,350,810,921) | (\$2,072,877,767) | (\$1,265,918,519) | (\$785,309,828) | -22,925 |
| 12 | (\$3,867,578,729) | (\$1,951,911,078) | (\$1,194,608,288) | (\$750,680,089) | -22,168 |
| 13 | (\$3,904,458,666) | (\$1,802,751,525) | (\$1,088,992,725) | $(\$ 541,532,289)$ | -18,138 |
| 14 | (\$2,778,837,855) | (\$1,488,287,183) | (\$924,194,765) | (\$571,799,325) | -17,200 |
| 15 | (\$4,078,801,987) | (\$1,874,853,103) | (\$1,132,624,408) | (\$539,850,451) | -18,638 |
| 16 | (\$3,590,425,602) | (\$1,763,478,101) | (\$1,059,685,297) | (\$539,910,859) | -18,211 |
| 17 | (\$4,290,270,673) | (\$2,029,863,677) | (\$1,238,685,588) | (\$710,228,370) | -21,676 |
| 18 | (\$4,390,211,104) | (\$2,187,390,982) | (\$1,330,686,412) | (\$964,780,157) | -25,634 |
| 19 | (\$3,569,963,208) | (\$1,838,689,594) | (\$1,125,598,381) | $(\$ 781,907,459)$ | -21,894 |
| 20 | (\$3,477,625,445) | (\$1,752,470,544) | (\$1,075,003,244) | (\$734,414,767) | -20,556 |
| 21 | (\$2,949,649,281) | (\$1,509,553,676) | $(\$ 918,553,184)$ | (\$689,816,954) | -18,106 |
| 22 | (\$4,467,215,814) | (\$2,237,775,845) | (\$1,373,802,309) | (\$994,914,199) | -27,281 |
| 23 | (\$3,710,106,456) | (\$1,822,260,705) | (\$1,095,008,140) | (\$557,907,888) | -18,818 |
| 24 | (\$4,546,292,435) | (\$2,374,325,341) | (\$1,455,974,546) | (\$1,075,073,575) | -29,056 |
| 25 | (\$4,031,849,289) | (\$2,072,242,469) | (\$1,272,196,547) | (\$881,363,234) | -24,771 |
| 26 | (\$3,907,350,740) | (\$2,009,386,528) | (\$1,239,906,399) | $(\$ 788,522,038)$ | -23,409 |
| 27 | (\$2,611,489,247) | (\$1,391,234,041) | (\$856,483,648) | (\$627,205,423) | -17,355 |
| 28 | (\$3,852,098,565) | (\$2,000,132,517) | (\$1,210,716,629) | (\$872,986,025) | -23,672 |
| 29 | (\$3,545,479,101) | (\$1,764,898,340) | (\$1,066,887,776) | (\$686,791,371) | -20,294 |
| 30 | (\$4,473,639,570) | (\$2,308,416,463) | (\$1,411,270,963) | (\$1,026,562,307) | -27,564 |
| 31 | (\$3,576,200,980) | (\$1,825,236,617) | (\$1,103,957,933) | (\$764,138,513) | -20,791 |
| TOTAL | (\$121,295,057,134) | (\$60,711,126,081) | (\$37,066,522,092) | (\$23,920,371,075) | -691,735 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
In cases in which a country was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Losses (Treatment, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by US Congressional District in Texas 

| US Congressional District in Texas | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$4,709,136,529) | (\$2,411,736,533) | (\$1,473,132,298) | (\$1,048,197,760) | -28,518 |
| 2 | (\$4,086,281,644) | (\$1,991,879,612) | (\$1,238,882,319) | (\$731,320,258) | -22,034 |
| 3 | (\$3,222,095,636) | (\$1,647,255,899) | (\$1,007,224,338) | (\$614,080,434) | -18,412 |
| 4 | (\$4,059,998,317) | (\$2,130,165,213) | (\$1,323,980,825) | (\$1,010,292,956) | -26,636 |
| 5 | (\$4,266,829,724) | (\$2,162,686,567) | (\$1,315,090,816) | (\$862,488,455) | -24,865 |
| 6 | (\$4,041,707,606) | (\$2,041,361,313) | (\$1,251,806,061) | (\$831,900,629) | -23,643 |
| 7 | (\$3,874,861,887) | (\$1,781,110,448) | (\$1,075,993,188) | (\$512,857,929) | -17,706 |
| 8 | (\$4,870,009,030) | (\$2,457,059,906) | (\$1,509,228,636) | (\$1,065,844,468) | -28,879 |
| 9 | (\$3,924,343,983) | (\$1,812,257,270) | (\$1,094,731,905) | (\$545,289,534) | -18,242 |
| 10 | (\$3,466,911,058) | (\$1,718,035,097) | (\$1,050,348,459) | (\$622,573,835) | -18,903 |
| 11 | (\$4,303,318,091) | (\$2,193,970,034) | (\$1,322,857,814) | (\$969,232,801) | -25,772 |
| 12 | (\$4,018,797,151) | (\$2,025,320,874) | (\$1,236,080,969) | (\$801,611,252) | -23,189 |
| 13 | (\$4,050,437,795) | (\$2,096,287,571) | (\$1,270,882,557) | $(\$ 911,135,937)$ | -24,529 |
| 14 | (\$4,330,183,932) | (\$2,110,890,661) | (\$1,291,545,632) | $(\$ 928,470,139)$ | -24,650 |
| 15 | (\$2,771,089,472) | (\$1,471,525,642) | (\$905,022,871) | (\$676,472,337) | -18,206 |
| 16 | (\$3,620,914,827) | (\$1,802,449,368) | (\$1,089,587,515) | (\$701,403,953) | -20,725 |
| 17 | (\$4,099,293,259) | (\$2,064,735,684) | (\$1,264,870,823) | (\$912,270,732) | -25,121 |
| 18 | (\$3,874,861,887) | (\$1,781,110,448) | (\$1,075,993,188) | (\$512,857,929) | -17,706 |
| 19 | (\$3,705,668,504) | (\$1,919,251,716) | (\$1,165,177,019) | (\$819,950,593) | -22,538 |
| 20 | (\$3,992,293,147) | (\$2,053,068,844) | (\$1,266,860,886) | (\$805,663,821) | -23,918 |
| 21 | (\$4,127,163,149) | (\$2,107,703,542) | (\$1,290,354,616) | (\$874,118,493) | -24,937 |
| 22 | (\$3,943,105,038) | (\$1,859,830,246) | (\$1,127,687,473) | (\$662,297,790) | -19,857 |
| 23 | (\$3,520,951,689) | (\$1,823,649,207) | (\$1,120,235,770) | (\$770,185,813) | -21,762 |
| 24 | (\$3,609,405,329) | (\$1,795,445,134) | (\$1,088,932,205) | (\$623,136,738) | -19,492 |
| 25 | (\$3,154,094,122) | (\$1,665,873,380) | (\$1,026,543,426) | (\$698,464,461) | -19,790 |
| 26 | (\$3,737,056,813) | (\$1,875,413,946) | (\$1,147,525,172) | $(\$ 729,956,074)$ | -21,310 |
| 27 | (\$3,654,374,383) | (\$1,802,934,807) | (\$1,101,201,453) | (\$760,843,473) | -21,112 |
| 28 | (\$2,631,466,145) | (\$1,385,767,360) | (\$846,498,581) | (\$651,878,425) | -17,064 |
| 29 | (\$3,874,861,887) | (\$1,781,110,448) | (\$1,075,993,188) | (\$512,857,929) | -17,706 |
| 30 | (\$3,470,744,749) | (\$1,704,695,498) | (\$1,024,362,454) | (\$521,913,830) | -17,604 |
| 31 | (\$2,812,055,605) | (\$1,531,848,315) | (\$963,527,180) | (\$708,888,465) | -19,303 |
| 32 | (\$3,470,744,749) | (\$1,704,695,498) | (\$1,024,362,454) | (\$521,913,830) | -17,604 |
| TOTAL | (\$121,295,057,134) | (\$60,711,126,081) | (\$37,066,522,092) | (\$23,920,371,075) | -691,735 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity in Texas Detailed Industrial Category 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right]$

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Comptroller's Economic Region Results

| Economic Region | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Plains | (\$1,265,089,661) | $(\$ 708,459,854)$ | (\$428,537,010) | $(\$ 427,006,965)$ | -9,378 |
| Northwest Texas | (\$1,028,924,121) | (\$578,926,472) | (\$350,360,730) | $(\$ 368,485,404)$ | -7,832 |
| Metroplex | (\$9,295,178,634) | (\$5,006,419,675) | (\$3,018,256,375) | (\$2,688,626,214) | -63,525 |
| Upper East Texas | (\$2,160,705,887) | (\$1,199,643,412) | $(\$ 729,267,248)$ | (\$756,754,243) | -16,229 |
| Southeast Texas | (\$1,452,978,098) | (\$825,461,249) | $(\$ 508,232,572)$ | $(\$ 545,106,357)$ | -11,441 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$8,412,555,792) | (\$4,265,732,395) | (\$2,581,760,286) | (\$2,177,322,300) | -52,831 |
| Capital | (\$1,980,091,991) | (\$1,117,256,671) | $(\$ 678,964,547)$ | $(\$ 636,637,260)$ | -14,577 |
| Central Texas | (\$1,654,051,768) | (\$927,064,148) | (\$563,385,383) | $(\$ 581,135,350)$ | -12,624 |
| Alamo | (\$3,499,971,211) | (\$1,922,264,372) | (\$1,162,513,143) | (\$1,098,992,008) | -25,141 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$1,303,933,795) | (\$697,867,941) | $(\$ 424,384,118)$ | $(\$ 441,828,465)$ | -9,419 |
| South Texas Border | (\$1,637,708,935) | $(\$ 936,484,379)$ | $(\$ 569,395,610)$ | $(\$ 586,899,479)$ | -12,852 |
| West Texas | (\$838,594,572) | (\$459,598,322) | $(\$ 277,139,595)$ | (\$288,352,920) | -6,127 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$1,189,542,746) | (\$640,582,854) | (\$382,026,697) | (\$347,736,343) | -8,148 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$35,719,327,210) | (\$19,285,761,745) | $(\$ 11,674,223,316)$ | (\$10,944,883,306) | -250,125 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Council of Governments (COG) Region Results 

| COG | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panhandle | $(\$ 646,630,338)$ | (\$357,690,239) | (\$216,194,109) | (\$219,325,016) | -4,751 |
| South Plains | (\$618,459,322) | (\$350,769,614) | (\$212,342,901) | (\$207,681,948) | -4,626 |
| North Texas | (\$413,002,919) | (\$235,536,938) | (\$143,168,934) | (\$152,169,889) | -3,211 |
| North Central Texas | (\$8,911,522,327) | (\$4,787,825,457) | (\$2,884,380,569) | (\$2,548,224,950) | -60,510 |
| North East Texas | $(\$ 499,886,999)$ | (\$283,516,790) | (\$173,712,570) | (\$188,118,884) | -3,929 |
| East Texas | (\$1,660,818,887) | (\$916,126,622) | (\$555,554,678) | (\$568,635,359) | -12,300 |
| West Central Texas | $(\$ 615,921,202)$ | (\$343,389,534) | (\$207,191,797) | (\$216,315,515) | -4,621 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$1,189,542,746) | (\$640,582,854) | (\$382,026,697) | (\$347,736,343) | -8,148 |
| Permian Basin | (\$563,857,384) | (\$311,122,650) | (\$188,537,683) | (\$196,585,145) | -4,148 |
| Concho Valley | (\$274,737,189) | (\$148,475,673) | (\$88,601,912) | (\$91,767,775) | -1,980 |
| Heart of Texas | (\$673,412,468) | (\$366,139,959) | (\$220,364,459) | (\$222,421,958) | -4,903 |
| Capital | (\$1,980,091,991) | (\$1,117,256,671) | (\$678,964,547) | (\$636,637,260) | -14,577 |
| Brazos Valley | (\$408,014,926) | (\$229,303,037) | (\$139,491,615) | (\$146,388,130) | -3,132 |
| Deep East Texas | (\$746,090,499) | (\$427,944,676) | (\$262,230,424) | (\$285,702,829) | -5,952 |
| South East Texas | (\$706,887,599) | (\$397,516,574) | (\$246,002,148) | (\$259,403,527) | -5,489 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$8,412,555,792) | (\$4,265,732,395) | (\$2,581,760,286) | (\$2,177,322,300) | -52,831 |
| Golden Crescent | (\$337,508,956) | (\$186,318,135) | (\$113,870,291) | (\$119,463,605) | -2,532 |
| Alamo | (\$3,499,971,211) | (\$1,922,264,372) | (\$1,162,513,143) | (\$1,098,992,008) | -25,141 |
| South Texas | (\$250,453,784) | (\$144,681,743) | (\$87,985,780) | (\$100,740,643) | -2,039 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$966,424,840) | (\$511,549,806) | (\$310,513,828) | (\$322,364,860) | -6,887 |
| Lower Rio Grande Valley | (\$1,193,275,402) | (\$679,407,648) | (\$412,938,268) | (\$411,388,895) | -9,237 |
| Texoma | (\$383,656,307) | (\$218,594,218) | (\$133,875,807) | (\$140,401,264) | -3,016 |
| Central Texas | (\$572,624,374) | $(\$ 331,621,152)$ | (\$203,529,309) | (\$212,325,262) | -4,589 |
| Middle Rio Grande | (\$193,979,749) | (\$112,394,987) | (\$68,471,562) | (\$74,769,941) | -1,576 |
| Border Region | (\$2,828,866,714) | (\$1,578,065,883) | (\$952,050,092) | (\$935,242,632) | -21,013 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$35,719,327,210) | (\$19,285,761,745) | (\$11,674,223,316) | (\$10,944,883,306) | -250,125 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and Rural Texas Results 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { MSA } & & & & & \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }) \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$
*Metropolitan Division
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson | (\$85,606,353) | (\$50,509,474) | (\$30,975,712) | $(\$ 32,058,492)$ | -687 |
| Andrews | (\$19,728,080) | (\$11,111,370) | (\$6,748,136) | (\$6,840,278) | -145 |
| Angelina | (\$160,585,303) | (\$90,759,051) | (\$55,112,814) | (\$57,943,239) | -1,241 |
| Aransas | (\$70,104,987) | (\$36,643,113) | (\$22,077,470) | $(\$ 24,038,802)$ | -501 |
| Archer | (\$12,113,038) | (\$6,702,981) | (\$4,024,977) | $(\$ 4,383,932)$ | -91 |
| Armstrong | (\$3,792,018) | (\$2,028,916) | (\$1,252,810) | (\$1,057,620) | -26 |
| Atascosa | $(\$ 66,984,907)$ | (\$35,817,056) | (\$21,744,548) | $(\$ 22,093,465)$ | -474 |
| Austin | (\$50,791,911) | (\$27,142,488) | (\$16,544,589) | (\$14,812,707) | -340 |
| Bailey | $(\$ 7,846,360)$ | (\$4,540,776) | (\$2,711,890) | $(\$ 2,825,915)$ | -59 |
| Bandera | $(\$ 46,267,625)$ | (\$24,918,929) | (\$14,981,350) | $(\$ 16,535,361)$ | -342 |
| Bastrop | (\$118,093,528) | (\$64,468,895) | (\$39,056,895) | (\$40,713,115) | -878 |
| Baylor | (\$10,950,339) | $(\$ 6,301,546)$ | (\$3,822,753) | $(\$ 4,029,626)$ | -84 |
| Bee | (\$35,435,781) | (\$20,290,777) | (\$12,446,443) | (\$13,895,261) | -287 |
| Bell | (\$369,204,101) | (\$215,875,073) | (\$132,700,339) | (\$133,672,412) | -2,954 |
| Bexar | (\$2,609,043,726) | (\$1,437,881,553) | (\$870,321,103) | (\$785,638,062) | -18,497 |
| Blanco | $(\$ 17,809,997)$ | $(\$ 9,550,183)$ | (\$5,715,488) | (\$5,969,311) | -128 |
| Borden | (\$5,779,815) | (\$3,143,951) | (\$1,863,953) | $(\$ 1,809,984)$ | -38 |
| Bosque | (\$39,273,320) | (\$21,994,713) | (\$13,387,952) | $(\$ 13,368,617)$ | -293 |
| Bowie | (\$162,903,268) | (\$95,309,666) | $(\$ 58,542,567)$ | $(\$ 60,027,528)$ | -1,303 |
| Brazoria | (\$379,425,296) | (\$207,299,233) | (\$127,494,071) | $(\$ 138,962,466)$ | -2,884 |
| Brazos | (\$191,710,759) | (\$104,989,374) | $(\$ 63,584,889)$ | (\$62,389,722) | -1,406 |
| Brewster | $(\$ 15,348,427)$ | (\$9,166,803) | (\$5,592,947) | $(\$ 5,697,057)$ | -124 |
| Briscoe | (\$2,946,841) | (\$1,588,404) | (\$954,907) | (\$1,028,416) | -22 |
| Brooks | $(\$ 9,622,454)$ | (\$5,640,700) | $(\$ 3,499,204)$ | $(\$ 4,168,578)$ | -83 |
| Brown | $(\$ 72,871,857)$ | $(\$ 43,547,405)$ | $(\$ 26,524,569)$ | (\$29,874,811) | -620 |
| Burleson | (\$34,874,333) | (\$20,208,843) | (\$12,459,849) | (\$13,895,261) | -283 |
| Burnet | (\$85,454,397) | (\$46,377,143) | $(\$ 27,835,501)$ | (\$28,624,238) | -619 |
| Caldwell | (\$60,342,734) | (\$32,764,756) | $(\$ 20,206,549)$ | $(\$ 21,398,702)$ | -455 |
| Calhoun | $(\$ 22,543,407)$ | (\$10,646,947) | $(\$ 6,492,003)$ | $(\$ 6,822,293)$ | -145 |
| Callahan | (\$29,939,637) | (\$15,817,607) | $(\$ 9,508,695)$ | (\$10,421,446) | -214 |
| Cameron | (\$477,150,368) | (\$266,187,281) | (\$160,849,275) | (\$160,629,218) | -3,606 |
| Camp | (\$20,396,803) | (\$11,276,105) | $(\$ 6,886,383)$ | (\$7,312,950) | -154 |
| Carson | (\$4,413,084) | (\$1,926,621) | $(\$ 1,093,597)$ | $(\$ 891,748)$ | -22 |
| Cass | $(\$ 57,066,395)$ | (\$32,566,545) | $(\$ 20,021,540)$ | (\$23,382,534) | -463 |
| Castro | (\$6,375,762) | (\$3,560,871) | $(\$ 2,149,635)$ | $(\$ 2,453,087)$ | -50 |
| Chambers | (\$44,052,911) | (\$20,364,701) | (\$12,292,291) | (\$11,219,118) | -263 |
| Cherokee | (\$84,913,625) | (\$48,794,480) | (\$29,974,824) | (\$33,252,611) | -684 |
| Childress | (\$12,289,878) | (\$6,765,555) | (\$4,094,216) | $(\$ 4,724,389)$ | -96 |
| Clay | (\$20,371,590) | (\$11,328,990) | (\$7,085,633) | (\$7,045,670) | -154 |
| Cochran | (\$2,742,128) | (\$1,540,236) | $(\$ 941,580)$ | $(\$ 888,239)$ | -20 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coke | $(\$ 9,017,342)$ | $(\$ 4,880,469)$ | $(\$ 2,981,225)$ | (\$3,304,759) | -66 |
| Coleman | (\$22,457,470) | (\$12,580,214) | $(\$ 7,581,406)$ | (\$8,198,204) | -170 |
| Collin | (\$786,145,998) | $(\$ 438,974,137)$ | (\$267,815,295) | $(\$ 253,527,425)$ | -5,730 |
| Collingsworth | (\$5,797,016) | $(\$ 3,469,609)$ | (\$2,141,638) | $(\$ 2,352,673)$ | -48 |
| Colorado | (\$48,520,164) | (\$27,037,545) | (\$16,467,365) | $(\$ 18,569,336)$ | -387 |
| Comal | $(\$ 190,307,927)$ | (\$103,537,525) | (\$62,068,438) | $(\$ 64,335,059)$ | -1,408 |
| Comanche | (\$29,797,616) | (\$16,979,832) | (\$10,274,544) | (\$10,798,615) | -227 |
| Concho | (\$4,732,532) | $(\$ 2,714,344)$ | (\$1,720,096) | (\$1,689,187) | -38 |
| Cooke | (\$75,883,905) | (\$41,475,329) | (\$25,624,471) | $(\$ 26,539,949)$ | -570 |
| Coryell | (\$80,150,171) | (\$45,317,921) | $(\$ 27,629,456)$ | $(\$ 30,291,669)$ | -639 |
| Cottle | (\$4,645,248) | $(\$ 2,843,661)$ | (\$1,758,786) | $(\$ 1,688,184)$ | -37 |
| Crane | $(\$ 3,136,058)$ | $(\$ 1,804,469)$ | (\$1,118,475) | (\$1,117,291) | -24 |
| Crockett | $(\$ 4,971,130)$ | $(\$ 2,868,086)$ | (\$1,731,751) | $(\$ 2,223,242)$ | -42 |
| Crosby | $(\$ 8,580,364)$ | $(\$ 4,996,542)$ | $(\$ 3,057,539)$ | $(\$ 2,698,101)$ | -65 |
| Culberson | $(\$ 2,987,482)$ | $(\$ 1,909,269)$ | (\$1,171,378) | $(\$ 1,528,479)$ | -29 |
| Dallam | (\$7,461,750) | (\$4,322,894) | $(\$ 2,590,506)$ | (\$2,241,615) | -55 |
| Dallas | (\$3,429,918,636) | (\$1,795,167,413) | (\$1,071,695,316) | $(\$ 816,925,055)$ | -21,291 |
| Dawson | (\$22,925,623) | (\$12,750,158) | (\$7,558,237) | $(\$ 8,116,859)$ | -169 |
| Deaf Smith | (\$15,405,409) | (\$8,212,213) | $(\$ 4,897,968)$ | $(\$ 4,317,089)$ | -103 |
| Delta | (\$8,209,236) | $(\$ 4,588,497)$ | (\$2,877,272) | (\$2,386,138) | -58 |
| Denton | $(\$ 677,192,705)$ | (\$362,564,806) | $(\$ 217,103,447)$ | (\$201,759,191) | -4,623 |
| DeWitt | (\$43,583,475) | (\$24,392,677) | (\$14,972,889) | $(\$ 15,562,692)$ | -336 |
| Dickens | $(\$ 5,400,348)$ | $(\$ 3,138,124)$ | (\$1,922,693) | $(\$ 2,094,554)$ | -42 |
| Dimmit | (\$10,217,739) | $(\$ 5,812,311)$ | $(\$ 3,575,467)$ | $(\$ 4,446,484)$ | -86 |
| Donley | (\$8,502,261) | $(\$ 5,249,118)$ | (\$3,250,279) | (\$4,029,626) | -79 |
| Duval | (\$14,717,108) | (\$7,797,936) | (\$4,713,157) | (\$5,005,536) | -105 |
| Eastland | (\$40,108,184) | $(\$ 21,826,490)$ | (\$13,256,673) | (\$15,145,835) | -309 |
| Ector | (\$193,102,098) | (\$106,708,657) | (\$65,370,574) | (\$67,947,827) | -1,444 |
| Edwards | (\$3,240,756) | (\$1,844,067) | (\$1,080,551) | $(\$ 1,227,561)$ | -24 |
| El Paso | (\$1,152,432,430) | $(\$ 619,136,638)$ | (\$369,022,056) | $(\$ 333,347,314)$ | -7,851 |
| Ellis | (\$187,844,753) | (\$101,524,178) | (\$61,026,806) | $(\$ 65,379,709)$ | -1,379 |
| Erath | (\$52,147,565) | (\$30,694,275) | $(\$ 18,896,593)$ | (\$20,981,844) | -438 |
| Falls | (\$35,417,059) | $(\$ 20,541,689)$ | (\$12,529,695) | (\$12,713,302) | -275 |
| Fannin | (\$73,194,450) | (\$41,427,224) | (\$25,294,844) | (\$25,904,312) | -563 |
| Fayette | $(\$ 67,622,939)$ | (\$37,253,542) | (\$22,433,015) | $(\$ 21,676,607)$ | -488 |
| Fisher | $(\$ 7,631,873)$ | $(\$ 4,504,166)$ | (\$2,731,090) | (\$3,096,693) | -63 |
| Floyd | (\$7,760,943) | $(\$ 4,097,372)$ | $(\$ 2,448,950)$ | (\$2,301,686) | -52 |
| Foard | $(\$ 1,891,894)$ | $(\$ 1,159,056)$ | (\$725,161) | (\$768,743) | -16 |
| Fort Bend | $(\$ 641,526,282)$ | (\$331,089,080) | (\$199,720,566) | $(\$ 189,560,007)$ | -4,247 |
| Franklin | (\$15,238,413) | (\$8,398,628) | $(\$ 5,082,136)$ | (\$5,964,958) | -118 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & & \text { Total } \\ & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { County } & & & & & \\ \hline & (\$ 2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jasper | $(\$ 69,225,724)$ | (\$39,658,810) | (\$24,261,221) | $(\$ 27,658,121)$ | -563 |
| Jeff Davis | (\$5,067,910) | (\$2,828,898) | (\$1,726,618) | $(\$ 1,930,584)$ | -40 |
| Jefferson | (\$465,912,006) | (\$261,826,668) | (\$162,703,921) | $(\$ 166,048,370)$ | -3,585 |
| Jim Hogg | $(\$ 7,883,048)$ | (\$4,504,644) | (\$2,667,133) | (\$3,334,863) | -63 |
| Jim Wells | (\$54,723,839) | (\$32,485,501) | (\$19,706,213) | $(\$ 21,676,607)$ | -447 |
| Johnson | (\$224,597,947) | (\$126,118,552) | (\$77,424,078) | (\$80,453,562) | -1,744 |
| Jones | (\$37,793,705) | (\$20,819,477) | (\$12,679,842) | (\$12,889,678) | -281 |
| Karnes | $(\$ 28,633,545)$ | (\$14,630,786) | (\$8,741,710) | $(\$ 9,587,730)$ | -196 |
| Kaufman | (\$151,261,531) | (\$82,802,430) | (\$50,353,414) | (\$53,913,613) | -1,150 |
| Kendall | (\$60,134,817) | (\$32,035,640) | (\$19,417,166) | $(\$ 20,703,939)$ | -439 |
| Kenedy | (\$2,114,911) | (\$1,113,710) | $(\$ 687,208)$ | (\$914,892) | -19 |
| Kent | (\$2,077,072) | (\$1,123,683) | $(\$ 680,487)$ | (\$704,350) | -14 |
| Kerr | (\$119,360,202) | (\$65,880,457) | (\$39,523,546) | (\$42,380,546) | -898 |
| Kimble | (\$10,959,714) | $(\$ 5,652,139)$ | (\$3,343,200) | (\$3,751,720) | -76 |
| King | (\$2,440,715) | $(\$ 1,398,997)$ | (\$844,752) | (\$659,392) | -17 |
| Kinney | $(\$ 8,650,522)$ | (\$4,520,135) | $(\$ 2,621,705)$ | $(\$ 2,931,963)$ | -60 |
| Kleberg | $(\$ 45,397,028)$ | (\$24,960,550) | (\$15,110,702) | $(\$ 16,257,455)$ | -343 |
| Knox | (\$7,264,863) | (\$4,149,460) | $(\$ 2,513,442)$ | $(\$ 2,441,132)$ | -53 |
| La Salle | $(\$ 6,535,109)$ | (\$3,841,447) | (\$2,338,064) | $(\$ 2,918,005)$ | -57 |
| Lamar | (\$101,311,766) | (\$56,145,289) | (\$34,304,181) | (\$37,794,212) | -785 |
| Lamb | (\$15,813,285) | (\$8,628,291) | (\$5,219,453) | (\$5,771,844) | -115 |
| Lampasas | (\$43,392,801) | $(\$ 24,492,237)$ | (\$14,896,514) | (\$16,674,313) | -348 |
| Lavaca | (\$48,115,175) | (\$28,319,446) | (\$17,332,573) | (\$18,219,483) | -390 |
| Lee | (\$29,525,182) | (\$16,309,868) | $(\$ 9,975,204)$ | (\$10,043,603) | -219 |
| Leon | $(\$ 30,828,098)$ | (\$18,400,675) | $(\$ 11,056,207)$ | (\$12,924,477) | -255 |
| Liberty | $(\$ 130,381,698)$ | (\$73,318,859) | (\$45,153,472) | $(\$ 46,541,146)$ | -995 |
| Limestone | $(\$ 41,584,331)$ | (\$23,651,973) | (\$14,625,969) | $(\$ 16,813,266)$ | -336 |
| Lipscomb | $(\$ 4,830,746)$ | (\$2,504,915) | (\$1,484,754) | $(\$ 1,400,505)$ | -31 |
| Live Oak | (\$29,467,694) | (\$15,996,572) | (\$9,747,590) | (\$11,672,019) | -227 |
| Llano | (\$72,300,794) | (\$39,633,110) | (\$23,887,607) | $(\$ 25,428,328)$ | -540 |
| Loving | (\$888,906) | $(\$ 337,735)$ | $(\$ 208,389)$ | (\$187,705) | -4 |
| Lubbock | (\$456,003,946) | (\$258,394,421) | (\$156,478,913) | (\$145,900,241) | -3,364 |
| Lynn | $(\$ 6,428,323)$ | (\$3,458,823) | $(\$ 2,041,362)$ | $(\$ 1,453,497)$ | -40 |
| Madison | (\$18,462,288) | (\$10,592,733) | $(\$ 6,375,959)$ | $(\$ 7,781,346)$ | -151 |
| Marion | (\$25,278,746) | (\$14,112,872) | $(\$ 8,637,150)$ | $(\$ 9,726,683)$ | -202 |
| Martin | (\$5,301,871) | $(\$ 2,852,490)$ | (\$1,712,367) | $(\$ 1,811,773)$ | -37 |
| Mason | (\$11,194,673) | (\$6,043,428) | (\$3,605,766) | $(\$ 3,890,673)$ | -81 |
| Matagorda | (\$65,878,989) | (\$34,924,488) | (\$21,424,310) | $(\$ 25,199,595)$ | -496 |
| Maverick | (\$49,826,245) | $(\$ 28,348,377)$ | (\$17,144,530) | (\$19,453,366) | -403 |
| McCulloch | (\$18,488,877) | (\$10,695,630) | (\$6,588,116) | $(\$ 7,086,583)$ | -148 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McLennan | (\$446,907,443) | (\$240,595,317) | $(\$ 144,251,917)$ | $(\$ 138,396,800)$ | -3,164 |
| McMullen | $(\$ 785,831)$ | (\$397,231) | $(\$ 232,467)$ | $(\$ 192,199)$ | -5 |
| Medina | (\$67,541,919) | (\$36,210,741) | $(\$ 21,682,222)$ | (\$23,621,944) | -498 |
| Menard | (\$5,602,619) | (\$3,243,961) | (\$1,951,893) | $(\$ 2,223,242)$ | -44 |
| Midland | (\$171,589,292) | $(\$ 94,828,198)$ | $(\$ 56,985,877)$ | $(\$ 56,126,461)$ | -1,217 |
| Milam | $(\$ 43,236,220)$ | (\$24,291,342) | (\$15,090,455) | (\$16,652,423) | -343 |
| Mills | (\$8,364,996) | $(\$ 5,433,135)$ | (\$3,364,781) | $(\$ 3,779,283)$ | -77 |
| Mitchell | (\$14,764,463) | $(\$ 8,451,545)$ | (\$5,126,763) | $(\$ 5,661,480)$ | -116 |
| Montague | (\$45,195,928) | (\$24,320,693) | (\$14,661,174) | (\$16,257,455) | -339 |
| Montgomery | (\$678,087,126) | (\$354,256,539) | $(\$ 216,493,525)$ | (\$200,878,220) | -4,603 |
| Moore | (\$22,636,015) | (\$11,165,044) | (\$6,691,623) | $(\$ 6,978,664)$ | -146 |
| Morris | (\$21,154,785) | (\$10,819,340) | (\$6,725,000) | $(\$ 6,251,675)$ | -144 |
| Motley | $(\$ 3,608,439)$ | (\$1,942,645) | (\$1,148,675) | $(\$ 1,266,877)$ | -26 |
| Nacogdoches | (\$97,109,218) | $(\$ 56,275,354)$ | (\$34,831,652) | (\$38,489,873) | -813 |
| Navarro | (\$92,749,533) | (\$50,745,878) | $(\$ 31,002,673)$ | (\$30,594,131) | -686 |
| Newton | (\$13,902,563) | $(\$ 9,465,171)$ | (\$6,061,449) | (\$7,322,001) | -140 |
| Nolan | (\$34,404,223) | (\$19,537,679) | (\$11,750,476) | (\$12,187,161) | -261 |
| Nueces | (\$585,529,770) | (\$302,486,831) | (\$183,309,310) | $(\$ 177,581,437)$ | -3,953 |
| Ochiltree | $(\$ 9,522,184)$ | $(\$ 4,977,203)$ | (\$3,019,819) | $(\$ 3,054,052)$ | -65 |
| Oldham | $(\$ 1,346,427)$ | $(\$ 839,149)$ | $(\$ 522,364)$ | $(\$ 688,567)$ | -13 |
| Orange | (\$147,377,607) | $(\$ 83,606,549)$ | (\$51,848,801) | $(\$ 58,061,194)$ | -1,188 |
| Palo Pinto | (\$63,684,491) | (\$33,197,831) | (\$19,860,257) | (\$21,398,702) | -449 |
| Panola | (\$45,272,219) | $(\$ 25,031,808)$ | $(\$ 15,455,128)$ | (\$16,319,980) | -342 |
| Parker | (\$184,100,067) | $(\$ 97,921,528)$ | (\$59,040,078) | $(\$ 61,000,196)$ | -1,324 |
| Parmer | (\$4,607,018) | (\$2,185,056) | (\$1,325,507) | $(\$ 848,803)$ | -26 |
| Pecos | (\$18,612,385) | (\$10,153,978) | $(\$ 6,128,388)$ | $(\$ 7,086,583)$ | -142 |
| Polk | (\$130,334,149) | $(\$ 74,691,967)$ | $(\$ 45,133,588)$ | (\$50,717,703) | -1,022 |
| Potter | (\$214,348,214) | $(\$ 120,036,208)$ | $(\$ 72,775,088)$ | (\$69,198,400) | -1,568 |
| Presidio | (\$11,295,603) | $(\$ 6,102,607)$ | (\$3,677,235) | $(\$ 4,029,626)$ | -83 |
| Rains | $(\$ 21,280,389)$ | $(\$ 11,348,524)$ | (\$6,749,066) | $(\$ 7,898,203)$ | -154 |
| Randall | (\$198,576,850) | (\$112,111,345) | (\$67,503,045) | (\$66,746,890) | -1,475 |
| Reagan | (\$2,987,358) | (\$1,743,492) | (\$1,034,073) | $(\$ 1,258,629)$ | -24 |
| Real | $(\$ 9,643,843)$ | (\$5,042,228) | (\$2,995,389) | (\$3,334,863) | -68 |
| Red River | (\$32,118,286) | (\$17,644,518) | (\$10,590,935) | (\$11,721,898) | -240 |
| Reeves | (\$17,469,497) | (\$9,819,081) | (\$5,962,608) | $(\$ 7,225,536)$ | -142 |
| Refugio | (\$14,482,702) | $(\$ 8,176,626)$ | $(\$ 4,839,100)$ | $(\$ 6,669,725)$ | -119 |
| Roberts | (\$1,294,646) | $(\$ 672,982)$ | (\$399,249) | $(\$ 546,244)$ | -10 |
| Robertson | (\$32,867,901) | $(\$ 18,628,622)$ | (\$11,395,496) | $(\$ 13,617,356)$ | -268 |
| Rockwall | $(\$ 79,391,848)$ | (\$44,841,020) | $(\$ 27,501,397)$ | $(\$ 28,068,427)$ | -609 |
| Runnels | (\$24,453,911) | (\$12,565,318) | (\$7,494,208) | $(\$ 8,116,148)$ | -170 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rusk | (\$89,005,672) | (\$47,193,243) | $(\$ 28,996,101)$ | (\$29,605,692) | -638 |
| Sabine | (\$25,228,810) | (\$14,666,760) | (\$9,262,811) | (\$10,856,272) | -216 |
| San Augustine | (\$21,452,715) | (\$11,645,601) | (\$7,025,452) | $(\$ 7,829,248)$ | -158 |
| San Jacinto | (\$50,557,419) | (\$27,919,671) | (\$17,003,562) | $(\$ 18,897,555)$ | -389 |
| San Patricio | (\$104,042,735) | (\$55,560,260) | (\$34,144,965) | $(\$ 40,292,348)$ | -798 |
| San Saba | (\$11,743,031) | (\$6,948,217) | $(\$ 4,211,664)$ | $(\$ 4,724,389)$ | -98 |
| Schleicher | (\$2,895,788) | $(\$ 1,539,924)$ | $(\$ 947,371)$ | (\$774,012) | -20 |
| Scurry | $(\$ 26,671,133)$ | $(\$ 16,024,423)$ | (\$9,594,054) | $(\$ 11,131,533)$ | -222 |
| Shackelford | (\$4,792,917) | $(\$ 2,652,460)$ | (\$1,610,107) | $(\$ 1,812,308)$ | -37 |
| Shelby | (\$39,879,125) | $(\$ 23,942,002)$ | (\$15,035,698) | $(\$ 16,813,115)$ | -344 |
| Sherman | (\$1,280,368) | $(\$ 643,823)$ | $(\$ 385,909)$ | $(\$ 353,767)$ | -8 |
| Smith | (\$425,729,991) | (\$229,384,722) | (\$136,561,473) | (\$134,772,692) | -2,985 |
| Somervell | (\$7,081,319) | (\$3,484,624) | (\$2,201,761) | $(\$ 1,721,300)$ | -46 |
| Starr | (\$41,341,412) | $(\$ 25,216,105)$ | (\$15,712,151) | (\$19,175,460) | -378 |
| Stephens | (\$17,211,409) | (\$10,320,830) | $(\$ 6,261,139)$ | (\$7,805,088) | -148 |
| Sterling | $(\$ 1,348,622)$ | (\$855,282) | $(\$ 528,893)$ | (\$694,763) | -13 |
| Stonewall | (\$2,797,031) | (\$1,729,864) | (\$1,069,693) | (\$1,313,730) | -25 |
| Sutton | $(\$ 6,369,184)$ | $(\$ 3,721,066)$ | (\$2,252,760) | $(\$ 2,640,100)$ | -52 |
| Swisher | (\$8,606,415) | $(\$ 4,519,458)$ | (\$2,679,588) | (\$2,615,610) | -58 |
| Tarrant | (\$2,636,513,975) | (\$1,430,344,545) | (\$865,124,932) | $(\$ 785,499,109)$ | -18,409 |
| Taylor | $(\$ 227,336,535)$ | (\$123,081,344) | (\$73,809,209) | (\$69,615,258) | -1,587 |
| Terrell | (\$1,615,034) | (\$998,651) | $(\$ 627,785)$ | $(\$ 606,810)$ | -14 |
| Terry | (\$17,326,879) | $(\$ 9,852,115)$ | (\$5,785,602) | (\$7,035,529) | -134 |
| Throckmorton | (\$2,086,824) | $(\$ 1,182,983)$ | (\$697,970) | $(\$ 787,534)$ | -16 |
| Titus | (\$39,215,231) | $(\$ 21,678,522)$ | (\$13,249,042) | (\$15,995,329) | -310 |
| Tom Green | (\$193,702,601) | $(\$ 103,308,982)$ | (\$61,206,933) | $(\$ 61,417,054)$ | -1,360 |
| Travis | (\$1,063,812,565) | (\$604,574,899) | (\$366,803,740) | $(\$ 320,318,462)$ | -7,671 |
| Trinity | $(\$ 38,705,527)$ | (\$23,089,319) | (\$14,144,801) | $(\$ 15,385,322)$ | -324 |
| Tyler | (\$43,021,692) | $(\$ 24,966,649)$ | (\$15,336,919) | (\$16,785,702) | -347 |
| Upshur | (\$79,615,911) | (\$43,990,109) | (\$26,523,596) | (\$28,624,238) | -591 |
| Upton | (\$4,694,982) | $(\$ 2,582,478)$ | (\$1,541,415) | $(\$ 1,592,730)$ | -33 |
| Uvalde | (\$41,097,442) | (\$23,232,717) | (\$14,169,893) | (\$14,590,024) | -320 |
| Val Verde | (\$55,697,094) | (\$33,979,585) | (\$20,924,294) | $(\$ 21,398,702)$ | -470 |
| Van Zandt | (\$97,993,079) | (\$59,430,264) | (\$36,342,777) | $(\$ 40,018,352)$ | -835 |
| Victoria | (\$160,597,913) | $(\$ 87,383,426)$ | (\$53,385,549) | $(\$ 54,052,566)$ | -1,165 |
| Walker | (\$150,757,952) | (\$87,762,471) | (\$53,571,951) | $(\$ 57,387,428)$ | -1,223 |
| Waller | (\$52,135,986) | $(\$ 26,624,495)$ | (\$15,707,884) | $(\$ 18,063,839)$ | -366 |
| Ward | (\$15,974,380) | $(\$ 9,064,233)$ | $(\$ 5,465,139)$ | $(\$ 6,530,773)$ | -128 |
| Washington | (\$66,254,788) | (\$37,755,326) | $(\$ 23,016,289)$ | (\$23,011,900) | -505 |
| Webb | (\$188,511,438) | (\$107,671,097) | (\$65,155,631) | (\$72,672,216) | -1,491 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wharton | (\$75,039,637) | (\$42,771,571) | $(\$ 26,188,594)$ | (\$29,111,098) | -599 |
| Wheeler | $(\$ 8,375,039)$ | (\$5,004,604) | (\$3,063,033) | $(\$ 3,662,336)$ | -72 |
| Wichita | (\$223,574,141) | (\$130,098,391) | $(\$ 79,091,575)$ | (\$82,398,898) | -1,762 |
| Wilbarger | $(\$ 31,200,491)$ | (\$17,277,176) | $(\$ 10,526,052)$ | (\$11,255,161) | -237 |
| Willacy | $(\$ 24,459,157)$ | (\$14,824,993) | (\$8,934,164) | (\$10,232,707) | -207 |
| Williamson | (\$301,690,371) | (\$174,980,850) | $(\$ 108,164,578)$ | (\$105,772,229) | -2,356 |
| Wilson | $(\$ 62,293,293)$ | (\$34,237,004) | $(\$ 20,870,116)$ | (\$22,927,181) | -483 |
| Winkler | $(\$ 8,558,782)$ | $(\$ 4,899,867)$ | $(\$ 3,000,311)$ | $(\$ 3,463,640)$ | -68 |
| Wise | (\$79,044,879) | $(\$ 44,625,821)$ | $(\$ 27,170,318)$ | (\$29,457,954) | -612 |
| Wood | $(\$ 116,386,167)$ | (\$62,865,320) | (\$37,824,602) | (\$39,377,443) | -849 |
| Yoakum | $(\$ 8,260,607)$ | (\$4,627,858) | (\$2,811,077) | $(\$ 3,332,589)$ | -65 |
| Young | $(\$ 41,473,809)$ | $(\$ 23,224,202)$ | (\$13,945,311) | (\$15,517,748) | -315 |
| Zapata | (\$12,717,887) | $(\$ 7,289,897)$ | $(\$ 4,450,865)$ | $(\$ 5,558,104)$ | -107 |
| Zavala | $(\$ 9,071,000)$ | (\$5,774,120) | $(\$ 3,621,669)$ | $(\$ 4,468,974)$ | -88 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$35,719,327,210) | (\$19,285,761,745) | (\$11,674,223,316) | $(\$ 10,944,883,306)$ | -250,125 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(\$ 266,403,193)$ | $(\$ 152,808,422)$ | $(\$ 93,926,257)$ | (\$99,388,419) | -2,111 |
| 2 | (\$259,154,911) | (\$150,978,118) | (\$91,948,682) | (\$103,080,742) | -2,120 |
| 3 | (\$258,762,552) | (\$144,821,240) | (\$88,423,462) | (\$98,457,148) | -2,020 |
| 4 | (\$357,962,383) | (\$193,874,054) | (\$117,209,354) | (\$122,695,155) | -2,642 |
| 5 | (\$339,291,413) | (\$182,548,392) | $(\$ 110,957,196)$ | (\$113,246,402) | -2,441 |
| 6 | (\$349,098,592) | (\$188,095,472) | $(\$ 111,980,408)$ | (\$110,513,608) | -2,448 |
| 7 | (\$316,377,947) | (\$177,990,469) | (\$108,630,377) | (\$107,213,793) | -2,376 |
| 8 | (\$254,937,774) | (\$144,205,114) | $(\$ 88,222,045)$ | (\$93,361,149) | -1,980 |
| 9 | $(\$ 252,895,592)$ | $(\$ 146,188,527)$ | $(\$ 90,416,835)$ | (\$101,646,629) | -2,095 |
| 10 | (\$263,077,512) | $(\$ 141,582,657)$ | (\$84,978,042) | (\$92,614,420) | -1,944 |
| 11 | (\$275,279,770) | (\$151,883,851) | (\$93,446,511) | (\$96,182,962) | -2,058 |
| 12 | (\$292,869,942) | (\$166,734,690) | (\$101,598,096) | (\$109,011,819) | -2,301 |
| 13 | (\$300,821,411) | (\$171,387,751) | (\$104,735,754) | (\$107,980,103) | -2,331 |
| 14 | $(\$ 178,291,006)$ | (\$97,640,118) | (\$59,133,947) | $(\$ 58,022,442)$ | -1,308 |
| 15 | (\$305,139,207) | (\$159,415,443) | (\$97,422,086) | (\$90,395,199) | -2,071 |
| 16 | (\$311,920,078) | (\$162,958,008) | $(\$ 99,587,022)$ | (\$92,403,981) | -2,117 |
| 17 | (\$312,055,899) | (\$172,627,948) | (\$104,843,270) | (\$109,265,203) | -2,352 |
| 18 | (\$321,743,689) | (\$179,893,915) | (\$109,771,477) | (\$115,337,889) | -2,431 |
| 19 | (\$231,297,738) | (\$131,778,029) | $(\$ 81,063,867)$ | $(\$ 91,387,367)$ | -1,854 |
| 20 | $(\$ 178,996,887)$ | (\$103,032,725) | (\$63,764,516) | (\$64,249,926) | -1,403 |
| 21 | $(\$ 246,933,363)$ | (\$138,768,134) | (\$86,233,078) | (\$88,005,636) | -1,900 |
| 22 | (\$242,559,060) | (\$136,435,582) | (\$84,766,651) | $(\$ 87,332,525)$ | -1,875 |
| 23 | (\$292,130,745) | (\$153,717,863) | (\$93,116,932) | (\$93,609,679) | -2,051 |
| 24 | $(\$ 291,221,805)$ | (\$156,545,016) | (\$94,881,100) | (\$96,719,354) | -2,099 |
| 25 | (\$216,272,419) | (\$118,160,563) | (\$72,671,621) | $(\$ 79,208,605)$ | -1,644 |
| 26 | (\$259,818,144) | $(\$ 134,091,078)$ | $(\$ 80,886,829)$ | (\$76,771,803) | -1,720 |
| 27 | (\$259,818,144) | (\$134,091,078) | (\$80,886,829) | (\$76,771,803) | -1,720 |
| 28 | (\$249,065,616) | (\$132,302,991) | (\$79,843,385) | (\$83,191,339) | -1,772 |
| 29 | $(\$ 229,031,866)$ | (\$124,063,158) | (\$76,246,761) | (\$84,953,455) | -1,736 |
| 30 | $(\$ 290,329,282)$ | (\$161,517,430) | (\$98,488,700) | (\$103,550,795) | -2,191 |
| 31 | (\$125,329,838) | $(\$ 72,605,267)$ | (\$44,422,862) | (\$51,540,765) | -1,037 |
| 32 | $(\$ 261,099,404)$ | (\$136,123,872) | (\$82,878,461) | (\$90,687,401) | -1,879 |
| 33 | (\$260,560,748) | $(\$ 134,606,640)$ | $(\$ 81,572,643)$ | (\$79,023,739) | -1,759 |
| 34 | (\$260,560,748) | $(\$ 134,606,640)$ | $(\$ 81,572,643)$ | (\$79,023,739) | -1,759 |
| 35 | (\$228,585,862) | $(\$ 126,942,131)$ | (\$77,169,549) | (\$84,814,339) | -1,744 |
| 36 | $(\$ 172,916,469)$ | $(\$ 99,598,843)$ | $(\$ 60,788,707)$ | (\$60,131,742) | -1,356 |
| 37 | (\$190,860,147) | (\$106,474,912) | (\$64,339,710) | (\$64,251,687) | -1,443 |
| 38 | (\$190,860,147) | (\$106,474,912) | (\$64,339,710) | $(\$ 64,251,687)$ | -1,443 |
| 39 | (\$172,916,469) | $(\$ 99,598,843)$ | $(\$ 60,788,707)$ | (\$60,131,742) | -1,356 |
| 40 | (\$172,916,469) | (\$99,598,843) | $(\$ 60,788,707)$ | $(\$ 60,131,742)$ | -1,356 |

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | (\$172,916,469) | (\$99,598,843) | $(\$ 60,788,707)$ | (\$60,131,742) | -1,356 |
| 42 | $(\$ 131,958,007)$ | (\$75,369,768) | $(\$ 45,608,942)$ | (\$50,870,551) | -1,044 |
| 43 | (\$184,906,671) | (\$104,282,053) | $(\$ 63,068,267)$ | (\$67,034,339) | -1,437 |
| 44 | (\$252,753,990) | (\$140,124,267) | (\$85,194,001) | (\$94,546,373) | -1,965 |
| 45 | (\$241,592,215) | (\$133,658,365) | $(\$ 80,808,007)$ | (\$84,060,678) | -1,806 |
| 46 | (\$180,848,136) | (\$102,777,733) | (\$62,356,636) | (\$54,454,139) | -1,304 |
| 47 | $(\$ 180,848,136)$ | (\$102,777,733) | $(\$ 62,356,636)$ | (\$54,454,139) | -1,304 |
| 48 | (\$170,210,010) | $(\$ 96,731,984)$ | (\$58,688,598) | (\$51,250,954) | -1,227 |
| 49 | (\$180,848,136) | (\$102,777,733) | (\$62,356,636) | (\$54,454,139) | -1,304 |
| 50 | (\$170,210,010) | (\$96,731,984) | $(\$ 58,688,598)$ | (\$51,250,954) | -1,227 |
| 51 | (\$180,848,136) | (\$102,777,733) | (\$62,356,636) | (\$54,454,139) | -1,304 |
| 52 | (\$165,929,704) | $(\$ 96,239,467)$ | (\$59,490,518) | (\$58,174,726) | -1,296 |
| 53 | (\$355,113,404) | (\$194,945,727) | (\$117,343,467) | (\$126,882,682) | -2,658 |
| 54 | (\$272,836,798) | (\$155,060,659) | $(\$ 94,485,147)$ | (\$97,430,792) | -2,119 |
| 55 | (\$225,214,502) | (\$131,683,795) | $(\$ 80,947,207)$ | (\$81,540,171) | -1,802 |
| 56 | (\$286,020,763) | (\$153,981,003) | (\$92,321,227) | (\$88,573,952) | -2,025 |
| 57 | (\$278,462,024) | (\$154,778,033) | $(\$ 93,288,048)$ | (\$96,859,329) | -2,089 |
| 58 | (\$263,871,266) | (\$148,113,265) | (\$90,812,030) | (\$93,822,179) | -2,036 |
| 59 | (\$194,074,722) | (\$111,173,013) | (\$68,003,236) | (\$74,103,484) | -1,558 |
| 60 | (\$318,634,494) | (\$176,164,104) | (\$106,820,109) | (\$118,417,290) | -2,453 |
| 61 | (\$263,144,946) | (\$142,547,349) | (\$86,210,397) | (\$90,458,150) | -1,935 |
| 62 | (\$307,772,402) | (\$177,118,888) | (\$108,251,336) | (\$113,861,315) | -2,446 |
| 63 | (\$223,473,593) | (\$119,646,386) | $(\$ 71,644,137)$ | (\$66,580,533) | -1,526 |
| 64 | (\$230,245,520) | (\$123,272,034) | (\$73,815,172) | $(\$ 68,598,125)$ | -1,572 |
| 65 | (\$223,473,593) | (\$119,646,386) | $(\$ 71,644,137)$ | (\$66,580,533) | -1,526 |
| 66 | (\$212,259,419) | (\$118,523,017) | (\$72,310,130) | (\$68,452,405) | -1,547 |
| 67 | (\$212,259,419) | (\$118,523,017) | $(\$ 72,310,130)$ | (\$68,452,405) | -1,547 |
| 68 | (\$285,461,315) | (\$158,517,858) | $(\$ 96,831,815)$ | (\$103,491,007) | -2,171 |
| 69 | $(\$ 235,687,179)$ | (\$136,801,373) | (\$83,116,552) | (\$86,782,830) | -1,853 |
| 70 | (\$212,259,419) | (\$118,523,017) | (\$72,310,130) | (\$68,452,405) | -1,547 |
| 71 | (\$261,740,758) | (\$142,619,024) | (\$85,559,685) | (\$81,802,420) | -1,848 |
| 72 | (\$244,155,538) | (\$132,665,419) | (\$78,908,973) | (\$81,514,826) | -1,763 |
| 73 | (\$360,674,163) | (\$195,283,194) | (\$117,654,064) | (\$123,806,776) | -2,667 |
| 74 | (\$191,705,807) | (\$110,876,263) | (\$67,571,686) | (\$73,242,724) | -1,540 |
| 75 | (\$230,486,486) | (\$123,827,328) | (\$73,804,411) | (\$66,669,463) | -1,570 |
| 76 | $(\$ 230,486,486)$ | (\$123,827,328) | (\$73,804,411) | (\$66,669,463) | -1,570 |
| 77 | (\$230,486,486) | (\$123,827,328) | (\$73,804,411) | (\$66,669,463) | -1,570 |
| 78 | (\$230,486,486) | (\$123,827,328) | (\$73,804,411) | (\$66,669,463) | -1,570 |
| 79 | (\$230,486,486) | (\$123,827,328) | (\$73,804,411) | (\$66,669,463) | -1,570 |
| 80 | (\$173,385,995) | (\$95,949,626) | (\$57,813,717) | (\$65,221,032) | -1,345 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | (\$221,388,960) | (\$122,719,893) | (\$75,119,021) | (\$78,251,745) | -1,657 |
| 82 | (\$207,647,826) | (\$114,817,794) | (\$68,916,371) | (\$68,765,113) | -1,481 |
| 83 | $(\$ 234,476,524)$ | (\$132,045,061) | $(\$ 80,021,708)$ | (\$77,794,744) | -1,743 |
| 84 | $(\$ 273,602,368)$ | (\$155,036,653) | $(\$ 93,887,348)$ | (\$87,540,145) | -2,018 |
| 85 | (\$212,634,091) | (\$119,235,185) | (\$72,130,279) | (\$78,431,010) | -1,622 |
| 86 | (\$224,624,280) | (\$126,487,139) | (\$76,122,867) | (\$74,623,392) | -1,660 |
| 87 | (\$242,677,681) | (\$133,771,695) | (\$80,946,217) | (\$77,422,579) | -1,745 |
| 88 | (\$202,988,022) | (\$110,600,472) | $(\$ 67,056,369)$ | (\$75,876,805) | -1,521 |
| 89 | $(\$ 228,759,588)$ | (\$128,246,106) | $(\$ 78,386,303)$ | (\$76,238,638) | -1,698 |
| 90 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 91 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 92 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 93 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 94 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 95 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 96 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 97 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 98 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 99 | $(\$ 263,651,398)$ | (\$143,034,455) | $(\$ 86,512,493)$ | (\$78,549,911) | -1,841 |
| 100 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 101 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 102 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | $(\$ 66,980,957)$ | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 103 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 104 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | $(\$ 66,980,957)$ | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 105 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | $(\$ 66,980,957)$ | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 106 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 107 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 108 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 109 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 110 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 111 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 112 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 113 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | $(\$ 66,980,957)$ | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 114 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 115 | (\$214,369,915) | (\$112,197,963) | (\$66,980,957) | (\$51,057,816) | -1,331 |
| 116 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | (\$78,563,806) | -1,850 |
| 117 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | (\$78,563,806) | -1,850 |
| 118 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | (\$78,563,806) | -1,850 |
| 119 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | (\$78,563,806) | -1,850 |
| 120 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | (\$78,563,806) | -1,850 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | $(\$ 260,904,373)$ | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | $(\$ 78,563,806)$ | -1,850 |
| 122 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | $(\$ 78,563,806)$ | -1,850 |
| 123 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | $(\$ 78,563,806)$ | -1,850 |
| 124 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | $(\$ 78,563,806)$ | -1,850 |
| 125 | (\$260,904,373) | (\$143,788,155) | (\$87,032,110) | $(\$ 78,563,806)$ | -1,850 |
| 126 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 127 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 128 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 129 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 130 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 131 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 132 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 133 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 134 | $(\$ 222,266,328)$ | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 135 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 136 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 137 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 138 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 139 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 140 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 141 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 142 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 143 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 144 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 145 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 146 | $(\$ 222,266,328)$ | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 147 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | (\$49,916,297) | -1,302 |
| 148 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 149 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | $(\$ 66,199,837)$ | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| 150 | (\$222,266,328) | (\$109,729,710) | (\$66,199,837) | $(\$ 49,916,297)$ | -1,302 |
| TOTAL | (\$35,719,327,210) | (\$19,285,761,745) | (\$11,674,223,316) | (\$10,944,883,306) | -250,125 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by Texas Senate District

| Senate District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$1,423,040,736) | (\$785,780,874) | (\$478,547,173) | (\$493,855,215) | -10,616 |
| 2 | (\$1,244,158,639) | (\$681,889,750) | $(\$ 411,776,133)$ | $(\$ 390,810,459)$ | -8,874 |
| 3 | (\$1,494,976,619) | (\$832,465,174) | $(\$ 507,476,476)$ | $(\$ 534,671,075)$ | -11,361 |
| 4 | (\$1,226,992,997) | $(\$ 659,577,588)$ | $(\$ 405,462,835)$ | (\$393,607,729) | -8,761 |
| 5 | (\$1,038,345,532) | (\$591,795,643) | (\$362,686,432) | (\$376,330,441) | -8,091 |
| 6 | (\$1,055,765,058) | (\$521,216,122) | (\$314,449,226) | (\$237,102,411) | -6,183 |
| 7 | (\$1,111,331,640) | $(\$ 548,648,549)$ | $(\$ 330,999,186)$ | $(\$ 249,581,485)$ | -6,509 |
| 8 | (\$1,078,387,661) | (\$588,351,751) | (\$356,244,268) | (\$314,515,241) | -7,434 |
| 9 | (\$1,129,676,211) | (\$602,300,918) | (\$361,460,094) | (\$310,947,511) | -7,504 |
| 10 | (\$1,291,891,848) | $(\$ 700,868,827)$ | $(\$ 423,911,216)$ | $(\$ 384,894,564)$ | -9,020 |
| 11 | (\$1,208,924,627) | (\$630,122,792) | (\$382,445,600) | (\$356,270,324) | -8,137 |
| 12 | (\$1,178,667,982) | $(\$ 637,996,924)$ | $(\$ 385,227,259)$ | (\$351,162,428) | -8,198 |
| 13 | (\$1,085,767,676) | (\$539,190,865) | (\$325,287,832) | (\$253,847,464) | -6,467 |
| 14 | (\$914,878,806) | (\$519,934,413) | (\$315,451,217) | (\$275,473,877) | -6,597 |
| 15 | (\$1,111,331,640) | $(\$ 548,648,549)$ | $(\$ 330,999,186)$ | $(\$ 249,581,485)$ | -6,509 |
| 16 | (\$1,028,975,591) | (\$538,550,224) | $(\$ 321,508,595)$ | (\$245,077,516) | -6,387 |
| 17 | (\$1,214,807,753) | (\$622,567,798) | (\$377,624,663) | $(\$ 330,295,344)$ | -7,822 |
| 18 | (\$1,296,218,358) | (\$700,938,394) | (\$425,714,988) | (\$442,344,234) | -9,449 |
| 19 | (\$1,088,851,498) | (\$603,645,462) | $(\$ 365,000,092)$ | $(\$ 356,001,176)$ | -7,984 |
| 20 | (\$1,030,292,295) | $(\$ 559,730,487)$ | (\$340,249,882) | (\$335,716,456) | -7,466 |
| 21 | (\$874,995,922) | (\$485,689,077) | (\$295,320,934) | $(\$ 316,327,567)$ | -6,662 |
| 22 | (\$1,309,219,941) | $(\$ 715,000,439)$ | (\$432,712,939) | (\$442,534,347) | -9,681 |
| 23 | (\$1,063,274,777) | $(\$ 556,501,898)$ | $(\$ 332,225,548)$ | (\$253,246,767) | -6,600 |
| 24 | (\$1,329,031,912) | $(\$ 748,406,206)$ | (\$454,299,313) | (\$472,004,242) | -10,185 |
| 25 | (\$1,274,611,163) | (\$704,393,292) | $(\$ 425,637,305)$ | (\$413,116,247) | -9,303 |
| 26 | (\$1,200,160,114) | $(\$ 661,425,514)$ | (\$400,347,707) | $(\$ 361,393,509)$ | -8,509 |
| 27 | (\$860,371,108) | (\$486,364,452) | $(\$ 295,001,022)$ | $(\$ 296,271,409)$ | -6,616 |
| 28 | (\$1,151,422,563) | (\$643,361,211) | (\$388,066,754) | (\$393,798,540) | -8,565 |
| 29 | (\$1,083,286,484) | $(\$ 581,988,440)$ | (\$346,880,732) | (\$313,346,475) | -7,380 |
| 30 | (\$1,304,227,671) | (\$726,932,718) | (\$441,344,038) | (\$458,047,970) | -9,839 |
| 31 | (\$1,015,442,384) | (\$561,477,392) | (\$339,864,672) | (\$342,709,801) | -7,418 |

TOTAL
(\$35,719,327,210) (\$19,285,761,745) (\$11,674,223,316) (\$10,944,883,306)
-250,125
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Direct Medical Expenses and Related Outlays Associated with Cancer Treatment on Business Activity: Results by US Congressional District in Texas 

$\left.\begin{array}{crrrrr}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { US } \\ \text { Congressional } \\ \text { District in Texas }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \hline 1 & (\$ 1,377,503,431) & (\$ 761,376,253) & (\$ 463,215,956) & (\$ 474,205,765) & -10,264 \\ \text { Jobs) }\end{array}\right]$

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Im pact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity in Texas Detailed Industrial Category 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars })\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Comptroller's Economic Region Results

| Economic Region | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent <br> Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Plains | (\$909,219,103) | $(\$ 459,682,449)$ | (\$280,754,529) | (\$159,884,175) | -5,100 |
| Northwest Texas | $(\$ 761,537,705)$ | (\$384,480,841) | (\$232,646,788) | (\$138,444,287) | -4,262 |
| Metroplex | (\$7,043,669,770) | (\$3,428,119,873) | (\$2,101,052,296) | (\$1,019,266,372) | -35,997 |
| Upper East Texas | (\$1,597,025,539) | $(\$ 791,503,161)$ | (\$483,861,753) | $(\$ 283,066,150)$ | -8,863 |
| Southeast Texas | (\$1,072,851,584) | (\$537,969,456) | (\$340,954,284) | $(\$ 204,076,166)$ | -6,264 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$7,072,750,962) | (\$3,213,805,055) | (\$1,950,147,614) | $(\$ 821,536,507)$ | -30,973 |
| Capital | (\$1,431,749,321) | (\$734,539,077) | (\$459,087,877) | $(\$ 243,820,593)$ | -8,248 |
| Central Texas | (\$1,171,715,502) | (\$589,226,464) | $(\$ 364,241,421)$ | $(\$ 218,508,798)$ | -6,899 |
| Alamo | (\$2,528,208,462) | (\$1,247,729,298) | $(\$ 771,832,549)$ | $(\$ 415,321,967)$ | -13,942 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$1,022,659,288) | (\$484,544,089) | (\$295,119,030) | (\$166,328,361) | -5,197 |
| South Texas Border | (\$1,134,101,122) | (\$592,041,567) | (\$366,517,079) | $(\$ 221,727,199)$ | -7,022 |
| West Texas | $(\$ 653,247,124)$ | (\$317,537,077) | (\$189,837,811) | $(\$ 108,598,628)$ | -3,359 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$852,487,469) | (\$410,550,606) | (\$250,015,249) | (\$131,409,043) | -4,502 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$27,251,222,949) | (\$13,191,729,014) | $(\$ 8,086,068,278)$ | (\$4,131,988,245) | -140,629 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Council of Governments (COG) Region Results 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & & & & \\ \hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and Rural Texas Results 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { MSA } & (\$ 220,163,313) & (\$ 107,603,856) & (\$ 64,656,215) & (\$ 34,976,603) & -1,148 \\ \text { Jobs) }\end{array}\right]$
*Metropolitan Division
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results 

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson | (\$62,844,526) | (\$33,380,679) | (\$20,574,883) | (\$11,919,775) | -376 |
| Andrews | (\$15,249,185) | (\$7,588,631) | (\$4,507,910) | $(\$ 2,466,483)$ | -77 |
| Angelina | (\$112,163,812) | (\$56,794,636) | (\$35,758,619) | (\$21,896,749) | -677 |
| Aransas | (\$57,622,619) | $(\$ 26,062,687)$ | (\$15,320,811) | (\$9,084,263) | -270 |
| Archer | $(\$ 9,287,537)$ | $(\$ 4,666,485)$ | (\$2,687,795) | (\$1,714,512) | -51 |
| Armstrong | (\$3,196,720) | (\$1,556,081) | $(\$ 948,044)$ | (\$399,887) | -16 |
| Atascosa | (\$53,881,402) | $(\$ 25,436,245)$ | (\$15,388,623) | $(\$ 8,349,120)$ | -263 |
| Austin | (\$38,612,290) | (\$18,337,113) | $(\$ 11,545,259)$ | $(\$ 5,312,438)$ | -187 |
| Bailey | $(\$ 4,882,693)$ | (\$2,514,474) | (\$1,541,791) | $(\$ 1,053,678)$ | -30 |
| Bandera | (\$34,569,940) | $(\$ 16,079,642)$ | $(\$ 9,551,164)$ | $(\$ 6,248,713)$ | -182 |
| Bastrop | (\$83,354,962) | (\$40,787,389) | (\$25,080,072) | (\$15,385,485) | -476 |
| Baylor | $(\$ 7,935,482)$ | (\$4,137,262) | $(\$ 2,535,836)$ | $(\$ 1,522,795)$ | -47 |
| Bee | $(\$ 26,351,865)$ | $(\$ 13,631,876)$ | $(\$ 8,216,326)$ | $(\$ 5,251,019)$ | -156 |
| Bell | (\$252,116,016) | (\$135,283,912) | $(\$ 86,305,502)$ | (\$50,514,802) | -1,635 |
| Bexar | (\$1,875,004,284) | (\$933,487,584) | (\$581,346,706) | (\$296,892,609) | -10,330 |
| Blanco | (\$12,922,465) | (\$6,057,942) | (\$3,595,751) | (\$2,290,296) | -70 |
| Borden | $(\$ 4,605,398)$ | (\$2,194,777) | (\$1,261,798) | (\$604,329) | -20 |
| Bosque | (\$27,194,184) | (\$13,453,064) | (\$8,374,702) | (\$4,682,619) | -155 |
| Bowie | (\$113,541,067) | (\$59,733,873) | $(\$ 37,696,897)$ | (\$22,684,402) | -712 |
| Brazoria | (\$294,713,080) | (\$140,091,462) | (\$87,519,413) | (\$51,184,180) | -1,551 |
| Brazos | (\$140,659,998) | (\$70,550,280) | (\$43,168,344) | (\$23,577,075) | -790 |
| Brewster | $(\$ 10,582,453)$ | $(\$ 5,783,347)$ | (\$3,650,068) | $(\$ 2,152,918)$ | -69 |
| Briscoe | $(\$ 2,306,730)$ | (\$1,092,342) | $(\$ 661,935)$ | $(\$ 413,265)$ | -12 |
| Brooks | (\$7,149,791) | (\$3,767,081) | (\$2,344,690) | $(\$ 1,575,306)$ | -45 |
| Brown | (\$47,360,878) | (\$25,673,900) | (\$16,150,459) | (\$11,289,691) | -327 |
| Burleson | (\$26,217,216) | (\$13,584,151) | (\$8,309,026) | $(\$ 5,251,019)$ | -153 |
| Burnet | $(\$ 64,080,433)$ | $(\$ 30,461,267)$ | (\$18,380,668) | (\$10,817,099) | -338 |
| Caldwell | $(\$ 45,913,198)$ | (\$22,557,876) | (\$13,817,789) | (\$8,086,569) | -253 |
| Calhoun | (\$19,176,120) | (\$7,900,987) | $(\$ 4,836,280)$ | $(\$ 2,628,657)$ | -81 |
| Callahan | (\$22,969,924) | (\$10,832,446) | $(\$ 6,346,084)$ | (\$3,938,264) | -117 |
| Cameron | (\$327,347,759) | (\$165,838,352) | (\$102,615,599) | (\$60,701,779) | -1,977 |
| Camp | (\$14,057,919) | $(\$ 6,842,028)$ | $(\$ 4,229,666)$ | $(\$ 2,590,946)$ | -80 |
| Carson | $(\$ 3,904,904)$ | (\$1,568,092) | $(\$ 793,601)$ | $(\$ 329,209)$ | -13 |
| Cass | $(\$ 40,523,501)$ | (\$20,145,533) | (\$12,571,490) | $(\$ 8,736,536)$ | -244 |
| Castro | $(\$ 4,031,728)$ | (\$1,999,831) | (\$1,225,901) | $(\$ 868,724)$ | -24 |
| Chambers | $(\$ 38,792,374)$ | (\$16,196,602) | $(\$ 9,556,500)$ | $(\$ 4,341,564)$ | -151 |
| Cherokee | (\$59,724,626) | (\$29,996,921) | (\$19,061,924) | (\$11,931,575) | -358 |
| Childress | $(\$ 8,712,235)$ | $(\$ 4,288,020)$ | $(\$ 2,599,548)$ | (\$1,785,346) | -51 |
| Clay | (\$15,419,308) | (\$7,767,882) | $(\$ 4,931,902)$ | (\$2,520,742) | -85 |
| Cochran | $(\$ 2,196,140)$ | (\$1,130,014) | $(\$ 646,182)$ | $(\$ 318,426)$ | -11 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coke | $(\$ 7,321,787)$ | $(\$ 3,435,125)$ | $(\$ 2,075,297)$ | $(\$ 1,216,280)$ | -36 |
| Coleman | (\$17,015,584) | (\$8,536,700) | (\$5,072,795) | $(\$ 3,098,101)$ | -93 |
| Collin | $(\$ 558,040,566)$ | (\$285,260,550) | (\$178,197,773) | (\$95,314,086) | -3,170 |
| Collingsworth | (\$4,024,422) | (\$2,165,984) | (\$1,365,709) | (\$860,453) | -25 |
| Colorado | (\$33,799,788) | (\$17,030,686) | (\$10,317,396) | $(\$ 6,980,867)$ | -208 |
| Comal | $(\$ 134,853,509)$ | (\$64,752,549) | (\$39,127,901) | (\$24,312,218) | -763 |
| Comanche | $(\$ 20,222,192)$ | (\$10,287,447) | $(\$ 6,386,565)$ | (\$3,939,216) | -120 |
| Concho | (\$3,516,972) | (\$1,863,242) | (\$1,224,985) | $(\$ 657,801)$ | -23 |
| Cooke | $(\$ 60,458,865)$ | $(\$ 29,695,276)$ | (\$18,507,134) | $(\$ 10,029,446)$ | -317 |
| Coryell | (\$57,265,018) | (\$28,788,391) | (\$17,774,554) | (\$11,447,221) | -349 |
| Cottle | (\$3,267,648) | (\$1,859,204) | (\$1,182,089) | $(\$ 615,179)$ | -20 |
| Crane | (\$2,548,998) | (\$1,335,146) | (\$795,884) | $(\$ 416,476)$ | -14 |
| Crockett | (\$3,754,069) | (\$1,871,795) | $(\$ 1,098,130)$ | $(\$ 840,163)$ | -21 |
| Crosby | $(\$ 6,496,937)$ | $(\$ 3,456,406)$ | $(\$ 2,077,343)$ | $(\$ 993,709)$ | -36 |
| Culberson | $(\$ 1,893,334)$ | (\$1,109,726) | $(\$ 687,669)$ | (\$577,612) | -15 |
| Dallam | (\$5,014,281) | (\$2,674,347) | (\$1,639,626) | (\$855,703) | -30 |
| Dallas | (\$2,706,013,992) | (\$1,294,156,845) | $(\$ 779,720,067)$ | (\$313,054,393) | -12,509 |
| Dawson | $(\$ 16,418,106)$ | $(\$ 8,171,493)$ | $(\$ 4,732,424)$ | $(\$ 3,034,204)$ | -88 |
| Deaf Smith | (\$10,520,238) | (\$5,145,025) | $(\$ 3,118,438)$ | $(\$ 1,638,963)$ | -57 |
| Delta | (\$6,393,505) | (\$3,303,558) | (\$2,110,019) | (\$839,338) | -35 |
| Denton | (\$504,792,988) | (\$241,597,670) | (\$149,236,859) | (\$76,244,795) | -2,595 |
| DeWitt | (\$31,160,298) | (\$15,505,396) | $(\$ 9,683,381)$ | $(\$ 5,881,141)$ | -182 |
| Dickens | (\$3,843,058) | (\$1,998,741) | (\$1,258,685) | $(\$ 766,725)$ | -23 |
| Dimmit | (\$7,710,306) | (\$3,885,836) | $(\$ 2,342,297)$ | $(\$ 1,680,326)$ | -46 |
| Donley | $(\$ 5,680,574)$ | $(\$ 3,190,565)$ | $(\$ 2,014,457)$ | $(\$ 1,522,795)$ | -42 |
| Duval | (\$12,316,894) | (\$5,748,242) | $(\$ 3,347,569)$ | $(\$ 1,858,012)$ | -60 |
| Eastland | (\$29,674,526) | (\$14,335,560) | (\$8,580,701) | (\$5,723,611) | -163 |
| Ector | (\$158,359,540) | (\$77,148,734) | $(\$ 47,453,356)$ | $(\$ 25,677,482)$ | -810 |
| Edwards | (\$2,352,615) | (\$1,141,365) | $(\$ 644,388)$ | $(\$ 432,673)$ | -12 |
| El Paso | (\$826,759,767) | (\$397,283,469) | $(\$ 241,837,644)$ | (\$125,971,944) | -4,344 |
| Ellis | (\$140,579,134) | (\$65,487,415) | (\$40,484,399) | $(\$ 24,399,435)$ | -735 |
| Erath | $(\$ 35,128,269)$ | (\$18,843,302) | (\$12,003,988) | (\$7,929,039) | -238 |
| Falls | (\$23,219,321) | (\$12,239,640) | $(\$ 7,703,024)$ | $(\$ 4,603,295)$ | -147 |
| Fannin | (\$49,519,709) | (\$24,760,845) | (\$15,688,325) | $(\$ 9,666,707)$ | -300 |
| Fayette | (\$50,054,550) | (\$25,089,033) | (\$15,013,532) | $(\$ 8,191,590)$ | -270 |
| Fisher | (\$5,314,398) | (\$2,705,499) | (\$1,645,888) | (\$1,151,114) | -33 |
| Floyd | (\$5,870,426) | (\$2,644,215) | (\$1,559,924) | (\$824,387) | -28 |
| Foard | (\$1,282,342) | $(\$ 715,404)$ | $(\$ 459,122)$ | $(\$ 275,092)$ | -9 |
| Fort Bend | (\$513,956,957) | (\$236,378,820) | (\$142,731,772) | $(\$ 71,318,814)$ | -2,365 |
| Franklin | (\$11,844,913) | (\$5,748,412) | $(\$ 3,355,466)$ | (\$2,171,871) | -63 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freestone | $(\$ 27,298,177)$ | (\$13,035,673) | (\$7,589,750) | $(\$ 5,251,019)$ | -144 |
| Frio | (\$17,004,433) | $(\$ 7,990,663)$ | (\$4,655,163) | $(\$ 2,801,922)$ | -84 |
| Gaines | (\$10,892,429) | $(\$ 5,083,548)$ | (\$2,873,099) | $(\$ 1,731,637)$ | -51 |
| Galveston | (\$418,571,915) | (\$194,624,339) | (\$119,567,274) | (\$67,685,634) | -2,146 |
| Garza | (\$6,030,417) | (\$2,872,857) | (\$1,710,117) | $(\$ 1,061,439)$ | -30 |
| Gillespie | (\$45,540,285) | $(\$ 22,320,102)$ | (\$13,803,495) | $(\$ 8,401,630)$ | -263 |
| Glasscock | $(\$ 573,125)$ | $(\$ 263,705)$ | $(\$ 140,868)$ | $(\$ 51,707)$ | -2 |
| Goliad | (\$9,378,067) | (\$4,848,780) | (\$2,978,392) | $(\$ 2,152,918)$ | -58 |
| Gonzales | (\$17,799,165) | (\$8,988,644) | $(\$ 5,590,285)$ | $(\$ 3,537,118)$ | -107 |
| Gray | (\$40,187,435) | (\$18,330,216) | (\$11,046,571) | $(\$ 6,712,598)$ | -191 |
| Grayson | (\$157,614,027) | $(\$ 81,898,568)$ | (\$51,989,711) | (\$33,238,950) | -1,012 |
| Gregg | (\$182,421,007) | (\$94,379,513) | (\$58,551,061) | $(\$ 31,348,583)$ | -1,033 |
| Grimes | $(\$ 24,226,440)$ | (\$12,032,229) | (\$7,477,960) | $(\$ 4,520,639)$ | -138 |
| Guadalupe | $(\$ 115,851,568)$ | (\$57,441,348) | (\$35,567,277) | (\$23,262,014) | -684 |
| Hale | $(\$ 26,207,647)$ | (\$14,187,395) | $(\$ 8,862,634)$ | $(\$ 6,604,780)$ | -181 |
| Hall | $(\$ 5,248,110)$ | (\$2,623,719) | (\$1,567,458) | $(\$ 1,011,789)$ | -30 |
| Hamilton | $(\$ 11,512,846)$ | (\$5,673,371) | (\$3,529,043) | (\$2,467,979) | -70 |
| Hansford | $(\$ 4,121,568)$ | (\$1,760,552) | $(\$ 944,255)$ | (\$439,734) | -14 |
| Hardeman | (\$5,242,340) | (\$2,846,831) | (\$1,751,861) | $(\$ 1,417,775)$ | -37 |
| Hardin | (\$71,752,847) | (\$34,694,633) | (\$20,937,613) | (\$13,337,588) | -387 |
| Harris | (\$4,823,963,489) | (\$2,155,747,816) | (\$1,302,723,455) | (\$473,602,242) | -19,731 |
| Harrison | (\$100,832,257) | (\$46,113,422) | (\$28,254,803) | (\$13,872,301) | -467 |
| Hartley | $(\$ 1,233,300)$ | $(\$ 608,243)$ | $(\$ 362,815)$ | $(\$ 230,467)$ | -7 |
| Haskell | (\$8,666,058) | (\$4,349,008) | (\$2,693,815) | (\$1,551,441) | -49 |
| Hays | (\$116,206,613) | (\$58,743,814) | (\$36,289,997) | (\$21,424,157) | -682 |
| Hemphill | $(\$ 2,621,347)$ | (\$1,168,289) | $(\$ 650,700)$ | $(\$ 349,122)$ | -11 |
| Henderson | (\$153,926,245) | (\$73,365,700) | (\$44,331,334) | (\$25,992,544) | -825 |
| Hidalgo | (\$466,348,293) | (\$248,926,883) | (\$156,135,462) | $(\$ 90,895,137)$ | -2,978 |
| Hill | $(\$ 53,831,568)$ | (\$24,842,803) | (\$14,794,187) | $(\$ 10,291,997)$ | -302 |
| Hockley | $(\$ 20,291,338)$ | (\$10,210,850) | $(\$ 6,127,443)$ | $(\$ 3,926,422)$ | -115 |
| Hood | (\$89,789,938) | (\$42,202,381) | (\$25,975,171) | (\$16,015,608) | -487 |
| Hopkins | (\$41,419,296) | $(\$ 21,884,657)$ | (\$13,784,654) | $(\$ 9,294,303)$ | -270 |
| Houston | (\$42,997,776) | (\$21,000,551) | (\$13,431,558) | $(\$ 5,980,819)$ | -218 |
| Howard | (\$49,145,232) | (\$23,166,234) | (\$14,022,290) | $(\$ 8,034,059)$ | -244 |
| Hudspeth | (\$1,474,344) | $(\$ 751,999)$ | $(\$ 433,880)$ | $(\$ 457,050)$ | -10 |
| Hunt | (\$98,314,319) | (\$49,504,856) | (\$30,975,960) | (\$20,846,545) | -602 |
| Hutchinson | $(\$ 31,628,204)$ | (\$14,568,515) | (\$8,769,153) | $(\$ 6,079,660)$ | -155 |
| Irion | (\$2,125,629) | $(\$ 868,766)$ | $(\$ 483,255)$ | (\$276,916) | -8 |
| Jack | (\$10,934,443) | $(\$ 5,329,084)$ | (\$3,247,846) | $(\$ 1,933,323)$ | -57 |
| Jackson | (\$17,609,340) | (\$8,734,333) | (\$5,088,342) | (\$3,378,820) | -95 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jasper | $(\$ 49,393,441)$ | (\$24,877,554) | (\$15,487,695) | (\$10,372,908) | -305 |
| Jeff Davis | $(\$ 3,838,173)$ | (\$1,855,165) | $(\$ 1,133,204)$ | (\$726,724) | -22 |
| Jefferson | (\$353,528,527) | (\$175,303,355) | (\$114,033,013) | (\$62,749,676) | -2,010 |
| Jim Hogg | $(\$ 5,993,111)$ | (\$2,941,344) | $(\$ 1,694,067)$ | (\$1,260,245) | -32 |
| Jim Wells | $(\$ 39,446,503)$ | $(\$ 21,286,510)$ | $(\$ 12,783,339)$ | $(\$ 8,191,590)$ | -241 |
| Johnson | (\$164,072,675) | $(\$ 81,583,491)$ | (\$51,916,428) | (\$30,403,400) | -960 |
| Jones | (\$28,714,823) | (\$14,152,693) | $(\$ 8,531,703)$ | $(\$ 4,730,734)$ | -154 |
| Karnes | (\$23,776,513) | (\$10,649,332) | $(\$ 6,298,609)$ | $(\$ 3,623,203)$ | -108 |
| Kaufman | (\$109,089,274) | $(\$ 53,026,759)$ | (\$33,032,451) | (\$20,373,953) | -630 |
| Kendall | $(\$ 46,901,843)$ | $(\$ 21,533,652)$ | (\$13,038,899) | (\$7,824,018) | -237 |
| Kenedy | $(\$ 1,819,122)$ | $(\$ 897,673)$ | (\$514,576) | (\$393,960) | -11 |
| Kent | $(\$ 1,635,078)$ | $(\$ 762,935)$ | $(\$ 446,335)$ | $(\$ 241,236)$ | -7 |
| Kerr | (\$87,114,718) | (\$42,680,290) | (\$25,791,470) | (\$16,015,608) | -494 |
| Kimble | (\$8,825,275) | (\$3,814,302) | (\$2,215,802) | (\$1,417,775) | -40 |
| King | $(\$ 1,629,491)$ | $(\$ 867,579)$ | $(\$ 539,299)$ | (\$216,711) | -9 |
| Kinney | $(\$ 6,943,846)$ | (\$3,147,846) | (\$1,730,003) | (\$1,127,830) | -33 |
| Kleberg | (\$34,237,424) | (\$17,092,534) | (\$10,302,709) | $(\$ 6,143,692)$ | -189 |
| Knox | $(\$ 5,542,777)$ | $(\$ 2,860,207)$ | $(\$ 1,702,685)$ | $(\$ 853,799)$ | -29 |
| La Salle | $(\$ 4,775,600)$ | (\$2,507,797) | (\$1,478,262) | (\$1,102,714) | -30 |
| Lamar | (\$69,421,820) | $(\$ 34,315,449)$ | $(\$ 21,532,329)$ | (\$14,228,687) | -425 |
| Lamb | (\$11,707,848) | (\$5,375,536) | (\$3,277,214) | $(\$ 2,041,506)$ | -58 |
| Lampasas | (\$30,370,706) | (\$15,359,977) | $(\$ 9,451,709)$ | $(\$ 6,301,223)$ | -190 |
| Lavaca | (\$32,595,231) | (\$17,724,322) | (\$11,084,720) | $(\$ 6,700,858)$ | -209 |
| Lee | (\$21,364,419) | (\$10,492,821) | $(\$ 6,337,140)$ | $(\$ 3,695,855)$ | -114 |
| Leon | $(\$ 21,544,097)$ | (\$11,417,134) | $(\$ 6,779,163)$ | (\$4,893,601) | -133 |
| Liberty | (\$101,476,966) | $(\$ 51,263,393)$ | (\$31,760,762) | (\$17,506,003) | -564 |
| Limestone | $(\$ 31,315,296)$ | (\$15,769,883) | $(\$ 9,883,315)$ | $(\$ 6,353,733)$ | -183 |
| Lipscomb | $(\$ 3,698,414)$ | (\$1,720,149) | $(\$ 939,260)$ | (\$473,742) | -16 |
| Live Oak | (\$24,540,917) | $(\$ 11,389,960)$ | (\$6,857,231) | $(\$ 4,410,856)$ | -122 |
| Llano | (\$52,023,751) | (\$24,960,038) | (\$14,955,504) | $(\$ 9,609,365)$ | -292 |
| Loving | $(\$ 1,018,002)$ | (\$434,715) | $(\$ 215,950)$ | $(\$ 73,369)$ | -3 |
| Lubbock | (\$315,510,974) | (\$164,305,214) | (\$102,239,025) | (\$55,135,699) | -1,867 |
| Lynn | $(\$ 4,741,179)$ | (\$2,282,533) | (\$1,361,073) | $(\$ 556,839)$ | -23 |
| Madison | (\$12,830,300) | (\$6,490,278) | $(\$ 3,830,163)$ | (\$2,940,571) | -79 |
| Marion | $(\$ 17,978,597)$ | (\$9,085,344) | (\$5,557,371) | (\$3,675,713) | -110 |
| Martin | $(\$ 4,295,610)$ | (\$1,986,806) | $(\$ 1,178,227)$ | $(\$ 632,136)$ | -19 |
| Mason | $(\$ 8,682,233)$ | (\$4,168,919) | $(\$ 2,392,555)$ | (\$1,470,285) | -44 |
| Matagorda | (\$52,487,245) | (\$23,791,179) | (\$14,738,706) | $(\$ 9,433,329)$ | -264 |
| Maverick | (\$35,112,835) | (\$17,881,000) | (\$10,833,354) | (\$7,351,426) | -216 |
| McCulloch | (\$13,358,367) | (\$6,913,382) | (\$4,376,173) | (\$2,678,020) | -81 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McLennan | $(\$ 318,269,093)$ | (\$152,544,400) | $(\$ 93,006,507)$ | $(\$ 52,300,148)$ | -1,738 |
| McMullen | $(\$ 669,443)$ | $(\$ 305,497)$ | $(\$ 170,952)$ | $(\$ 73,743)$ | -3 |
| Medina | (\$49,112,444) | (\$23,290,679) | (\$13,859,360) | $(\$ 8,926,732)$ | -269 |
| Menard | $(\$ 4,163,966)$ | (\$2,104,840) | (\$1,230,941) | $(\$ 840,163)$ | -23 |
| Midland | (\$136,347,434) | (\$67,043,672) | (\$39,873,440) | (\$21,282,942) | -679 |
| Milam | $(\$ 31,667,128)$ | (\$15,684,065) | $(\$ 9,829,584)$ | $(\$ 6,168,410)$ | -185 |
| Mills | $(\$ 5,141,109)$ | $(\$ 3,152,704)$ | (\$2,052,448) | $(\$ 1,379,933)$ | -41 |
| Mitchell | $(\$ 11,333,893)$ | (\$5,720,257) | $(\$ 3,445,524)$ | $(\$ 2,132,615)$ | -63 |
| Montague | (\$35,172,433) | (\$16,713,408) | (\$9,741,622) | $(\$ 6,143,692)$ | -184 |
| Montgomery | (\$553,336,241) | (\$259,450,325) | (\$157,924,713) | (\$74,777,756) | -2,631 |
| Moore | $(\$ 18,522,995)$ | (\$7,940,566) | (\$4,692,740) | $(\$ 2,629,214)$ | -78 |
| Morris | (\$17,979,385) | (\$7,930,731) | $(\$ 4,999,437)$ | $(\$ 2,226,465)$ | -81 |
| Motley | (\$2,767,238) | (\$1,271,518) | $(\$ 715,074)$ | $(\$ 455,110)$ | -13 |
| Nacogdoches | $(\$ 64,519,501)$ | (\$34,424,856) | $(\$ 21,942,527)$ | (\$14,545,322) | -443 |
| Navarro | (\$65,174,332) | (\$32,224,456) | (\$20,196,862) | (\$11,351,967) | -376 |
| Newton | (\$8,982,676) | (\$5,574,978) | $(\$ 3,778,539)$ | $(\$ 2,544,506)$ | -71 |
| Nolan | (\$25,009,460) | $(\$ 12,856,605)$ | (\$7,717,262) | $(\$ 4,570,902)$ | -140 |
| Nueces | (\$466,855,072) | (\$214,769,177) | (\$131,217,078) | (\$67,108,022) | -2,230 |
| Ochiltree | (\$7,677,729) | (\$3,544,271) | (\$2,060,339) | (\$1,115,234) | -35 |
| Oldham | (\$753,703) | $(\$ 424,531)$ | (\$268,824) | $(\$ 246,357)$ | -6 |
| Orange | (\$114,086,802) | $(\$ 56,006,198)$ | (\$35,897,798) | (\$21,749,775) | -650 |
| Palo Pinto | $(\$ 49,548,031)$ | (\$22,813,169) | (\$13,596,580) | $(\$ 8,086,569)$ | -247 |
| Panola | (\$33,647,571) | (\$16,717,242) | (\$10,190,865) | $(\$ 5,888,276)$ | -183 |
| Parker | (\$140,147,024) | (\$65,313,175) | (\$39,462,884) | (\$23,051,973) | -721 |
| Parmer | (\$3,325,794) | $(\$ 1,511,312)$ | (\$914,606) | (\$314,487) | -15 |
| Pecos | (\$13,785,136) | $(\$ 6,681,659)$ | (\$3,940,917) | $(\$ 2,678,020)$ | -75 |
| Polk | (\$100,266,082) | (\$50,030,291) | (\$30,117,740) | (\$19,166,219) | -553 |
| Potter | (\$156,833,261) | (\$80,118,095) | (\$48,599,482) | (\$26,150,074) | -866 |
| Presidio | (\$7,939,398) | (\$3,766,900) | (\$2,272,783) | $(\$ 1,522,795)$ | -43 |
| Rains | (\$15,843,163) | (\$7,253,780) | (\$4,224,915) | $(\$ 2,926,900)$ | -79 |
| Randall | (\$141,066,034) | (\$72,365,148) | (\$44,206,388) | (\$24,992,144) | -805 |
| Reagan | (\$2,277,268) | (\$1,134,761) | $(\$ 650,801)$ | (\$454,976) | -12 |
| Real | $(\$ 7,936,138)$ | (\$3,539,470) | $(\$ 2,058,563)$ | $(\$ 1,260,245)$ | -37 |
| Red River | (\$23,246,915) | (\$10,913,960) | (\$6,507,084) | $(\$ 4,171,461)$ | -125 |
| Reeves | (\$12,387,870) | (\$6,190,786) | $(\$ 3,687,248)$ | $(\$ 2,730,530)$ | -72 |
| Refugio | (\$10,884,637) | (\$5,249,775) | (\$2,979,299) | $(\$ 2,520,489)$ | -59 |
| Roberts | (\$1,044,456) | $(\$ 455,718)$ | $(\$ 257,193)$ | (\$205,886) | -5 |
| Robertson | (\$23,473,722) | (\$11,504,112) | (\$7,137,738) | $(\$ 5,145,999)$ | -143 |
| Rockwall | (\$57,257,551) | (\$28,917,329) | (\$18,085,281) | (\$10,607,058) | -338 |
| Runnels | (\$19,339,639) | (\$8,585,196) | (\$5,015,352) | $(\$ 2,944,130)$ | -88 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rusk | (\$68,847,291) | (\$32,819,375) | $(\$ 20,138,649)$ | (\$10,973,524) | -356 |
| Sabine | (\$18,827,277) | $(\$ 9,431,014)$ | $(\$ 6,168,309)$ | (\$3,901,746) | -114 |
| San Augustine | (\$16,425,194) | (\$7,655,294) | (\$4,479,294) | $(\$ 2,780,405)$ | -84 |
| San Jacinto | (\$37,521,265) | (\$18,222,772) | (\$11,152,003) | (\$7,141,386) | -213 |
| San Patricio | (\$83,465,732) | (\$38,444,717) | (\$23,642,148) | (\$15,011,436) | -431 |
| San Saba | (\$7,848,009) | (\$4,155,901) | (\$2,549,297) | (\$1,785,346) | -52 |
| Schleicher | (\$2,528,429) | (\$1,238,701) | (\$748,227) | (\$297,974) | -12 |
| Scurry | (\$19,074,532) | (\$10,200,476) | $(\$ 5,970,009)$ | $(\$ 4,182,774)$ | -114 |
| Shackelford | $(\$ 3,994,556)$ | (\$1,931,281) | $(\$ 1,125,412)$ | $(\$ 669,111)$ | -20 |
| Shelby | (\$25,576,045) | (\$14,020,822) | $(\$ 9,175,124)$ | $(\$ 5,951,857)$ | -179 |
| Sherman | $(\$ 829,539)$ | (\$389,717) | $(\$ 231,412)$ | (\$124,810) | -4 |
| Smith | (\$322,773,692) | (\$156,495,111) | (\$92,231,434) | (\$50,848,054) | -1,639 |
| Somervell | (\$6,029,031) | $(\$ 2,721,927)$ | (\$1,757,739) | $(\$ 680,189)$ | -30 |
| Starr | $(\$ 28,259,595)$ | (\$15,641,446) | (\$9,885,765) | $(\$ 7,246,406)$ | -201 |
| Stephens | (\$12,799,607) | $(\$ 6,776,760)$ | $(\$ 4,072,977)$ | $(\$ 2,927,333)$ | -77 |
| Sterling | $(\$ 962,744)$ | $(\$ 539,596)$ | $(\$ 330,434)$ | $(\$ 262,551)$ | -7 |
| Stonewall | $(\$ 2,041,030)$ | $(\$ 1,119,039)$ | $(\$ 678,377)$ | $(\$ 481,847)$ | -13 |
| Sutton | $(\$ 4,806,980)$ | $(\$ 2,436,073)$ | (\$1,445,856) | $(\$ 997,694)$ | -27 |
| Swisher | $(\$ 5,991,979)$ | (\$2,747,607) | (\$1,646,797) | (\$968,245) | -31 |
| Tarrant | (\$1,992,124,317) | $(\$ 977,826,966)$ | (\$602,019,885) | (\$296,840,099) | -10,396 |
| Taylor | (\$168,478,566) | $(\$ 82,618,717)$ | $(\$ 49,778,427)$ | $(\$ 26,307,605)$ | -877 |
| Terrell | (\$1,194,924) | (\$689,031) | $(\$ 441,994)$ | $(\$ 231,913)$ | -8 |
| Terry | (\$12,519,151) | $(\$ 6,286,327)$ | (\$3,548,818) | $(\$ 2,622,099)$ | -67 |
| Throckmorton | (\$1,590,611) | (\$804,321) | (\$452,833) | (\$282,041) | -8 |
| Titus | $(\$ 27,205,572)$ | $(\$ 13,147,302)$ | $(\$ 8,221,162)$ | (\$5,940,532) | -162 |
| Tom Green | (\$141,604,348) | $(\$ 68,033,598)$ | (\$39,841,937) | $(\$ 23,209,504)$ | -743 |
| Travis | (\$777,199,467) | (\$404,037,796) | (\$253,988,141) | (\$124,351,875) | -4,434 |
| Trinity | $(\$ 26,288,258)$ | (\$14,210,052) | $(\$ 8,745,260)$ | $(\$ 5,726,349)$ | -173 |
| Tyler | $(\$ 30,522,083)$ | $(\$ 15,722,448)$ | $(\$ 9,849,191)$ | (\$6,230,859) | -188 |
| Upshur | (\$59,574,279) | $(\$ 29,133,379)$ | (\$17,594,886) | (\$10,817,099) | -322 |
| Upton | $(\$ 3,713,999)$ | $(\$ 1,793,487)$ | (\$1,024,122) | $(\$ 577,108)$ | -18 |
| Uvalde | $(\$ 28,397,559)$ | (\$14,694,414) | (\$9,115,219) | $(\$ 5,513,570)$ | -176 |
| Val Verde | (\$37,469,158) | $(\$ 20,927,308)$ | (\$13,456,282) | $(\$ 8,086,569)$ | -258 |
| Van Zandt | $(\$ 66,584,065)$ | $(\$ 37,114,007)$ | (\$22,940,476) | (\$15,122,934) | -452 |
| Victoria | $(\$ 129,581,049)$ | $(\$ 62,195,899)$ | (\$38,160,902) | $(\$ 20,426,464)$ | -648 |
| Walker | (\$105,925,303) | $(\$ 54,548,702)$ | (\$34,177,276) | $(\$ 21,686,708)$ | -662 |
| Waller | (\$40,513,296) | (\$17,716,452) | (\$10,228,671) | $(\$ 6,826,325)$ | -193 |
| Ward | (\$11,992,006) | $(\$ 5,972,494)$ | $(\$ 3,559,143)$ | (\$2,467,979) | -67 |
| Washington | (\$45,715,257) | $(\$ 23,664,498)$ | (\$14,695,406) | $(\$ 8,632,170)$ | -274 |
| Webb | (\$144,242,924) | (\$73,888,646) | (\$44,000,547) | $(\$ 27,462,829)$ | -817 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wharton | (\$56,602,018) | $(\$ 28,628,167)$ | (\$17,356,416) | (\$10,880,648) | -322 |
| Wheeler | (\$5,988,614) | (\$3,214,895) | (\$1,946,445) | $(\$ 1,363,507)$ | -37 |
| Wichita | (\$167,852,741) | (\$88,709,464) | (\$54,051,896) | (\$31,138,542) | -982 |
| Wilbarger | (\$22,408,980) | (\$10,762,345) | (\$6,774,343) | $(\$ 4,253,325)$ | -126 |
| Willacy | $(\$ 16,309,205)$ | $(\$ 9,119,967)$ | $(\$ 5,579,147)$ | $(\$ 3,857,342)$ | -109 |
| Williamson | $(\$ 208,629,463)$ | (\$111,351,101) | $(\$ 71,629,283)$ | $(\$ 39,968,303)$ | -1,320 |
| Wilson | $(\$ 44,597,523)$ | (\$22,067,211) | $(\$ 13,403,880)$ | $(\$ 8,664,181)$ | -264 |
| Winkler | $(\$ 6,792,065)$ | $(\$ 3,369,059)$ | (\$2,014,746) | $(\$ 1,288,152)$ | -36 |
| Wise | (\$59,975,728) | (\$30,284,892) | (\$18,204,797) | $(\$ 11,132,160)$ | -333 |
| Wood | (\$86,394,326) | $(\$ 41,683,185)$ | (\$25,200,946) | (\$14,864,331) | -466 |
| Yoakum | (\$6,360,252) | $(\$ 3,106,961)$ | (\$1,818,210) | $(\$ 1,225,233)$ | -34 |
| Young | (\$31,956,320) | (\$15,864,424) | (\$9,469,272) | (\$5,893,742) | -171 |
| Zapata | $(\$ 9,763,474)$ | (\$4,874,967) | (\$2,922,067) | $(\$ 2,100,408)$ | -57 |
| Zavala | (\$5,138,704) | (\$3,084,925) | (\$2,026,060) | (\$1,647,700) | -45 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$27,251,222,949) | (\$13,191,729,014) | (\$8,086,068,278) | (\$4,131,988,245) | -140,629 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(\$ 190,022,550)$ | $(\$ 96,895,481)$ | $(\$ 60,825,195)$ | (\$37,323,116) | -1,146 |
| 2 | (\$180,741,547) | (\$93,872,642) | (\$58,141,351) | $(\$ 38,896,379)$ | -1,133 |
| 3 | (\$179,532,022) | $(\$ 89,313,339)$ | (\$55,510,715) | (\$36,646,193) | -1,079 |
| 4 | (\$263,015,520) | (\$126,392,459) | (\$77,363,786) | (\$46,366,497) | -1,455 |
| 5 | (\$260,858,781) | (\$123,772,014) | (\$75,280,301) | (\$42,144,676) | -1,335 |
| 6 | (\$264,674,428) | (\$128,325,991) | (\$75,629,776) | $(\$ 41,695,404)$ | -1,344 |
| 7 | (\$240,520,272) | (\$122,548,633) | (\$75,152,719) | (\$40,501,233) | -1,328 |
| 8 | (\$186,632,331) | (\$94,410,690) | (\$58,244,810) | (\$34,876,495) | -1,079 |
| 9 | (\$174,741,458) | $(\$ 90,409,539)$ | (\$57,252,949) | (\$37,552,240) | -1,125 |
| 10 | (\$194,410,702) | (\$90,330,218) | (\$55,278,586) | (\$34,691,432) | -1,036 |
| 11 | (\$205,217,264) | (\$100,534,090) | (\$62,822,996) | (\$34,774,194) | -1,115 |
| 12 | (\$206,495,417) | (\$104,949,908) | (\$65,505,073) | (\$40,995,343) | -1,251 |
| 13 | (\$214,479,290) | $(\$ 108,582,542)$ | (\$67,895,902) | (\$40,151,955) | -1,261 |
| 14 | (\$130,813,798) | (\$65,611,761) | $(\$ 40,146,560)$ | (\$21,926,680) | -735 |
| 15 | $(\$ 249,001,308)$ | (\$116,752,646) | (\$71,066,121) | (\$33,649,990) | -1,184 |
| 16 | (\$254,534,671) | (\$119,347,149) | (\$72,645,368) | (\$34,397,768) | -1,210 |
| 17 | $(\$ 224,637,135)$ | $(\$ 111,922,599)$ | $(\$ 68,078,950)$ | (\$41,155,210) | -1,277 |
| 18 | $(\$ 251,543,310)$ | (\$124,644,213) | $(\$ 76,091,726)$ | (\$43,402,220) | -1,353 |
| 19 | (\$176,568,436) | (\$87,314,818) | (\$54,870,303) | $(\$ 34,151,905)$ | -1,004 |
| 20 | (\$125,550,386) | (\$65,792,060) | (\$42,062,762) | (\$24,154,146) | -778 |
| 21 | (\$187,370,119) | (\$92,910,778) | $(\$ 60,437,497)$ | (\$33,257,328) | -1,065 |
| 22 | (\$184,412,296) | $(\$ 91,353,569)$ | $(\$ 59,339,164)$ | (\$32,972,312) | -1,049 |
| 23 | (\$231,335,455) | (\$105,723,798) | (\$64,557,446) | (\$35,476,956) | -1,138 |
| 24 | (\$226,028,834) | (\$105,097,143) | $(\$ 64,566,328)$ | (\$36,550,242) | -1,159 |
| 25 | (\$167,986,456) | (\$79,852,134) | $(\$ 49,886,065)$ | (\$29,174,983) | -884 |
| 26 | (\$208,152,568) | (\$95,733,422) | $(\$ 57,806,368)$ | (\$28,884,120) | -958 |
| 27 | $(\$ 208,152,568)$ | (\$95,733,422) | $(\$ 57,806,368)$ | (\$28,884,120) | -958 |
| 28 | (\$194,767,136) | $(\$ 91,256,595)$ | $(\$ 54,704,124)$ | (\$31,257,547) | -964 |
| 29 | (\$179,213,870) | (\$84,030,508) | $(\$ 52,372,054)$ | $(\$ 31,442,527)$ | -931 |
| 30 | $(\$ 221,830,554)$ | (\$109,409,724) | $(\$ 66,996,644)$ | (\$38,907,772) | -1,193 |
| 31 | (\$93,612,840) | (\$48,431,249) | (\$29,355,565) | (\$19,443,674) | -563 |
| 32 | (\$211,618,528) | (\$96,033,000) | (\$58,233,118) | (\$34,106,238) | -1,027 |
| 33 | $(\$ 207,750,507)$ | (\$95,572,284) | $(\$ 58,391,600)$ | (\$29,863,070) | -993 |
| 34 | $(\$ 207,750,507)$ | (\$95,572,284) | $(\$ 58,391,600)$ | (\$29,863,070) | -993 |
| 35 | (\$178,044,710) | (\$87,548,202) | (\$52,693,472) | (\$32,052,448) | -951 |
| 36 | (\$116,587,073) | $(\$ 62,231,721)$ | (\$39,033,865) | (\$22,723,784) | -744 |
| 37 | (\$130,939,103) | (\$66,335,341) | (\$41,046,240) | (\$24,280,711) | -791 |
| 38 | (\$130,939,103) | (\$66,335,341) | (\$41,046,240) | (\$24,280,711) | -791 |
| 39 | (\$116,587,073) | (\$62,231,721) | (\$39,033,865) | (\$22,723,784) | -744 |
| 40 | (\$116,587,073) | $(\$ 62,231,721)$ | (\$39,033,865) | (\$22,723,784) | -744 |


| (continued) <br> The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| 41 | (\$116,587,073) | (\$62,231,721) | (\$39,033,865) | (\$22,723,784) | -744 |
| 42 | (\$100,970,047) | (\$51,722,052) | (\$30,800,383) | (\$19,223,980) | -572 |
| 43 | (\$130,978,205) | (\$66,986,270) | (\$40,958,309) | (\$25,370,901) | -781 |
| 44 | (\$178,248,256) | $(\$ 88,497,203)$ | (\$54,561,442) | (\$35,463,313) | -1,055 |
| 45 | (\$175,042,277) | (\$87,359,632) | (\$53,703,537) | (\$31,801,022) | -1,005 |
| 46 | (\$132,123,909) | $(\$ 68,686,425)$ | (\$43,177,984) | (\$21,139,819) | -754 |
| 47 | (\$132,123,909) | (\$68,686,425) | (\$43,177,984) | (\$21,139,819) | -754 |
| 48 | (\$124,351,915) | $(\$ 64,646,047)$ | $(\$ 40,638,103)$ | (\$19,896,300) | -709 |
| 49 | (\$132,123,909) | $(\$ 68,686,425)$ | (\$43,177,984) | (\$21,139,819) | -754 |
| 50 | (\$124,351,915) | (\$64,646,047) | (\$40,638,103) | (\$19,896,300) | -709 |
| 51 | (\$132,123,909) | $(\$ 68,686,425)$ | (\$43,177,984) | (\$21,139,819) | -754 |
| 52 | $(\$ 114,746,205)$ | $(\$ 61,243,105)$ | $(\$ 39,396,106)$ | (\$21,982,566) | -726 |
| 53 | (\$263,884,054) | (\$127,701,295) | (\$76,521,733) | (\$47,850,934) | -1,444 |
| 54 | (\$192,776,385) | (\$98,581,970) | $(\$ 61,491,523)$ | (\$36,819,094) | -1,165 |
| 55 | (\$153,790,770) | (\$82,523,186) | (\$52,646,356) | (\$30,814,029) | -997 |
| 56 | (\$203,692,219) | (\$97,628,416) | (\$59,524,164) | (\$33,472,095) | -1,113 |
| 57 | (\$195,644,315) | (\$96,567,147) | (\$58,932,429) | (\$36,411,519) | -1,127 |
| 58 | $(\$ 191,266,860)$ | $(\$ 95,036,555)$ | $(\$ 60,291,130)$ | (\$35,086,019) | -1,116 |
| 59 | (\$135,298,465) | (\$69,467,142) | (\$43,504,338) | (\$27,843,576) | -848 |
| 60 | (\$233,167,536) | (\$113,733,053) | (\$69,501,300) | (\$44,711,923) | -1,322 |
| 61 | (\$200,122,751) | $(\$ 95,598,068)$ | (\$57,667,682) | (\$34,184,133) | -1,054 |
| 62 | (\$207,133,737) | (\$106,659,412) | $(\$ 67,678,037)$ | (\$42,905,657) | -1,312 |
| 63 | (\$166,581,686) | (\$79,727,231) | (\$49,248,163) | (\$25,160,782) | -856 |
| 64 | (\$171,629,616) | (\$82,143,208) | (\$50,740,532) | (\$25,923,230) | -882 |
| 65 | $(\$ 166,581,686)$ | (\$79,727,231) | (\$49,248,163) | (\$25,160,782) | -856 |
| 66 | (\$150,670,953) | (\$77,020,349) | (\$48,113,399) | (\$25,734,803) | -856 |
| 67 | (\$150,670,953) | (\$77,020,349) | (\$48,113,399) | (\$25,734,803) | -856 |
| 68 | (\$218,117,393) | (\$107,842,494) | $(\$ 65,963,417)$ | (\$38,730,939) | -1,184 |
| 69 | (\$177,140,278) | (\$93,375,949) | (\$56,739,692) | (\$32,853,054) | -1,033 |
| 70 | (\$150,670,953) | (\$77,020,349) | (\$48,113,399) | (\$25,734,803) | -856 |
| 71 | (\$193,488,025) | (\$95,475,323) | (\$57,495,690) | (\$30,878,507) | -1,018 |
| 72 | (\$179,334,560) | (\$87,389,455) | (\$51,332,767) | (\$30,741,172) | -955 |
| 73 | (\$261,865,577) | (\$124,685,944) | (\$75,521,460) | (\$46,786,579) | -1,446 |
| 74 | (\$134,324,972) | (\$69,998,908) | (\$43,238,746) | (\$27,651,722) | -828 |
| 75 | (\$165,351,953) | (\$79,456,694) | (\$48,367,529) | (\$25,194,389) | -869 |
| 76 | (\$165,351,953) | (\$79,456,694) | (\$48,367,529) | (\$25,194,389) | -869 |
| 77 | (\$165,351,953) | (\$79,456,694) | (\$48,367,529) | (\$25,194,389) | -869 |
| 78 | (\$165,351,953) | (\$79,456,694) | (\$48,367,529) | (\$25,194,389) | -869 |
| 79 | (\$165,351,953) | (\$79,456,694) | (\$48,367,529) | (\$25,194,389) | -869 |
| 80 | (\$125,798,168) | (\$61,788,746) | (\$36,924,498) | (\$24,638,650) | -722 |


| (continued) <br> The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| 81 | (\$180,400,790) | $(\$ 88,106,424)$ | (\$53,976,012) | (\$29,432,117) | -923 |
| 82 | (\$163,324,145) | (\$80,330,605) | (\$47,604,097) | (\$25,942,867) | -818 |
| 83 | (\$165,944,549) | $(\$ 85,253,459)$ | (\$52,360,544) | (\$29,255,997) | -958 |
| 84 | (\$189,306,584) | $(\$ 98,583,128)$ | (\$61,343,415) | (\$33,081,419) | -1,120 |
| 85 | (\$159,260,481) | (\$78,637,738) | (\$47,311,659) | (\$28,952,721) | -864 |
| 86 | (\$158,587,557) | (\$81,217,294) | (\$49,596,092) | (\$27,963,632) | -905 |
| 87 | (\$180,090,698) | (\$90,016,470) | (\$54,317,236) | $(\$ 29,233,307)$ | -961 |
| 88 | (\$156,076,600) | (\$73,828,075) | (\$44,377,375) | (\$27,979,658) | -796 |
| 89 | (\$163,285,259) | (\$83,116,833) | (\$51,942,858) | (\$28,716,734) | -940 |
| 90 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 91 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 92 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 93 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 94 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 95 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | $(\$ 60,201,989)$ | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 96 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 97 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | $(\$ 60,201,989)$ | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 98 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 99 | (\$199,212,432) | (\$97,782,697) | (\$60,201,989) | (\$29,684,010) | -1,040 |
| 100 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 101 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 102 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | (\$48,732,504) | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 103 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 104 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 105 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | (\$48,732,504) | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 106 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 107 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | (\$48,732,504) | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 108 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 109 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 110 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 111 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | (\$48,732,504) | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 112 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 113 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | (\$48,732,504) | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 114 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 115 | (\$169,125,874) | (\$80,884,803) | $(\$ 48,732,504)$ | (\$19,565,900) | -782 |
| 116 | (\$187,500,428) | (\$93,348,758) | (\$58,134,671) | (\$29,689,261) | -1,033 |
| 117 | (\$187,500,428) | (\$93,348,758) | (\$58,134,671) | (\$29,689,261) | -1,033 |
| 118 | (\$187,500,428) | (\$93,348,758) | (\$58,134,671) | (\$29,689,261) | -1,033 |
| 119 | (\$187,500,428) | (\$93,348,758) | (\$58,134,671) | (\$29,689,261) | -1,033 |
| 120 | (\$187,500,428) | (\$93,348,758) | (\$58,134,671) | (\$29,689,261) | -1,033 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

|  | Total <br> Expenditures <br> $(2010$ Dollars) | Gross <br> Product <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Dollars) | Personal <br> Income | Retail <br> Sales | Employment <br> (Permanent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| House District |  |  |  |  | Jobs) |

TOTAL
(\$27,251,222,949) (\$13,191,729,014) (\$8,086,068,278)
(\$4,131,988,245)
-140,629
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas Senate District

| Senate District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$1,061,180,635) | $(\$ 522,605,816)$ | (\$319,940,973) | (\$184,699,558) | -5,811 |
| 2 | $(\$ 922,947,062)$ | (\$455,100,716) | $(\$ 278,552,165)$ | (\$148,076,710) | -4,951 |
| 3 | (\$1,112,398,962) | (\$549,737,385) | (\$338,442,171) | (\$199,514,063) | -6,218 |
| 4 | $(\$ 981,160,306)$ | (\$468,414,393) | (\$292,540,022) | (\$147,998,203) | -4,977 |
| 5 | $(\$ 744,437,594)$ | (\$381,671,031) | $(\$ 238,325,560)$ | (\$141,160,098) | -4,435 |
| 6 | $(\$ 916,553,063)$ | (\$409,592,085) | (\$247,517,456) | (\$89,984,426) | -3,749 |
| 7 | (\$964,792,698) | (\$431,149,563) | (\$260,544,691) | $(\$ 94,720,448)$ | -3,946 |
| 8 | $(\$ 796,686,901)$ | (\$397,004,716) | (\$244,699,870) | (\$118,924,510) | -4,196 |
| 9 | (\$864,497,718) | (\$417,048,239) | (\$254,873,853) | (\$118,049,362) | -4,291 |
| 10 | (\$976,140,915) | (\$479,135,213) | (\$294,989,744) | (\$145,451,649) | -5,094 |
| 11 | $(\$ 984,453,475)$ | $(\$ 451,908,357)$ | $(\$ 276,640,107)$ | (\$133,938,549) | -4,624 |
| 12 | (\$888,523,894) | (\$434,275,278) | (\$267,518,415) | (\$132,704,275) | -4,625 |
| 13 | (\$933,144,324) | $(\$ 418,480,469)$ | (\$252,863,977) | $(\$ 96,202,520)$ | -3,874 |
| 14 | $(\$ 668,391,541)$ | $(\$ 347,472,504)$ | (\$218,429,801) | (\$106,942,613) | -3,813 |
| 15 | (\$964,792,698) | (\$431,149,563) | (\$260,544,691) | $(\$ 94,720,448)$ | -3,946 |
| 16 | (\$811,804,197) | $(\$ 388,247,054)$ | (\$233,916,020) | (\$93,916,318) | -3,753 |
| 17 | (\$1,008,199,919) | (\$460,930,633) | $(\$ 281,629,537)$ | (\$124,494,934) | -4,530 |
| 18 | $(\$ 988,721,052)$ | (\$474,092,319) | $(\$ 288,463,005)$ | (\$165,994,049) | -5,148 |
| 19 | (\$782,959,904) | (\$389,488,500) | $(\$ 239,863,390)$ | (\$134,505,220) | -4,390 |
| 20 | (\$769,942,926) | (\$376,732,553) | (\$232,219,611) | (\$126,867,242) | -4,154 |
| 21 | $(\$ 661,238,820)$ | $(\$ 326,502,588)$ | (\$198,650,769) | (\$119,264,452) | -3,649 |
| 22 | (\$945,424,295) | $(\$ 456,087,969)$ | (\$281,983,573) | (\$166,175,881) | -5,279 |
| 23 | (\$838,864,337) | (\$401,188,622) | (\$241,713,221) | (\$97,046,862) | -3,878 |
| 24 | $(\$ 944,550,116)$ | (\$478,235,019) | (\$294,949,377) | (\$178,215,048) | -5,568 |
| 25 | $(\$ 916,372,358)$ | (\$455,069,047) | (\$281,665,222) | (\$156,579,117) | -5,156 |
| 26 | $(\$ 862,501,970)$ | (\$429,404,289) | (\$267,419,485) | (\$136,570,600) | -4,752 |
| 27 | (\$589,570,242) | (\$304,965,624) | (\$189,272,989) | (\$111,999,585) | -3,626 |
| 28 | (\$828,427,854) | $(\$ 416,543,423)$ | (\$252,706,416) | (\$147,181,526) | -4,642 |
| 29 | (\$777,154,181) | $(\$ 373,446,461)$ | $(\$ 227,327,386)$ | (\$118,413,627) | -4,083 |
| 30 | (\$965,647,493) | $(\$ 481,287,179)$ | (\$295,358,292) | (\$172,940,496) | -5,396 |
| 31 | (\$779,741,499) | $(\$ 384,762,404)$ | (\$232,506,489) | (\$128,735,854) | -4,074 |
| TOTAL | (\$27,251,222,949) | (\$13,191,729,014) | (\$8,086,068,278) | (\$4,131,988,245) | -140,629 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Morbidity Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by US Congressional District in Texas 

$\left.\begin{array}{crrrrr}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { US } \\ \text { Congressional } \\ \text { District in Texas }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \hline 1 & (\$ 1,027,638,873) & (\$ 509,084,562) & & & \\ \text { Jobs) }\end{array}\right\}$

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding incidence and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity in Texas Detailed Industrial Category 

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | (\$798,641,858) | (\$264,597,395) | (\$160,918,562) | -2,452 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | (\$80,619,904) | (\$20,070,093) | (\$6,616,717) | -118 |
| Coal Mining | (\$83,481,323) | (\$23,440,682) | (\$25,237,880) | -222 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | (\$4,346,037,798) | (\$1,730,341,401) | (\$690,114,049) | -2,915 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | (\$41,022,611) | (\$18,897,168) | (\$13,962,156) | -302 |
| New Construction | (\$884,285,409) | (\$421,883,652) | (\$329,029,713) | -5,081 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | (\$1,047,075,422) | (\$608,676,859) | (\$489,204,710) | -7,372 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | (\$1,644,428,936) | (\$411,784,625) | (\$209,662,266) | -3,585 |
| Textile Mill Products | (\$25,025,373) | (\$5,859,944) | (\$4,984,454) | -107 |
| Apparel | (\$200,925,915) | (\$110,285,948) | $(\$ 56,201,959)$ | -1,618 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | (\$235,601,613) | (\$99,479,996) | (\$48,224,276) | -747 |
| Printing \& Publishing | (\$473,020,068) | (\$262,620,754) | (\$160,541,110) | -2,375 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | (\$3,982,271,208) | $(\$ 959,090,584)$ | (\$569,888,236) | -1,777 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | (\$278,053,675) | (\$121,889,376) | $(\$ 75,848,367)$ | -1,249 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | (\$171,374,241) | (\$62,960,781) | $(\$ 46,873,197)$ | -975 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | (\$213,315,358) | (\$114,052,183) | (\$64,447,822) | -862 |
| Primary Metal | (\$222,374,301) | $(\$ 66,007,588)$ | $(\$ 53,821,184)$ | -501 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | $(\$ 501,035,552)$ | (\$223,798,603) | (\$146,939,111) | -2,294 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | (\$808,703,176) | (\$298,039,069) | (\$234,479,572) | -1,743 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | (\$568,711,032) | (\$299,099,468) | (\$215,161,070) | -1,672 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | (\$262,949,548) | (\$80,420,230) | $(\$ 48,143,901)$ | -585 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | (\$174,312,457) | (\$88,483,536) | (\$57,028,598) | -888 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | (\$81,275,011) | (\$27,741,410) | $(\$ 23,948,037)$ | -292 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | (\$116,192,416) | (\$38,371,141) | (\$35,344,078) | -426 |
| Transportation | (\$1,681,883,064) | (\$1,109,924,816) | (\$740,188,315) | -10,202 |
| Communication | (\$1,497,104,741) | $(\$ 991,569,174)$ | (\$436,911,643) | -3,983 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | (\$4,587,499,156) | (\$988,995,856) | (\$447,174,620) | -1,450 |
| Wholesale Trade | (\$2,178,229,915) | (\$1,636,412,270) | (\$954,373,028) | -11,017 |
| Retail Trade | (\$6,143,959,108) | (\$5,152,102,038) | (\$3,086,348,360) | -84,158 |
| Finance | (\$1,682,083,040) | (\$863,771,549) | (\$583,025,553) | -5,159 |
| Insurance | (\$932,660,629) | (\$561,311,437) | (\$330,478,914) | -4,430 |
| Real Estate | (\$9,165,353,825) | (\$2,014,922,756) | (\$327,994,857) | -3,358 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | (\$867,141,735) | (\$454,228,758) | (\$304,605,355) | -6,832 |
| Personal Services | (\$1,221,953,259) | (\$766,747,612) | $(\$ 596,271,656)$ | -10,977 |
| Business Services | (\$4,022,048,376) | (\$2,838,902,719) | (\$2,454,972,063) | -26,397 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | (\$2,699,540,417) | (\$1,617,255,190) | (\$868,399,624) | -43,482 |
| Health Services | (\$2,593,308,088) | (\$2,007,403,172) | (\$1,675,094,140) | -28,486 |
| Miscellaneous Services | (\$1,730,639,204) | (\$791,827,278) | (\$676,398,647) | -16,805 |
| Households | (\$80,368,213) | (\$80,368,213) | (\$57,372,698) | -4,088 |
| Total | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | -300,981 |

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Comptroller's Economic Region Results

| Economic Region | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Plains | (\$2,007,929,827) | (\$1,015,541,580) | $(\$ 620,241,551)$ | (\$352,007,793) | -11,250 |
| Northwest Texas | (\$1,952,564,943) | (\$985,144,683) | (\$596,100,313) | (\$352,943,724) | -10,900 |
| Metroplex | (\$14,705,472,786) | (\$7,148,704,749) | (\$4,380,575,351) | (\$2,110,498,447) | -74,879 |
| Upper East Texas | $(\$ 3,788,102,885)$ | (\$1,880,713,096) | (\$1,150,968,827) | (\$672,197,878) | -21,077 |
| Southeast Texas | (\$2,545,033,545) | (\$1,276,712,345) | (\$810,214,249) | (\$481,624,773) | -14,843 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$14,557,754,320) | (\$6,612,298,524) | (\$4,013,963,826) | (\$1,679,909,895) | -63,630 |
| Capital | (\$2,671,953,162) | (\$1,364,877,767) | $(\$ 851,368,501)$ | $(\$ 451,325,434)$ | -15,273 |
| Central Texas | (\$2,699,925,671) | (\$1,354,161,971) | (\$836,415,880) | (\$500,517,488) | -15,826 |
| Alamo | (\$5,421,881,824) | (\$2,675,683,616) | (\$1,655,060,532) | (\$885,868,864) | -29,845 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$2,323,768,095) | (\$1,101,295,005) | $(\$ 671,065,595)$ | (\$376,418,235) | -11,808 |
| South Texas Border | (\$2,271,309,579) | (\$1,185,169,474) | (\$733,710,077) | (\$442,987,735) | -14,046 |
| West Texas | (\$1,544,686,920) | (\$750,485,412) | $(\$ 448,971,086)$ | $(\$ 257,086,303)$ | -7,950 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$1,834,123,418) | (\$882,847,102) | (\$537,574,710) | (\$280,112,955) | -9,653 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | $(\$ 8,843,499,525)$ | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Council of Governments (COG) Region Results 

| COG | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panhandle | (\$1,069,476,900) | $(\$ 531,609,294)$ | $(\$ 321,823,815)$ | (\$183,202,696) | -5,769 |
| South Plains | $(\$ 938,452,927)$ | (\$483,932,286) | (\$298,417,736) | $(\$ 168,805,097)$ | -5,481 |
| North Texas | $(\$ 794,783,403)$ | (\$407,344,046) | $(\$ 247,412,142)$ | (\$146,068,354) | -4,512 |
| North Central Texas | (\$14,085,655,637) | (\$6,832,821,273) | (\$4,180,820,619) | (\$1,988,228,870) | -71,105 |
| North East Texas | (\$882,104,749) | (\$444,403,990) | $(\$ 277,723,839)$ | $(\$ 175,239,532)$ | -5,293 |
| East Texas | (\$2,905,998,136) | $(\$ 1,436,309,105)$ | (\$873,244,988) | $(\$ 496,958,345)$ | -15,784 |
| West Central Texas | (\$1,157,781,540) | (\$577,800,636) | $(\$ 348,688,171)$ | $(\$ 206,875,370)$ | -6,388 |
| Upper Rio Grande | (\$1,834,123,418) | (\$882,847,102) | (\$537,574,710) | (\$280,112,955) | -9,653 |
| Permian Basin | (\$1,054,268,579) | (\$514,085,360) | (\$309,435,179) | $(\$ 174,222,525)$ | -5,369 |
| Concho Valley | (\$490,418,341) | (\$236,400,052) | (\$139,535,906) | (\$82,863,778) | -2,581 |
| Heart of Texas | (\$1,179,393,183) | (\$568,015,051) | $(\$ 346,046,188)$ | (\$203,799,281) | -6,528 |
| Capital | (\$2,671,953,162) | (\$1,364,877,767) | $(\$ 851,368,501)$ | $(\$ 451,325,434)$ | -15,273 |
| Brazos Valley | $(\$ 648,749,124)$ | $(\$ 328,260,191)$ | (\$201,067,774) | (\$121,091,413) | -3,762 |
| Deep East Texas | (\$1,225,981,042) | (\$626,147,141) | $(\$ 392,033,204)$ | (\$243,507,770) | -7,402 |
| South East Texas | (\$1,319,052,503) | (\$650,565,204) | $(\$ 418,181,045)$ | $(\$ 238,117,003)$ | -7,441 |
| Gulf Coast | (\$14,557,754,320) | $(\$ 6,612,298,524)$ | (\$4,013,963,826) | (\$1,679,909,895) | -63,630 |
| Golden Crescent | (\$590,563,890) | (\$289,729,951) | $(\$ 178,221,402)$ | (\$103,158,984) | -3,184 |
| Alamo | $(\$ 5,421,881,824)$ | (\$2,675,683,616) | (\$1,655,060,532) | (\$885,868,864) | -29,845 |
| South Texas | (\$374,340,852) | (\$193,579,358) | $(\$ 116,362,173)$ | (\$75,786,451) | -2,202 |
| Coastal Bend | (\$1,733,204,205) | (\$811,565,054) | (\$492,844,193) | (\$273,259,251) | -8,624 |
| Lower Rio Grande Valley | (\$1,582,292,898) | (\$827,160,429) | (\$515,744,540) | (\$301,689,618) | -9,861 |
| Texoma | $(\$ 619,817,149)$ | (\$315,883,476) | (\$199,754,732) | (\$122,269,578) | -3,773 |
| Central Texas | $(\$ 871,783,363)$ | $(\$ 457,886,730)$ | $(\$ 289,301,918)$ | (\$175,626,795) | -5,536 |
| Middle Rio Grande | (\$314,675,829) | (\$164,429,687) | $(\$ 101,603,365)$ | $(\$ 65,511,665)$ | -1,982 |
| Border Region | (\$4,106,387,509) | (\$2,068,567,969) | (\$1,271,638,932) | $(\$ 723,285,407)$ | -23,705 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | (\$8,843,499,525) | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and Rural Texas Results 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ \text { Jobs) }\end{array} \\ \text { MSA } & & & & & \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$
*Metropolitan Division
NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

| The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Expenditures $(2010$ Dollars) (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Anderson | (\$224,425,721) | (\$119,261,251) | (\$73,529,450) | (\$42,450,505) | -1,343 |
| Andrews | (\$31,438,749) | (\$15,663,963) | (\$9,310,870) | (\$5,070,739) | -158 |
| Angelina | (\$236,701,510) | (\$119,705,164) | $(\$ 75,346,782)$ | (\$45,922,645) | -1,424 |
| Aransas | (\$125,721,644) | (\$56,805,111) | (\$33,390,068) | (\$19,721,381) | -588 |
| Archer | (\$20,006,413) | (\$10,047,115) | (\$5,784,554) | (\$3,680,628) | -109 |
| Armstrong | (\$5,730,421) | (\$2,791,505) | (\$1,701,339) | $(\$ 715,177)$ | -28 |
| Atascosa | (\$118,477,730) | (\$55,952,988) | (\$33,859,364) | (\$18,312,711) | -578 |
| Austin | (\$96,743,428) | (\$45,954,107) | (\$28,946,265) | (\$13,219,263) | -467 |
| Bailey | (\$13,599,708) | (\$7,015,791) | $(\$ 4,302,387)$ | $(\$ 2,931,012)$ | -83 |
| Bandera | (\$70,427,617) | (\$32,753,199) | (\$19,452,903) | (\$12,678,031) | -371 |
| Bastrop | (\$176,355,442) | (\$86,270,695) | (\$53,045,069) | (\$32,399,412) | -1,006 |
| Baylor | (\$25,009,507) | (\$13,049,790) | (\$7,998,937) | $(\$ 4,789,478)$ | -147 |
| Bee | (\$63,806,038) | $(\$ 33,003,204)$ | $(\$ 19,891,598)$ | (\$12,678,031) | -378 |
| Bell | (\$549,204,508) | (\$294,402,611) | (\$187,778,917) | (\$109,312,799) | -3,549 |
| Bexar | (\$4,010,192,729) | (\$1,996,862,445) | (\$1,243,780,885) | (\$631,647,671) | -22,062 |
| Blanco | (\$27,558,074) | (\$12,914,157) | (\$7,660,644) | (\$4,864,697) | -149 |
| Borden | (\$3,684,267) | (\$1,756,763) | (\$1,010,406) | $(\$ 480,752)$ | -16 |
| Bosque | (\$63,885,639) | (\$31,594,627) | (\$19,662,715) | (\$10,955,667) | -364 |
| Bowie | (\$303,132,484) | (\$159,411,077) | (\$100,584,102) | $(\$ 60,291,080)$ | -1,896 |
| Brazoria | (\$638,448,444) | (\$303,241,864) | (\$189,488,272) | (\$110,241,295) | -3,351 |
| Brazos | (\$287,956,345) | (\$144,247,604) | (\$88,256,750) | (\$47,894,783) | -1,612 |
| Brewster | (\$19,497,542) | (\$10,651,412) | (\$6,721,410) | (\$3,944,276) | -127 |
| Briscoe | $(\$ 4,517,326)$ | $(\$ 2,138,382)$ | $(\$ 1,295,526)$ | $(\$ 806,703)$ | -24 |
| Brooks | (\$12,782,808) | (\$6,747,038) | (\$4,203,375) | (\$2,817,340) | -80 |
| Brown | (\$117,508,687) | (\$63,666,456) | (\$40,044,661) | (\$27,891,668) | -809 |
| Burleson | (\$56,375,000) | (\$29,227,150) | (\$17,886,311) | (\$11,269,361) | -329 |
| Burnet | (\$157,741,571) | (\$74,938,306) | (\$45,207,789) | (\$26,482,998) | -830 |
| Caldwell | (\$110,662,864) | (\$54,371,515) | $(\$ 33,316,428)$ | (\$19,439,647) | -610 |
| Calhoun | (\$39,962,423) | (\$16,457,024) | (\$10,074,634) | (\$5,456,061) | -168 |
| Callahan | (\$55,996,957) | (\$26,405,467) | (\$15,467,192) | $(\$ 9,578,957)$ | -285 |
| Cameron | (\$644,015,514) | (\$325,890,660) | (\$201,584,024) | (\$118,610,022) | -3,875 |
| Camp | (\$33,053,515) | (\$16,092,163) | $(\$ 9,946,398)$ | (\$6,078,320) | -188 |
| Carson | $(\$ 7,221,307)$ | $(\$ 2,893,461)$ | (\$1,461,866) | $(\$ 605,470)$ | -24 |
| Cass | $(\$ 96,169,547)$ | (\$47,840,890) | $(\$ 29,861,809)$ | (\$20,709,879) | -579 |
| Castro | (\$8,454,647) | $(\$ 4,186,819)$ | (\$2,565,141) | (\$1,811,561) | -50 |
| Chambers | (\$73,526,042) | $(\$ 30,711,407)$ | (\$18,132,750) | (\$8,213,167) | -286 |
| Cherokee | $(\$ 125,827,297)$ | (\$63,200,901) | $(\$ 40,168,838)$ | (\$25,059,742) | -754 |
| Childress | (\$22,045,062) | (\$10,853,990) | $(\$ 6,580,059)$ | (\$4,507,744) | -129 |
| Clay | (\$35,591,442) | (\$17,934,519) | (\$11,394,026) | (\$5,793,453) | -196 |
| Cochran | $(\$ 6,630,009)$ | $(\$ 3,412,127)$ | $(\$ 1,950,894)$ | $(\$ 960,560)$ | -34 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & & & \\ \hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { County } & & & & \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

| (continued) <br> The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: <br> County Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Freestone | (\$70,407,355) | (\$33,622,791) | (\$19,572,987) | (\$13,523,233) | -371 |
| Frio | (\$36,583,597) | (\$17,190,693) | (\$10,013,201) | (\$6,013,521) | -180 |
| Gaines | (\$31,158,542) | (\$14,540,969) | (\$8,216,924) | (\$4,940,780) | -146 |
| Galveston | (\$982,178,711) | (\$456,390,872) | (\$280,334,486) | (\$158,052,785) | -5,025 |
| Garza | (\$14,785,018) | $(\$ 7,037,837)$ | (\$4,190,863) | (\$2,587,956) | -74 |
| Gillespie | (\$101,391,996) | (\$49,648,052) | (\$30,696,344) | (\$18,594,445) | -585 |
| Glasscock | $(\$ 456,243)$ | $(\$ 210,143)$ | $(\$ 112,221)$ | $(\$ 41,128)$ | -2 |
| Goliad | (\$24,520,729) | (\$12,695,994) | $(\$ 7,804,086)$ | (\$5,634,680) | -152 |
| Gonzales | (\$40,707,253) | (\$20,569,475) | (\$12,792,398) | (\$8,070,437) | -244 |
| Gray | (\$85,454,304) | (\$38,985,963) | (\$23,504,183) | (\$14,242,841) | -406 |
| Grayson | (\$372,418,786) | (\$193,349,525) | (\$122,748,863) | (\$78,040,323) | -2,383 |
| Gregg | (\$423,119,900) | (\$218,937,668) | (\$135,889,498) | (\$72,405,643) | -2,393 |
| Grimes | (\$62,809,819) | (\$31,202,533) | (\$19,397,737) | $(\$ 11,679,588)$ | -359 |
| Guadalupe | (\$228,799,195) | (\$113,324,435) | (\$70,173,792) | (\$45,640,911) | -1,347 |
| Hale | $(\$ 59,391,012)$ | (\$32,122,413) | (\$20,059,189) | (\$14,889,516) | -408 |
| Hall | (\$13,445,158) | (\$6,723,930) | (\$4,015,345) | (\$2,584,362) | -76 |
| Hamilton | (\$34,251,714) | (\$16,881,675) | (\$10,499,166) | (\$7,325,085) | -208 |
| Hansford | (\$7,084,035) | $(\$ 3,027,348)$ | (\$1,623,798) | $(\$ 754,631)$ | -24 |
| Hardeman | (\$11,472,065) | (\$6,231,176) | (\$3,834,575) | (\$3,099,074) | -81 |
| Hardin | (\$170,326,383) | $(\$ 82,333,855)$ | (\$49,686,395) | (\$31,554,210) | -917 |
| Harris | (\$10,013,388,243) | (\$4,475,274,952) | (\$2,705,402,660) | (\$977,742,590) | -40,915 |
| Harrison | (\$237,633,297) | (\$108,646,335) | (\$66,592,782) | (\$32,568,383) | -1,099 |
| Hartley | (\$4,158,626) | $(\$ 2,047,223)$ | (\$1,219,739) | $(\$ 772,619)$ | -24 |
| Haskell | (\$26,010,719) | (\$13,062,306) | (\$8,093,695) | (\$4,649,602) | -146 |
| Hays | (\$201,861,562) | (\$101,906,215) | (\$62,937,670) | (\$36,907,157) | -1,179 |
| Hemphill | (\$5,017,061) | (\$2,238,254) | (\$1,246,705) | $(\$ 668,544)$ | -20 |
| Henderson | (\$363,585,941) | (\$173,280,344) | (\$104,682,193) | $(\$ 61,136,282)$ | -1,946 |
| Hidalgo | (\$910,951,291) | (\$486,000,228) | (\$304,819,827) | (\$176,647,230) | -5,804 |
| Hill | (\$136,091,872) | (\$62,758,034) | (\$37,345,137) | (\$25,919,530) | -761 |
| Hockley | (\$49,687,811) | (\$24,995,193) | (\$14,999,829) | (\$9,596,019) | -282 |
| Hood | (\$188,856,693) | ( $\$ 88,738,001$ ) | (\$54,607,132) | (\$33,526,348) | -1,023 |
| Hopkins | (\$95,777,021) | (\$50,592,335) | $(\$ 31,862,250)$ | (\$21,411,785) | -622 |
| Houston | (\$113,351,823) | (\$55,382,674) | (\$35,434,861) | (\$15,688,188) | -573 |
| Howard | (\$114,089,978) | (\$53,768,561) | (\$32,550,970) | (\$18,594,445) | -567 |
| Hudspeth | (\$1,173,436) | (\$599,219) | $(\$ 345,566)$ | (\$364,017) | -8 |
| Hunt | (\$216,360,858) | (\$108,835,380) | (\$68,084,574) | (\$45,640,911) | -1,321 |
| Hutchinson | (\$69,951,445) | (\$32,211,217) | (\$19,401,048) | (\$13,413,251) | -342 |
| Irion | (\$1,700,159) | $(\$ 693,805)$ | $(\$ 385,740)$ | $(\$ 220,331)$ | -6 |
| Jack | (\$30,878,085) | (\$15,058,634) | $(\$ 9,185,137)$ | (\$5,446,167) | -161 |
| Jackson | (\$44,927,119) | $(\$ 22,312,131)$ | (\$13,002,041) | $(\$ 8,621,197)$ | -242 |


| (continued) <br> The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: <br> County Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ \text { Jobs) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Jasper | (\$118,301,244) | (\$59,600,236) | (\$37,103,230) | (\$24,794,706) | -730 |
| Jeff Davis | $(\$ 5,907,859)$ | (\$2,854,072) | (\$1,743,188) | (\$1,113,993) | -33 |
| Jefferson | (\$875,476,880) | (\$434,143,540) | (\$282,518,338) | (\$154,671,977) | -4,970 |
| Jim Hogg | (\$17,428,665) | $(\$ 8,559,808)$ | (\$4,930,354) | $(\$ 3,662,542)$ | -93 |
| Jim Wells | (\$85,725,100) | $(\$ 46,261,909)$ | $(\$ 27,782,682)$ | (\$17,749,243) | -524 |
| Johnson | (\$371,462,213) | (\$184,556,917) | (\$117,436,332) | $(\$ 68,461,367)$ | -2,168 |
| Jones | (\$63,019,230) | (\$31,068,988) | (\$18,732,757) | (\$10,357,325) | -337 |
| Karnes | (\$55,669,503) | (\$24,926,994) | $(\$ 14,744,029)$ | (\$8,452,021) | -253 |
| Kaufman | (\$250,207,455) | (\$121,549,598) | (\$75,709,239) | $(\$ 46,486,113)$ | -1,442 |
| Kendall | (\$96,805,938) | (\$44,404,990) | (\$26,882,272) | $(\$ 16,058,839)$ | -488 |
| Kenedy | (\$1,448,087) | $(\$ 714,984)$ | $(\$ 410,104)$ | $(\$ 314,086)$ | -9 |
| Kent | (\$1,306,414) | $(\$ 609,787)$ | $(\$ 356,942)$ | $(\$ 191,954)$ | -6 |
| Kerr | (\$229,562,548) | (\$112,409,985) | (\$67,908,541) | (\$41,978,369) | -1,299 |
| Kimble | $(\$ 24,663,325)$ | (\$10,651,860) | $(\$ 6,185,333)$ | (\$3,944,276) | -112 |
| King | $(\$ 1,305,746)$ | $(\$ 694,937)$ | $(\$ 431,924)$ | $(\$ 172,370)$ | -7 |
| Kinney | (\$12,452,309) | (\$5,642,792) | (\$3,098,805) | (\$2,017,362) | -58 |
| Kleberg | (\$78,830,121) | $(\$ 39,328,678)$ | (\$23,705,898) | (\$14,086,701) | -435 |
| Knox | (\$14,911,864) | (\$7,695,116) | (\$4,580,354) | $(\$ 2,288,870)$ | -77 |
| La Salle | (\$9,790,016) | (\$5,135,151) | (\$3,025,705) | $(\$ 2,253,872)$ | -61 |
| Lamar | (\$162,164,301) | $(\$ 80,158,521)$ | $(\$ 50,293,796)$ | $(\$ 33,154,528)$ | -991 |
| Lamb | (\$28,805,712) | (\$13,235,824) | (\$8,069,756) | $(\$ 5,017,329)$ | -144 |
| Lampasas | (\$61,515,185) | (\$31,050,329) | $(\$ 19,093,726)$ | (\$12,678,031) | -383 |
| Lavaca | (\$75,085,353) | (\$40,810,471) | $(\$ 25,521,337)$ | $(\$ 15,367,262)$ | -481 |
| Lee | (\$51,376,441) | (\$25,248,644) | $(\$ 15,256,171)$ | (\$8,869,015) | -275 |
| Leon | (\$44,698,876) | $(\$ 23,672,867)$ | $(\$ 14,051,463)$ | $(\$ 10,115,083)$ | -274 |
| Liberty | (\$256,979,167) | (\$129,833,716) | $(\$ 80,467,493)$ | (\$44,182,031) | -1,426 |
| Limestone | (\$73,684,862) | (\$37,143,169) | $(\$ 23,292,013)$ | (\$14,931,903) | -432 |
| Lipscomb | $(\$ 6,641,349)$ | $(\$ 3,084,076)$ | (\$1,682,821) | $(\$ 846,406)$ | -28 |
| Live Oak | (\$31,419,896) | (\$14,578,897) | $(\$ 8,779,548)$ | $(\$ 5,634,680)$ | -157 |
| Llano | (\$111,819,852) | (\$53,626,399) | (\$32,113,081) | $(\$ 20,566,583)$ | -626 |
| Loving | $(\$ 808,877)$ | $(\$ 345,573)$ | $(\$ 171,791)$ | $(\$ 58,449)$ | -2 |
| Lubbock | (\$670,821,593) | (\$349,053,202) | (\$217,173,190) | (\$116,356,150) | -3,957 |
| Lynn | (\$10,004,933) | (\$4,825,414) | $(\$ 2,876,862)$ | (\$1,173,844) | -48 |
| Madison | (\$34,609,516) | (\$17,472,570) | (\$10,300,924) | $(\$ 7,888,553)$ | -213 |
| Marion | (\$48,380,142) | (\$24,435,022) | $(\$ 14,945,388)$ | (\$9,860,691) | -295 |
| Martin | (\$13,848,567) | $(\$ 6,406,210)$ | (\$3,798,759) | $(\$ 2,033,516)$ | -62 |
| Mason | (\$18,359,718) | (\$8,811,539) | (\$5,054,044) | (\$3,099,074) | -94 |
| Matagorda | (\$119,751,905) | (\$54,306,843) | (\$33,662,671) | $(\$ 21,470,363)$ | -602 |
| Maverick | $(\$ 78,438,562)$ | $(\$ 39,891,586)$ | $(\$ 24,156,442)$ | (\$16,340,573) | -481 |
| McCulloch | (\$31,061,784) | (\$16,070,869) | $(\$ 10,172,999)$ | $(\$ 6,198,148)$ | -188 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McLennan | $(\$ 774,806,322)$ | (\$370,969,632) | $(\$ 226,082,474)$ | $(\$ 126,498,575)$ | -4,218 |
| McMullen | $(\$ 531,642)$ | $(\$ 243,150)$ | $(\$ 136,115)$ | $(\$ 58,737)$ | -2 |
| Medina | $(\$ 99,628,987)$ | (\$47,210,368) | $(\$ 28,078,356)$ | (\$18,030,977) | -544 |
| Menard | (\$9,787,376) | $(\$ 4,951,836)$ | $(\$ 2,896,411)$ | $(\$ 1,972,138)$ | -54 |
| Midland | (\$285,669,900) | $(\$ 140,583,104)$ | (\$83,643,763) | (\$44,484,889) | -1,424 |
| Milam | (\$73,494,705) | $(\$ 36,441,315)$ | $(\$ 22,848,359)$ | (\$14,309,086) | -429 |
| Mills | (\$14,330,879) | $(\$ 8,781,121)$ | (\$5,715,321) | $(\$ 3,828,392)$ | -113 |
| Mitchell | (\$31,191,764) | (\$15,751,149) | (\$9,489,676) | $(\$ 5,860,459)$ | -173 |
| Montague | (\$90,653,574) | (\$43,034,010) | $(\$ 25,072,300)$ | (\$15,777,105) | -474 |
| Montgomery | (\$1,115,881,389) | $(\$ 523,066,333)$ | $(\$ 318,489,607)$ | (\$149,893,719) | -5,296 |
| Moore | (\$38,716,671) | (\$16,602,308) | (\$9,815,221) | $(\$ 5,485,746)$ | -164 |
| Morris | (\$44,967,802) | (\$19,819,374) | (\$12,494,779) | $(\$ 5,543,307)$ | -202 |
| Motley | (\$5,956,742) | $(\$ 2,735,835)$ | (\$1,537,469) | $(\$ 976,288)$ | -28 |
| Nacogdoches | (\$149,699,573) | (\$79,773,641) | $(\$ 50,839,757)$ | $(\$ 33,526,348)$ | -1,023 |
| Navarro | (\$166,302,847) | (\$82,217,336) | $(\$ 51,528,966)$ | $(\$ 28,846,087)$ | -958 |
| Newton | (\$29,312,081) | (\$18,199,873) | (\$12,346,684) | $(\$ 8,274,559)$ | -233 |
| Nolan | (\$63,484,164) | (\$32,654,559) | (\$19,608,216) | $(\$ 11,572,540)$ | -356 |
| Nueces | (\$1,077,859,105) | $(\$ 495,698,432)$ | (\$302,896,789) | (\$154,108,509) | -5,140 |
| Ochiltree | (\$15,304,320) | (\$7,073,678) | $(\$ 4,113,569)$ | $(\$ 2,221,810)$ | -69 |
| Oldham | $(\$ 601,714)$ | $(\$ 338,898)$ | $(\$ 214,633)$ | $(\$ 196,108)$ | -5 |
| Orange | (\$273,249,240) | (\$134,087,808) | (\$85,976,312) | (\$51,890,817) | -1,553 |
| Palo Pinto | (\$123,155,745) | $(\$ 56,688,853)$ | (\$33,792,290) | (\$20,003,115) | -614 |
| Panola | (\$85,456,481) | $(\$ 42,481,956)$ | $(\$ 25,906,608)$ | (\$14,933,005) | -465 |
| Parker | (\$304,866,964) | (\$141,967,081) | (\$85,766,180) | (\$49,866,921) | -1,564 |
| Parmer | $(\$ 6,762,188)$ | $(\$ 3,075,558)$ | (\$1,861,450) | $(\$ 638,337)$ | -31 |
| Pecos | (\$36,308,969) | (\$17,610,924) | (\$10,389,872) | $(\$ 7,043,350)$ | -198 |
| Polk | (\$212,597,328) | (\$106,139,790) | (\$63,909,951) | (\$40,569,699) | -1,172 |
| Potter | (\$397,029,595) | (\$202,816,453) | (\$123,054,022) | (\$65,925,761) | -2,189 |
| Presidio | (\$10,329,262) | (\$4,900,744) | (\$2,957,279) | $(\$ 1,972,138)$ | -56 |
| Rains | (\$46,292,932) | $(\$ 21,192,199)$ | (\$12,341,380) | $(\$ 8,515,846)$ | -232 |
| Randall | (\$280,309,543) | (\$143,754,463) | $(\$ 87,821,182)$ | (\$49,354,678) | -1,595 |
| Reagan | (\$6,660,727) | $(\$ 3,323,458)$ | (\$1,906,673) | $(\$ 1,330,985)$ | -34 |
| Real | (\$17,801,340) | (\$7,934,326) | (\$4,612,893) | $(\$ 2,817,340)$ | -82 |
| Red River | (\$63,850,089) | (\$29,961,284) | (\$17,852,000) | $(\$ 11,422,636)$ | -342 |
| Reeves | (\$31,960,465) | (\$15,987,958) | $(\$ 9,528,011)$ | $(\$ 7,043,350)$ | -186 |
| Refugio | (\$24,355,269) | (\$11,751,286) | (\$6,669,853) | $(\$ 5,634,680)$ | -132 |
| Roberts | $(\$ 831,575)$ | $(\$ 363,097)$ | $(\$ 205,022)$ | $(\$ 163,967)$ | -4 |
| Robertson | (\$56,612,988) | (\$27,749,346) | (\$17,213,787) | (\$12,396,297) | -345 |
| Rockwall | (\$125,658,426) | $(\$ 63,386,405)$ | $(\$ 39,633,577)$ | $(\$ 23,102,190)$ | -738 |
| Runnels | (\$49,593,148) | (\$21,994,616) | (\$12,845,901) | (\$7,519,304) | -225 |

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & & \text { Total } \\ & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Retail } \\ \text { Sales }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

## (continued)

## The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: County Results

| County | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ (2010 \text { Dollars }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment <br> (Permanent <br> Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wharton | $(\$ 134,952,408)$ | (\$68,307,600) | (\$41,427,217) | (\$25,913,079) | -767 |
| Wheeler | $(\$ 16,076,486)$ | $(\$ 8,639,468)$ | $(\$ 5,233,972)$ | $(\$ 3,657,353)$ | -100 |
| Wichita | $(\$ 435,226,625)$ | $(\$ 229,896,297)$ | (\$140,109,670) | (\$80,294,196) | -2,540 |
| Wilbarger | (\$53,549,044) | $(\$ 25,727,467)$ | $(\$ 16,197,423)$ | (\$10,142,425) | -301 |
| Willacy | $(\$ 27,326,094)$ | (\$15,269,541) | (\$9,340,690) | $(\$ 6,432,366)$ | -182 |
| Williamson | (\$340,715,643) | $(\$ 181,688,544)$ | (\$116,885,113) | (\$64,679,286) | -2,146 |
| Wilson | (\$97,710,652) | (\$48,269,621) | (\$29,303,992) | $(\$ 18,876,179)$ | -577 |
| Winkler | (\$21,152,273) | $(\$ 10,506,520)$ | (\$6,287,394) | $(\$ 4,012,486)$ | -113 |
| Wise | (\$138,648,710) | (\$70,022,851) | $(\$ 42,108,209)$ | (\$25,637,796) | -770 |
| Wood | (\$191,541,291) | (\$92,419,160) | $(\$ 55,878,659)$ | $(\$ 32,855,227)$ | -1,032 |
| Yoakum | (\$11,777,923) | $(\$ 5,756,315)$ | (\$3,369,862) | $(\$ 2,266,274)$ | -62 |
| Young | (\$85,947,981) | (\$42,705,264) | (\$25,503,834) | (\$15,811,590) | -461 |
| Zapata | (\$17,025,883) | (\$8,506,712) | $(\$ 5,100,725)$ | $(\$ 3,662,542)$ | -99 |
| Zavala | (\$15,345,462) | $(\$ 9,206,802)$ | (\$6,045,755) | (\$4,910,301) | -134 |
| TOTAL STATE IMPACT | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | $(\$ 17,306,230,498)$ | (\$8,843,499,525) | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

| The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: <br> Results by Texas House District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \begin{array}{r} \text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent } \\ \text { Jobs) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1 | (\$492,649,974) | (\$251,506,363) | (\$157,886,079) | (\$96,404,957) | -2,972 |
| 2 | (\$416,939,192) | (\$216,005,602) | (\$133,559,302) | (\$89,091,776) | -2,599 |
| 3 | (\$437,834,917) | (\$217,332,649) | (\$134,783,148) | $(\$ 88,695,266)$ | -2,616 |
| 4 | (\$613,793,396) | (\$294,829,942) | (\$180,391,433) | (\$107,622,395) | -3,388 |
| 5 | (\$605,517,278) | (\$287,255,854) | (\$174,760,843) | (\$97,421,460) | -3,094 |
| 6 | (\$538,892,963) | (\$261,003,020) | (\$153,793,690) | $(\$ 84,378,358)$ | -2,729 |
| 7 | (\$541,413,477) | (\$276,231,014) | (\$169,649,088) | (\$90,927,721) | -2,992 |
| 8 | (\$534,820,785) | (\$272,244,548) | (\$167,923,416) | $(\$ 99,751,728)$ | -3,104 |
| 9 | (\$408,990,967) | (\$211,501,637) | (\$133,821,796) | $(\$ 87,492,378)$ | -2,627 |
| 10 | (\$454,275,542) | (\$210,840,550) | $(\$ 128,881,835)$ | $(\$ 80,776,625)$ | -2,418 |
| 11 | (\$496,556,104) | (\$243,055,052) | (\$151,844,468) | (\$83,020,652) | -2,682 |
| 12 | (\$461,728,843) | (\$234,923,167) | (\$146,519,912) | (\$91,482,945) | -2,796 |
| 13 | (\$391,164,964) | (\$196,638,225) | (\$122,891,776) | (\$70,384,395) | -2,242 |
| 14 | (\$267,799,401) | (\$134,150,271) | $(\$ 82,078,777)$ | $(\$ 44,542,148)$ | -1,499 |
| 15 | (\$502,146,625) | (\$235,379,850) | (\$143,320,323) | (\$67,452,174) | -2,383 |
| 16 | (\$513,305,439) | (\$240,610,513) | (\$146,505,219) | (\$68,951,111) | -2,436 |
| 17 | (\$474,047,246) | (\$236,077,309) | (\$143,606,181) | $(\$ 86,388,483)$ | -2,687 |
| 18 | (\$570,005,820) | (\$283,049,476) | (\$173,041,509) | (\$98,242,164) | -3,075 |
| 19 | (\$429,167,825) | (\$213,167,487) | (\$134,253,181) | $(\$ 83,417,055)$ | -2,455 |
| 20 | (\$226,816,744) | (\$118,201,160) | (\$75,446,660) | (\$43,414,764) | -1,394 |
| 21 | (\$464,002,746) | (\$230,096,076) | (\$149,734,719) | ( $\$ 81,976,148$ ) | -2,634 |
| 22 | (\$455,194,012) | (\$225,501,513) | (\$146,539,829) | ( $\$ 80,998,360)$ | -2,585 |
| 23 | (\$525,328,249) | (\$240,651,208) | (\$147,086,614) | (\$80,917,448) | -2,598 |
| 24 | (\$530,376,504) | (\$246,451,071) | (\$151,380,623) | ( $\$ 85,348,504$ ) | -2,713 |
| 25 | (\$363,915,613) | (\$172,847,863) | (\$108,008,315) | $(\$ 62,837,538)$ | -1,910 |
| 26 | (\$337,384,633) | (\$155,158,169) | (\$93,743,625) | (\$46,512,528) | -1,549 |
| 27 | (\$337,384,633) | (\$155,158,169) | (\$93,743,625) | $(\$ 46,512,528)$ | -1,549 |
| 28 | (\$394,046,105) | (\$185,134,636) | (\$110,818,082) | (\$64,637,812) | -1,973 |
| 29 | (\$394,284,735) | (\$184,700,844) | (\$115,142,628) | (\$68,874,120) | -2,042 |
| 30 | (\$509,728,754) | (\$251,758,744) | (\$154,220,137) | (\$89,632,486) | -2,753 |
| 31 | (\$193,084,129) | $(\$ 99,730,849)$ | (\$60,453,778) | $(\$ 39,832,007)$ | -1,158 |
| 32 | (\$483,212,371) | (\$219,397,460) | (\$133,131,140) | (\$77,800,946) | -2,347 |
| 33 | (\$479,647,302) | (\$220,585,802) | (\$134,789,071) | $(\$ 68,578,286)$ | -2,287 |
| 34 | (\$479,647,302) | (\$220,585,802) | (\$134,789,071) | (\$68,578,286) | -2,287 |
| 35 | (\$380,150,638) | (\$187,663,136) | (\$112,997,422) | (\$68,520,104) | -2,043 |
| 36 | (\$227,737,823) | (\$121,500,057) | (\$76,204,957) | (\$44,161,808) | -1,451 |
| 37 | (\$257,606,205) | (\$130,356,264) | $(\$ 80,633,609)$ | (\$47,444,009) | -1,550 |
| 38 | (\$257,606,205) | (\$130,356,264) | (\$80,633,609) | (\$47,444,009) | -1,550 |
| 39 | (\$227,737,823) | (\$121,500,057) | $(\$ 76,204,957)$ | (\$44,161,808) | -1,451 |
| 40 | (\$227,737,823) | (\$121,500,057) | $(\$ 76,204,957)$ | (\$44,161,808) | -1,451 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | (\$227,737,823) | $(\$ 121,500,057)$ | $(\$ 76,204,957)$ | (\$44,161,808) | -1,451 |
| 42 | $(\$ 195,588,750)$ | $(\$ 100,112,569)$ | (\$59,608,413) | $(\$ 37,076,197)$ | -1,106 |
| 43 | (\$266,618,877) | $(\$ 135,798,181)$ | (\$82,907,225) | (\$51,035,039) | -1,574 |
| 44 | (\$367,217,100) | (\$182,163,530) | $(\$ 112,270,182)$ | $(\$ 72,587,528)$ | -2,168 |
| 45 | $(\$ 340,082,500)$ | (\$169,191,887) | (\$103,914,743) | $(\$ 61,211,501)$ | -1,937 |
| 46 | $(\$ 236,333,112)$ | $(\$ 122,732,146)$ | (\$77,155,043) | $(\$ 37,436,142)$ | -1,342 |
| 47 | (\$236,333,112) | (\$122,732,146) | (\$77,155,043) | $(\$ 37,436,142)$ | -1,342 |
| 48 | $(\$ 222,431,164)$ | $(\$ 115,512,608)$ | (\$72,616,511) | (\$35,234,016) | -1,263 |
| 49 | $(\$ 236,333,112)$ | (\$122,732,146) | (\$77,155,043) | $(\$ 37,436,142)$ | -1,342 |
| 50 | $(\$ 222,431,164)$ | $(\$ 115,512,608)$ | (\$72,616,511) | (\$35,234,016) | -1,263 |
| 51 | $(\$ 236,333,112)$ | $(\$ 122,732,146)$ | (\$77,155,043) | $(\$ 37,436,142)$ | -1,342 |
| 52 | $(\$ 187,393,604)$ | $(\$ 99,928,699)$ | (\$64,286,812) | $(\$ 35,573,607)$ | -1,180 |
| 53 | $(\$ 646,612,832)$ | (\$312,780,613) | (\$187,363,968) | (\$116,805,043) | -3,532 |
| 54 | $(\$ 433,446,514)$ | (\$220,805,654) | (\$137,535,292) | (\$81,793,020) | -2,597 |
| 55 | (\$335,014,750) | (\$179,585,592) | (\$114,545,139) | $(\$ 66,680,808)$ | -2,165 |
| 56 | $(\$ 495,876,046)$ | (\$237,420,564) | (\$144,692,783) | (\$80,959,088) | -2,700 |
| 57 | (\$475,368,790) | (\$234,370,647) | (\$143,046,727) | (\$87,909,793) | -2,733 |
| 58 | (\$435,347,852) | $(\$ 216,151,545)$ | (\$137,099,047) | (\$79,417,034) | -2,532 |
| 59 | $(\$ 317,572,066)$ | (\$163,275,151) | (\$102,312,097) | $(\$ 65,381,059)$ | -1,992 |
| 60 | $(\$ 554,292,586)$ | $(\$ 270,904,537)$ | (\$165,408,031) | (\$106,313,525) | -3,143 |
| 61 | (\$443,515,674) | (\$211,989,931) | (\$127,874,389) | (\$75,504,717) | -2,333 |
| 62 | $(\$ 488,371,571)$ | (\$251,339,748) | (\$159,498,773) | (\$100,576,058) | -3,085 |
| 63 | (\$296,423,272) | $(\$ 141,811,642)$ | $(\$ 87,594,561)$ | (\$44,440,724) | -1,519 |
| 64 | (\$305,405,795) | (\$146,108,964) | (\$90,248,942) | (\$45,787,413) | -1,565 |
| 65 | $(\$ 296,423,272)$ | $(\$ 141,811,642)$ | (\$87,594,561) | (\$44,440,724) | -1,519 |
| 66 | (\$247,449,193) | (\$126,359,746) | (\$78,949,332) | (\$41,877,785) | -1,400 |
| 67 | (\$247,449,193) | (\$126,359,746) | (\$78,949,332) | $(\$ 41,877,785)$ | -1,400 |
| 68 | $(\$ 533,795,046)$ | (\$263,676,115) | (\$161,024,827) | $(\$ 94,686,733)$ | -2,892 |
| 69 | (\$455,233,038) | (\$239,943,412) | (\$145,894,224) | (\$83,974,824) | -2,649 |
| 70 | (\$247,449,193) | (\$126,359,746) | (\$78,949,332) | $(\$ 41,877,785)$ | -1,400 |
| 71 | (\$509,627,761) | (\$251,385,240) | (\$151,412,423) | $(\$ 80,879,109)$ | -2,675 |
| 72 | (\$437,289,728) | (\$212,742,494) | $(\$ 125,000,328)$ | (\$74,439,922) | -2,321 |
| 73 | (\$545,256,882) | $(\$ 259,536,086)$ | $(\$ 157,198,372)$ | $(\$ 96,916,503)$ | -3,005 |
| 74 | (\$307,210,102) | $(\$ 160,101,372)$ | $(\$ 98,829,150)$ | $(\$ 63,122,518)$ | -1,892 |
| 75 | (\$358,518,816) | (\$172,226,264) | (\$104,825,459) | (\$54,261,972) | -1,879 |
| 76 | $(\$ 358,518,816)$ | (\$172,226,264) | (\$104,825,459) | (\$54,261,972) | -1,879 |
| 77 | (\$358,518,816) | $(\$ 172,226,264)$ | (\$104,825,459) | (\$54,261,972) | -1,879 |
| 78 | (\$358,518,816) | (\$172,226,264) | (\$104,825,459) | (\$54,261,972) | -1,879 |
| 79 | $(\$ 358,518,816)$ | (\$172,226,264) | (\$104,825,459) | (\$54,261,972) | -1,879 |
| 80 | (\$270,336,243) | (\$133,402,559) | (\$79,919,824) | (\$53,510,883) | -1,567 |

## (continued)

The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | (\$448,769,115) | (\$219,138,281) | $(\$ 134,330,794)$ | $(\$ 73,036,847)$ | -2,294 |
| 82 | $(\$ 353,180,502)$ | (\$173,778,918) | (\$102,994,336) | $(\$ 56,037,090)$ | -1,769 |
| 83 | (\$367,582,922) | (\$188,325,884) | (\$115,406,785) | (\$64,306,093) | -2,107 |
| 84 | (\$402,492,956) | (\$209,431,921) | (\$130,303,914) | (\$69,813,690) | -2,374 |
| 85 | $(\$ 351,602,407)$ | (\$174,108,372) | (\$104,948,536) | (\$64,412,970) | -1,924 |
| 86 | (\$319,073,982) | (\$163,203,721) | $(\$ 99,630,805)$ | (\$55,740,796) | -1,814 |
| 87 | (\$445,408,973) | (\$223,457,867) | (\$135,011,012) | (\$72,382,015) | -2,389 |
| 88 | (\$347,399,366) | (\$165,199,322) | $(\$ 99,554,142)$ | (\$63,028,225) | -1,792 |
| 89 | (\$299,789,340) | (\$152,306,226) | (\$95,190,514) | (\$52,571,742) | -1,723 |
| 90 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 91 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 92 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 93 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 94 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 95 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 96 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 97 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 98 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 99 | (\$413,759,771) | (\$202,897,082) | (\$124,927,350) | (\$61,192,629) | -2,152 |
| 100 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 101 | (\$364,509,545) | (\$174,308,505) | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 102 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 103 | (\$364,509,545) | (\$174,308,505) | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 104 | (\$364,509,545) | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 105 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 106 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 107 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 108 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 109 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 110 | (\$364,509,545) | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 111 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 112 | (\$364,509,545) | (\$174,308,505) | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 113 | $(\$ 364,509,545)$ | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 114 | (\$364,509,545) | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 115 | (\$364,509,545) | $(\$ 174,308,505)$ | (\$105,054,309) | (\$41,857,713) | -1,682 |
| 116 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 117 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | $(\$ 124,378,088)$ | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 118 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 119 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 120 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |

The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas House District

| House District | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | $(\$ 124,378,088)$ | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 122 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 123 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | $(\$ 124,378,088)$ | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 124 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | (\$124,378,088) | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 125 | (\$401,019,273) | (\$199,686,244) | $(\$ 124,378,088)$ | (\$63,164,767) | -2,206 |
| 126 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 127 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 128 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 129 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 130 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 131 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 132 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 133 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 134 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 135 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 136 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 137 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 138 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 139 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 140 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 141 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 142 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 143 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 144 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | (\$108,216,106) | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 145 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 146 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 147 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 148 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 149 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| 150 | $(\$ 400,535,530)$ | (\$179,010,998) | $(\$ 108,216,106)$ | (\$39,109,704) | -1,637 |
| TOTAL | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | (\$8,843,499,525) | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by Texas Senate District

| Senate District | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Personal } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$2,500,199,435) | (\$1,232,234,930) | $(\$ 755,341,855)$ | $(\$ 435,599,763)$ | -13,722 |
| 2 | (\$2,042,059,278) | (\$1,007,135,824) | $(\$ 616,732,308)$ | (\$328,167,471) | -10,968 |
| 3 | (\$2,533,235,271) | (\$1,257,111,286) | $(\$ 774,503,059)$ | (\$456,890,775) | -14,245 |
| 4 | (\$2,203,166,872) | (\$1,056,098,851) | $(\$ 661,631,935)$ | (\$336,656,197) | -11,286 |
| 5 | (\$1,461,573,258) | $(\$ 745,473,252)$ | (\$464,102,861) | $(\$ 273,972,530)$ | -8,598 |
| 6 | (\$1,902,543,766) | (\$850,302,241) | $(\$ 514,026,505)$ | (\$185,771,092) | -7,774 |
| 7 | (\$2,002,677,649) | (\$895,054,990) | $(\$ 541,080,532)$ | (\$195,548,518) | -8,183 |
| 8 | (\$1,468,033,852) | $(\$ 727,886,829)$ | (\$447,692,219) | $(\$ 211,957,723)$ | -7,605 |
| 9 | (\$1,748,426,414) | (\$843,241,726) | (\$515,071,559) | $(\$ 235,372,850)$ | -8,632 |
| 10 | (\$2,027,422,876) | $(\$ 994,195,700)$ | (\$612,144,015) | (\$299,843,882) | -10,546 |
| 11 | (\$2,157,432,819) | (\$990,846,618) | (\$606,832,812) | (\$295,100,955) | -10,165 |
| 12 | (\$1,800,386,853) | $(\$ 879,638,876)$ | (\$541,862,614) | (\$266,813,386) | -9,344 |
| 13 | (\$1,885,546,666) | $(\$ 845,080,192)$ | (\$510,840,915) | $(\$ 191,482,305)$ | -7,797 |
| 14 | (\$1,195,567,508) | (\$620,880,266) | (\$390,313,747) | (\$189,382,835) | -6,790 |
| 15 | (\$2,002,677,649) | (\$895,054,990) | $(\$ 541,080,532)$ | (\$195,548,518) | -8,183 |
| 16 | (\$1,749,645,814) | (\$836,680,824) | (\$504,260,682) | (\$200,917,025) | -8,071 |
| 17 | (\$2,067,263,000) | (\$946,365,246) | $(\$ 579,431,389)$ | (\$255,438,092) | -9,323 |
| 18 | (\$2,105,271,693) | (\$1,012,360,269) | $(\$ 616,508,419)$ | (\$356,441,874) | -11,037 |
| 19 | (\$1,698,151,806) | (\$845,555,631) | (\$520,734,899) | (\$291,401,064) | -9,520 |
| 20 | (\$1,677,390,224) | $(\$ 816,007,504)$ | (\$502,533,751) | (\$271,831,069) | -8,936 |
| 21 | (\$1,413,414,538) | (\$697,362,011) | $(\$ 424,581,482)$ | (\$254,224,935) | -7,796 |
| 22 | (\$2,212,571,579) | (\$1,066,687,437) | (\$659,105,798) | $(\$ 386,203,972)$ | -12,321 |
| 23 | (\$1,807,967,341) | $(\$ 864,570,185)$ | (\$521,069,371) | (\$207,614,259) | -8,340 |
| 24 | (\$2,272,710,407) | (\$1,147,684,115) | (\$706,725,856) | (\$424,854,285) | -13,302 |
| 25 | (\$1,840,865,768) | $(\$ 912,780,130)$ | (\$564,894,020) | (\$311,667,869) | -10,312 |
| 26 | (\$1,844,688,655) | $(\$ 918,556,725)$ | (\$572,139,207) | (\$290,557,929) | -10,149 |
| 27 | (\$1,161,547,896) | $(\$ 599,903,965)$ | (\$372,209,637) | (\$218,934,428) | -7,113 |
| 28 | (\$1,872,248,148) | $(\$ 940,227,883)$ | $(\$ 569,943,459)$ | (\$332,005,960) | -10,465 |
| 29 | (\$1,685,038,436) | $(\$ 809,463,439)$ | (\$492,679,657) | (\$255,031,269) | -8,830 |
| 30 | (\$2,203,764,406) | (\$1,100,196,566) | (\$674,568,633) | (\$395,573,841) | -12,328 |
| 31 | (\$1,781,017,097) | (\$878,996,821) | (\$531,586,772) | (\$292,692,859) | -9,298 |
| TOTAL | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | (\$8,843,499,525) | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Total Annual Impact of Mortality Losses Associated with the Incidence of Cancer on Business Activity: Results by US Congressional District in Texas 

| Congressional District in Texas | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Retail Sales (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (\$2,303,994,225) | (\$1,141,275,718) | (\$698,616,252) | (\$396,618,711) | -12,623 |
| 2 | (\$2,061,840,704) | (\$975,151,311) | $(\$ 610,995,199)$ | (\$284,257,298) | -10,089 |
| 3 | (\$1,423,876,336) | $(\$ 704,391,585)$ | (\$432,704,169) | (\$202,898,108) | -7,325 |
| 4 | (\$1,974,685,581) | (\$998,745,598) | (\$625,647,395) | (\$389,653,480) | -11,888 |
| 5 | (\$2,126,374,486) | (\$1,046,140,329) | $(\$ 638,423,378)$ | (\$336,684,166) | -11,329 |
| 6 | (\$1,958,380,546) | $(\$ 955,572,800)$ | (\$589,530,986) | (\$311,226,243) | -10,374 |
| 7 | (\$1,902,543,766) | (\$850,302,241) | $(\$ 514,026,505)$ | (\$185,771,092) | -7,774 |
| 8 | (\$2,316,143,500) | (\$1,125,512,180) | (\$693,017,803) | (\$384,812,438) | -12,285 |
| 9 | (\$1,893,877,151) | (\$848,911,258) | $(\$ 513,155,572)$ | (\$192,630,762) | -7,835 |
| 10 | (\$1,641,435,965) | (\$785,555,155) | (\$482,229,111) | (\$223,497,984) | -8,052 |
| 11 | (\$2,169,309,063) | (\$1,067,906,901) | (\$643,763,345) | $(\$ 380,685,982)$ | -11,730 |
| 12 | (\$1,912,362,860) | (\$932,274,571) | (\$571,366,481) | $(\$ 292,738,550)$ | -9,974 |
| 13 | (\$2,036,223,119) | (\$1,021,262,850) | (\$619,230,269) | (\$356,510,222) | -11,163 |
| 14 | (\$2,141,784,338) | (\$1,002,688,064) | (\$614,746,887) | (\$350,357,821) | -10,849 |
| 15 | (\$1,277,195,476) | (\$656,258,190) | (\$405,443,332) | (\$243,693,100) | -7,657 |
| 16 | (\$1,720,890,317) | (\$826,686,065) | $(\$ 503,162,203)$ | (\$260,457,466) | -9,018 |
| 17 | (\$2,019,776,193) | (\$980,509,662) | (\$603,687,210) | (\$352,364,294) | -11,296 |
| 18 | (\$1,902,543,766) | (\$850,302,241) | $(\$ 514,026,505)$ | (\$185,771,092) | -7,774 |
| 19 | (\$1,838,512,347) | $(\$ 921,864,053)$ | (\$560,869,511) | (\$319,367,051) | -10,187 |
| 20 | (\$1,884,790,583) | (\$938,525,349) | (\$584,577,016) | (\$296,874,406) | -10,369 |
| 21 | (\$1,943,766,194) | (\$957,874,721) | (\$590,245,837) | $(\$ 321,128,397)$ | -10,729 |
| 22 | (\$1,841,878,031) | (\$838,770,842) | (\$509,439,910) | (\$227,991,807) | -8,215 |
| 23 | (\$1,669,171,240) | (\$836,712,464) | (\$517,670,669) | (\$286,664,915) | -9,463 |
| 24 | (\$1,711,043,124) | (\$825,821,774) | $(\$ 503,226,501)$ | (\$224,567,982) | -8,369 |
| 25 | (\$1,400,877,902) | $(\$ 716,334,245)$ | (\$444,945,118) | (\$240,347,417) | -8,040 |
| 26 | (\$1,705,844,096) | (\$827,686,662) | (\$510,275,903) | $(\$ 253,386,359)$ | -8,790 |
| 27 | (\$1,775,444,254) | $(\$ 844,387,567)$ | (\$517,643,898) | (\$283,045,871) | -9,218 |
| 28 | (\$1,206,949,538) | (\$614,044,096) | $(\$ 375,902,693)$ | $(\$ 231,552,446)$ | -7,083 |
| 29 | (\$1,902,543,766) | (\$850,302,241) | $(\$ 514,026,505)$ | (\$185,771,092) | -7,774 |
| 30 | (\$1,691,324,287) | $(\$ 808,791,463)$ | $(\$ 487,451,993)$ | (\$194,219,790) | -7,802 |
| 31 | (\$1,277,799,932) | $(\$ 674,281,665)$ | $(\$ 428,730,348)$ | (\$253,733,392) | -8,106 |
| 32 | (\$1,691,324,287) | $(\$ 808,791,463)$ | (\$487,451,993) | (\$194,219,790) | -7,802 |
| TOTAL | (\$58,324,506,975) | (\$28,233,635,323) | (\$17,306,230,498) | (\$8,843,499,525) | -300,981 |

NOTE: Allocations reflect best available evidence regarding mortality and industrial structure and composition of each area. In cases in which a county was part of more than one district, allocations are based on the percentage of the population residing in a district. This convention is adopted because of a lack of subcounty data sufficient for allocation purposes. In some instances, this approach will result in districts which reflect the same proportion of a large urban county reporting identical results.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Economic Impact of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) and Its Programs 



[^5]| The Cumulative Ten-Year Impact of All Direct Outlays for Operations and Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs by Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$164,973,264 | \$46,940,175 | \$31,968,866 | 554 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$3,473,138 | \$3,078,130 | \$1,141,592 | 16 |
| Coal Mining | \$16,525,316 | \$4,674,673 | \$4,925,989 | 36 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$124,525,934 | \$27,424,751 | \$12,648,281 | 67 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$3,618,265 | \$1,435,655 | \$843,961 | 10 |
| New Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$257,907,625 | \$138,376,052 | \$114,030,506 | 1,736 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$338,864,822 | \$87,794,464 | \$44,849,592 | 814 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$5,039,376 | \$1,050,718 | \$888,976 | 21 |
| Apparel | \$79,424,081 | \$43,891,131 | \$22,240,341 | 653 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$58,610,320 | \$25,910,693 | \$11,714,029 | 193 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$109,519,088 | \$51,528,824 | \$33,634,026 | 614 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$331,327,652 | \$51,026,386 | \$23,959,854 | 191 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$48,090,541 | \$20,854,340 | \$12,191,352 | 262 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$20,615,002 | \$7,223,376 | \$5,149,883 | 116 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$28,097,284 | \$15,885,505 | \$8,308,173 | 146 |
| Primary Metal | \$19,143,137 | \$5,127,831 | \$3,816,895 | 63 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$48,119,377 | \$17,297,996 | \$11,167,625 | 206 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$28,615,680 | \$11,312,141 | \$8,081,448 | 93 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$35,040,712 | \$18,184,674 | \$10,871,436 | 96 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$26,788,477 | \$5,662,018 | \$3,678,397 | 57 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$12,944,441 | \$5,344,973 | \$3,492,710 | 44 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$10,927,769 | \$4,384,846 | \$3,332,875 | 45 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$22,055,852 | \$8,594,450 | \$5,927,697 | 101 |
| Transportation | \$310,882,057 | \$205,235,231 | \$135,735,248 | 2,029 |
| Communication | \$215,597,022 | \$132,942,075 | \$56,757,222 | 549 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$478,883,405 | \$108,447,748 | \$47,323,676 | 220 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$307,678,664 | \$208,224,028 | \$120,063,833 | 1,453 |
| Retail Trade | \$924,081,966 | \$765,870,218 | \$457,965,913 | 13,479 |
| Finance | \$134,918,611 | \$73,044,099 | \$42,533,778 | 408 |
| Insurance | \$179,494,895 | \$107,678,736 | \$64,374,595 | 837 |
| Real Estate | \$1,305,454,873 | \$262,409,425 | \$42,279,856 | 401 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$93,486,306 | \$48,541,633 | \$31,844,969 | 857 |
| Personal Services | \$194,047,692 | \$118,597,181 | \$92,270,532 | 1,752 |
| Business Services | \$417,156,432 | \$255,045,865 | \$208,052,061 | 2,735 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$440,866,414 | \$258,240,536 | \$137,397,756 | 6,930 |
| Health Services | \$612,313,110 | \$435,098,131 | \$367,879,499 | 6,554 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$2,858,338,089 | \$1,779,857,813 | \$1,542,988,448 | 38,714 |
| Households | \$14,925,497 | \$14,925,497 | \$14,609,697 | 1,086 |
| Total | \$10,282,372,185 | \$5,377,162,016 | \$3,740,941,587 | 84,137 |

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## CPRIT Operations



SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group


SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## CPRIT Prevention and Screening

## The Annual Impact of Outlays for Prevention and Screening Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based on Actual Awards in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 1 0}\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right]$

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group
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## CPRIT Research

# The Annual Impact of Outlays for Research Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based on Actual Awards in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category 

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$12,860,310 | \$3,684,819 | \$2,509,566 | 42 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$272,473 | \$243,632 | \$90,359 | 1 |
| Coal Mining | \$1,318,495 | \$371,899 | \$391,895 | 3 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$9,826,072 | \$2,164,285 | \$998,165 | 5 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$278,044 | \$109,431 | \$64,329 | 1 |
| New Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$20,754,983 | \$11,135,995 | \$9,176,753 | 136 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$26,216,280 | \$6,796,941 | \$3,472,202 | 61 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$388,374 | \$80,511 | \$68,119 | 2 |
| Apparel | \$6,111,299 | \$3,377,621 | \$1,711,492 | 49 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$4,573,276 | \$2,023,383 | \$914,756 | 15 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$8,683,689 | \$4,083,015 | \$2,665,076 | 47 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$25,537,863 | \$3,856,160 | \$1,810,691 | 14 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$3,646,149 | \$1,580,556 | \$923,986 | 19 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$1,612,529 | \$565,491 | \$403,164 | 9 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$2,234,095 | \$1,260,935 | \$659,474 | 11 |
| Primary Metal | \$1,505,342 | \$402,738 | \$299,778 | 5 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$3,800,067 | \$1,368,162 | \$883,287 | 16 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$2,268,810 | \$895,140 | \$639,492 | 7 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$2,676,690 | \$1,384,056 | \$827,435 | 7 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$2,071,809 | \$439,845 | \$285,753 | 4 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$1,005,897 | \$413,697 | \$270,336 | 3 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$715,205 | \$288,485 | \$219,275 | 3 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$1,710,482 | \$667,366 | \$460,290 | 8 |
| Transportation | \$24,479,906 | \$16,157,267 | \$10,685,839 | 155 |
| Communication | \$16,948,898 | \$10,450,542 | \$4,461,672 | 41 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$38,225,520 | \$8,642,798 | \$3,771,486 | 17 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$23,830,351 | \$16,127,469 | \$9,299,242 | 109 |
| Retail Trade | \$74,183,633 | \$61,481,669 | \$36,764,073 | 1,010 |
| Finance | \$10,431,034 | \$5,650,871 | \$3,290,519 | 31 |
| Insurance | \$13,768,387 | \$8,261,268 | \$4,938,911 | 62 |
| Real Estate | \$104,141,996 | \$20,837,261 | \$3,357,334 | 31 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$7,418,037 | \$3,852,148 | \$2,527,142 | 65 |
| Personal Services | \$15,661,373 | \$9,577,955 | \$7,451,804 | 132 |
| Business Services | \$28,901,418 | \$17,785,407 | \$14,508,335 | 185 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$35,183,053 | \$20,608,237 | \$10,964,683 | 519 |
| Health Services | \$25,344,567 | \$17,738,590 | \$14,998,142 | 259 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$239,765,799 | \$149,606,684 | \$129,696,531 | 3,245 |
| Households | \$1,147,204 | \$1,147,204 | \$1,122,929 | 81 |
| Total | \$799,499,408 | \$415,119,536 | \$287,584,320 | 6,408 |

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

| The Cumulative Ten-Year Impact of Outlays for Research Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas <br> (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding by Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$152,250,890 | \$43,623,905 | \$29,710,304 | 497 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$3,225,759 | \$2,884,319 | \$1,069,746 | 14 |
| Coal Mining | \$15,609,420 | \$4,402,849 | \$4,639,574 | 33 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$116,329,097 | \$25,622,577 | \$11,817,099 | 61 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$3,291,713 | \$1,295,539 | \$761,578 | 9 |
| New Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$245,714,501 | \$131,837,033 | \$108,641,927 | 1,605 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$310,369,810 | \$80,467,752 | \$41,106,770 | 720 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$4,597,886 | \$953,158 | \$806,454 | 19 |
| Apparel | \$72,350,565 | \$39,987,050 | \$20,262,051 | 578 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$54,142,193 | \$23,954,461 | \$10,829,636 | 172 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$102,804,631 | \$48,338,076 | \$31,551,348 | 561 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$302,338,153 | \$45,652,381 | \$21,436,442 | 166 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$43,166,098 | \$18,711,922 | \$10,938,908 | 229 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$19,090,441 | \$6,694,746 | \$4,772,982 | 104 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$26,449,053 | \$14,927,977 | \$7,807,397 | 133 |
| Primary Metal | \$17,821,467 | \$4,767,942 | \$3,549,018 | 56 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$44,988,305 | \$16,197,419 | \$10,457,075 | 187 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$26,860,031 | \$10,597,405 | \$7,570,831 | 84 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$31,688,849 | \$16,385,588 | \$9,795,856 | 85 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$24,527,771 | \$5,207,251 | \$3,382,974 | 50 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$11,908,629 | \$4,897,679 | \$3,200,463 | 40 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$8,467,179 | \$3,415,327 | \$2,595,959 | 35 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$20,250,088 | \$7,900,825 | \$5,449,292 | 91 |
| Transportation | \$289,813,189 | \$191,282,965 | \$126,507,724 | 1,832 |
| Communication | \$200,654,939 | \$123,722,081 | \$52,820,926 | 489 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$452,545,028 | \$102,320,525 | \$44,649,946 | 198 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$282,123,227 | \$190,930,196 | \$110,092,053 | 1,289 |
| Retail Trade | \$878,246,643 | \$727,870,381 | \$435,243,218 | 11,952 |
| Finance | \$123,491,127 | \$66,899,646 | \$38,955,865 | 363 |
| Insurance | \$163,001,443 | \$97,803,665 | \$58,470,881 | 737 |
| Real Estate | \$1,232,918,284 | \$246,688,577 | \$39,746,876 | 370 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$87,820,796 | \$45,604,880 | \$29,918,378 | 764 |
| Personal Services | \$185,412,170 | \$113,391,686 | \$88,220,562 | 1,558 |
| Business Services | \$342,158,673 | \$210,558,226 | \$171,761,562 | 2,189 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$416,525,809 | \$243,977,201 | \$129,808,897 | 6,147 |
| Health Services | \$300,049,755 | \$210,003,969 | \$177,560,300 | 3,072 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$2,838,544,005 | \$1,771,166,517 | \$1,535,453,816 | 38,414 |
| Households | \$13,581,545 | \$13,581,545 | \$13,294,154 | 962 |
| Total | \$9,465,129,161 | \$4,914,525,240 | \$3,404,658,841 | 75,862 |

SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# Potential Economic Development and Social Benefits Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas 

# Economic Benefits of All Prevention and Research Activity 

## The Anticipated Gross Annual Benefits of All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas <br> (Based on Actual Operations and Awards in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$24,911,463 | \$7,625,842 | \$5,028,532 | 83 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$1,111,069 | \$551,593 | \$197,494 | 3 |
| Coal Mining | \$2,637,129 | \$747,236 | \$792,006 | 6 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$54,856,460 | \$18,690,066 | \$7,696,106 | 34 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$871,257 | \$373,081 | \$243,740 | 4 |
| New Construction | \$8,426,126 | \$3,986,195 | \$3,125,419 | 48 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$36,470,301 | \$19,971,848 | \$16,352,055 | 247 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$53,492,001 | \$13,677,578 | \$6,981,193 | 124 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$786,875 | \$174,875 | \$148,183 | 3 |
| Apparel | \$10,421,275 | \$5,757,882 | \$2,920,336 | 85 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$9,632,912 | \$4,234,256 | \$1,942,095 | 31 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$17,043,016 | \$8,510,971 | \$5,462,197 | 94 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$98,891,602 | \$26,507,310 | \$13,469,935 | 82 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$8,678,450 | \$3,746,033 | \$2,229,223 | 44 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$3,980,936 | \$1,422,812 | \$1,031,384 | 22 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$5,587,838 | \$3,102,243 | \$1,663,555 | 27 |
| Primary Metal | \$4,613,208 | \$1,276,198 | \$990,067 | 13 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$10,430,860 | \$4,146,715 | \$2,698,136 | 46 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$10,750,436 | \$4,089,958 | \$3,106,423 | 27 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$9,466,823 | \$5,008,350 | \$3,305,300 | 27 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$5,282,740 | \$1,330,297 | \$829,132 | 11 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$2,998,648 | \$1,394,536 | \$904,494 | 13 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$11,087,622 | \$4,589,265 | \$3,512,757 | 47 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$3,622,075 | \$1,359,674 | \$1,013,785 | 16 |
| Transportation | \$49,172,880 | \$32,597,812 | \$21,611,444 | 313 |
| Communication | \$40,707,560 | \$25,641,242 | \$11,063,298 | 104 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$95,005,847 | \$21,093,731 | \$9,338,297 | 37 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$58,396,489 | \$40,906,594 | \$23,679,577 | 279 |
| Retail Trade | \$188,108,393 | \$156,406,664 | \$93,573,742 | 2,634 |
| Finance | \$30,320,871 | \$16,035,134 | \$10,022,491 | 92 |
| Insurance | \$31,557,717 | \$19,686,186 | \$11,725,564 | 152 |
| Real Estate | \$219,355,503 | \$44,862,790 | \$7,257,020 | 71 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$19,873,824 | \$10,286,130 | \$6,804,682 | 169 |
| Personal Services | \$32,590,255 | \$20,096,061 | \$15,632,747 | 289 |
| Business Services | \$85,122,363 | \$55,577,292 | \$46,527,942 | 557 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$76,938,517 | \$45,375,371 | \$24,210,029 | 1,199 |
| Health Services | \$80,613,787 | \$58,561,046 | \$49,324,023 | 864 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$264,288,465 | \$160,556,576 | \$139,103,155 | 3,488 |
| Households | \$2,325,345 | \$2,325,345 | \$2,093,868 | 153 |
| Total | \$1,670,428,937 | \$852,282,791 | \$557,611,424 | 11,537 |

[^7]
## The Anticipated Gross Annual Benefits of All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Impact in Year 10 Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs by Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \\ \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

[^8]| The Anticipated Gross Cumulative Ten-Year Benefits of All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs By Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | $\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array}\right)$ | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$657,120,078 | \$213,088,676 | \$137,799,242 | 2,225 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$40,080,816 | \$16,288,885 | \$5,727,106 | 86 |
| Coal Mining | \$72,831,769 | \$20,663,048 | \$21,977,934 | 170 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$2,101,641,279 | \$756,111,346 | \$307,726,330 | 1,339 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$29,434,928 | \$12,904,061 | \$8,669,432 | 150 |
| New Construction | \$373,916,173 | \$176,890,660 | \$138,692,993 | 2,132 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$922,406,746 | \$512,828,900 | \$417,899,436 | 6,275 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$1,473,067,248 | \$373,216,924 | \$190,392,268 | 3,328 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$21,481,241 | \$4,977,656 | \$4,221,513 | 93 |
| Apparel | \$249,910,703 | \$138,066,905 | \$70,081,590 | 2,018 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$277,224,742 | \$121,572,469 | \$56,196,531 | 884 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$465,797,910 | \$241,386,857 | \$153,426,999 | 2,556 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$3,717,500,956 | \$1,138,744,686 | \$580,112,689 | 3,488 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$263,584,006 | \$113,334,817 | \$67,999,376 | 1,293 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$121,680,968 | \$43,864,972 | \$32,027,527 | 678 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$174,708,621 | \$96,514,519 | \$52,299,980 | 802 |
| Primary Metal | \$155,994,436 | \$43,409,256 | \$34,092,482 | 403 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$337,072,466 | \$138,844,265 | \$90,570,371 | 1,496 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$400,945,999 | \$151,508,245 | \$116,427,201 | 963 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$326,713,696 | \$173,802,942 | \$117,712,218 | 945 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$162,679,067 | \$43,855,836 | \$26,933,380 | 348 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$98,425,637 | \$47,598,069 | \$30,802,653 | 448 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$535,852,874 | \$222,630,603 | \$170,306,656 | 2,272 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$101,903,302 | \$37,437,689 | \$29,193,841 | 423 |
| Transportation | \$1,350,062,689 | \$897,542,106 | \$595,928,305 | 8,492 |
| Communication | \$1,231,030,996 | \$782,930,385 | \$339,415,926 | 3,136 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$2,932,611,356 | \$645,420,667 | \$287,571,081 | 1,079 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$1,780,100,664 | \$1,266,197,620 | \$734,204,257 | 8,579 |
| Retail Trade | \$5,862,188,618 | \$4,880,890,849 | \$2,920,727,065 | 80,874 |
| Finance | \$986,569,933 | \$517,272,134 | \$331,614,800 | 2,989 |
| Insurance | \$920,399,904 | \$585,605,000 | \$348,162,360 | 4,510 |
| Real Estate | \$6,219,207,375 | \$1,283,244,632 | \$208,029,950 | 2,054 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$631,212,851 | \$326,251,299 | \$216,544,960 | 5,210 |
| Personal Services | \$924,745,735 | \$573,051,654 | \$445,740,784 | 8,157 |
| Business Services | \$2,635,836,913 | \$1,769,235,832 | \$1,496,099,717 | 17,290 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$2,233,073,942 | \$1,321,710,097 | \$706,233,851 | 34,757 |
| Health Services | \$2,008,188,125 | \$1,484,576,247 | \$1,246,796,684 | 21,571 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$3,891,959,779 | \$2,241,803,808 | \$1,939,639,910 | 48,586 |
| Households | \$63,260,865 | \$63,260,865 | \$53,833,673 | 3,892 |
| Total | \$46,752,425,408 | \$23,478,535,479 | \$14,731,833,073 | 285,989 |

[^9]
# The Anticipated Net Annual Benefits of the All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas <br> (Based on Actual Operations and Awards in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category 

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$11,017,791 | \$3,671,485 | \$2,335,396 | 37 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$818,485 | \$292,022 | \$101,227 | 2 |
| Coal Mining | \$1,243,321 | \$353,000 | \$376,575 | 3 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$44,364,848 | \$16,379,470 | \$6,630,461 | 29 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$566,781 | \$252,353 | \$172,768 | 3 |
| New Construction | \$8,426,126 | \$3,986,195 | \$3,125,419 | 48 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$14,721,317 | \$8,303,168 | \$6,736,334 | 101 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$24,950,565 | \$6,282,907 | \$3,203,643 | 55 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$362,969 | \$86,494 | \$73,407 | 2 |
| Apparel | \$3,739,535 | \$2,065,431 | \$1,049,311 | 30 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$4,697,555 | \$2,052,311 | \$955,654 | 15 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$7,807,079 | \$4,165,109 | \$2,625,555 | 42 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$71,012,804 | \$22,219,097 | \$11,456,370 | 66 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$4,636,237 | \$1,993,270 | \$1,204,566 | 22 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$2,245,153 | \$814,530 | \$597,711 | 13 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$3,219,398 | \$1,763,252 | \$963,258 | 14 |
| Primary Metal | \$3,000,274 | \$844,116 | \$668,448 | 7 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$6,376,408 | \$2,689,010 | \$1,757,038 | 28 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$8,337,018 | \$3,135,852 | \$2,424,805 | 19 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$6,508,562 | \$3,472,678 | \$2,387,221 | 19 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$3,028,104 | \$853,572 | \$519,422 | 6 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$1,908,797 | \$944,657 | \$610,518 | 9 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$10,179,176 | \$4,224,611 | \$3,235,587 | 43 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$1,764,685 | \$635,901 | \$514,590 | 7 |
| Transportation | \$22,963,469 | \$15,296,725 | \$10,169,124 | 143 |
| Communication | \$22,524,393 | \$14,429,042 | \$6,276,452 | 57 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$54,599,826 | \$11,943,159 | \$5,345,234 | 19 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$32,494,408 | \$23,377,122 | \$13,571,927 | 157 |
| Retail Trade | \$110,106,842 | \$91,759,854 | \$54,917,016 | 1,503 |
| Finance | \$18,955,806 | \$9,882,171 | \$6,439,604 | 57 |
| Insurance | \$16,459,124 | \$10,628,518 | \$6,310,533 | 82 |
| Real Estate | \$109,287,785 | \$22,761,447 | \$3,696,014 | 37 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$11,986,095 | \$6,190,414 | \$4,117,752 | 97 |
| Personal Services | \$16,201,283 | \$10,078,980 | \$7,839,295 | 142 |
| Business Services | \$49,283,172 | \$33,691,507 | \$28,674,750 | 324 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$39,722,083 | \$23,575,631 | \$12,611,403 | 617 |
| Health Services | \$31,042,399 | \$23,360,702 | \$19,561,806 | 334 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$22,897,183 | \$10,238,875 | \$8,790,231 | 219 |
| Households | \$1,069,665 | \$1,069,665 | \$864,756 | 62 |
| Total | \$804,526,519 | \$399,764,306 | \$242,911,180 | 4,471 |

[^10]
# The Anticipated Net Annual Benefits of the All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Impact in Year 10 Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research <br> Programs by Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category 

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 \\ \text { Dollars) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (Permanent }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

[^11]| The Anticipated Net Cumulative Ten-Year Benefits of the All Prevention and Research Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs By Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$492,146,814 | \$166,148,501 | \$105,830,376 | 1,671 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$36,607,678 | \$13,210,754 | \$4,585,515 | 70 |
| Coal Mining | \$56,306,452 | \$15,988,375 | \$17,051,946 | 134 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$1,977,115,344 | \$728,686,595 | \$295,078,049 | 1,272 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$25,816,663 | \$11,468,407 | \$7,825,470 | 140 |
| New Construction | \$373,916,173 | \$176,890,660 | \$138,692,993 | 2,132 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$664,499,121 | \$374,452,848 | \$303,868,930 | 4,539 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$1,134,202,426 | \$285,422,460 | \$145,542,676 | 2,514 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$16,441,865 | \$3,926,939 | \$3,332,537 | 71 |
| Apparel | \$170,486,621 | \$94,175,774 | \$47,841,249 | 1,366 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$218,614,422 | \$95,661,776 | \$44,482,501 | 692 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$356,278,822 | \$189,858,033 | \$119,792,972 | 1,942 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$3,386,173,305 | \$1,087,718,300 | \$556,152,835 | 3,297 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$215,493,465 | \$92,480,476 | \$55,808,024 | 1,030 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$101,065,967 | \$36,641,596 | \$26,877,644 | 563 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$146,611,337 | \$80,629,013 | \$43,991,808 | 656 |
| Primary Metal | \$136,851,298 | \$38,281,425 | \$30,275,586 | 340 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$288,953,088 | \$121,546,269 | \$79,402,746 | 1,290 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$372,330,320 | \$140,196,104 | \$108,345,753 | 869 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$291,672,985 | \$155,618,268 | \$106,840,782 | 849 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$135,890,590 | \$38,193,818 | \$23,254,983 | 291 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$85,481,196 | \$42,253,096 | \$27,309,943 | 404 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$524,925,105 | \$218,245,757 | \$166,973,781 | 2,226 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$79,847,450 | \$28,843,238 | \$23,266,144 | 321 |
| Transportation | \$1,039,180,632 | \$692,306,875 | \$460,193,056 | 6,463 |
| Communication | \$1,015,433,974 | \$649,988,310 | \$282,658,704 | 2,586 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$2,453,727,951 | \$536,972,919 | \$240,247,405 | 859 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$1,472,422,000 | \$1,057,973,592 | \$614,140,424 | 7,127 |
| Retail Trade | \$4,938,106,652 | \$4,115,020,631 | \$2,462,761,152 | 67,395 |
| Finance | \$851,651,323 | \$444,228,035 | \$289,081,022 | 2,582 |
| Insurance | \$740,905,009 | \$477,926,264 | \$283,787,765 | 3,672 |
| Real Estate | \$4,913,752,502 | \$1,020,835,207 | \$165,750,094 | 1,652 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$537,726,545 | \$277,709,666 | \$184,699,991 | 4,353 |
| Personal Services | \$730,698,044 | \$454,454,473 | \$353,470,251 | 6,405 |
| Business Services | \$2,218,680,482 | \$1,514,189,966 | \$1,288,047,656 | 14,555 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$1,792,207,528 | \$1,063,469,561 | \$568,836,095 | 27,828 |
| Health Services | \$1,395,875,015 | \$1,049,478,117 | \$878,917,185 | 15,016 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$1,033,621,690 | \$461,945,996 | \$396,651,462 | 9,873 |
| Households | \$48,335,368 | \$48,335,368 | \$39,223,977 | 2,806 |
| Total | \$36,470,053,223 | \$18,101,373,463 | \$10,990,891,486 | 201,852 |

[^12]
## Enhanced Cancer Prevention

## The Anticipated Total Annual Benefits of Enhanced Cancer Prevention and Screening Services (Net Reduction in Treatment Cost, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Efforts of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based on Actual Funding Levels in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ (2010 ~ D o l l a r s)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dermanent }\end{array} \\ \text { (2019rs) }\end{array}\right)$

NOTE: Based on typical results of screening and prevention measures determined in various studies.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Anticipated Total Cumulative Ten-Year Benefits of Enhanced Cancer Prevention and Screening Services (Net Reduction in Treatment Cost, Morbidity, and Mortality) Associated with the Anticipated Efforts of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas <br> (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding by Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category 

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanen Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$27,665,101 | \$8,512,345 | \$5,366,971 | 84 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$2,039,631 | \$678,478 | \$233,181 | 4 |
| Coal Mining | \$2,869,002 | \$813,900 | \$869,641 | 7 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$112,906,870 | \$42,100,345 | \$17,008,343 | 73 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$1,258,949 | \$569,135 | \$398,239 | 8 |
| New Construction | \$21,967,982 | \$10,392,519 | \$8,148,364 | 125 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$34,688,908 | \$19,677,213 | \$15,938,911 | 238 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$56,172,764 | \$14,206,197 | \$7,241,650 | 125 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$836,230 | \$196,344 | \$166,713 | 4 |
| Apparel | \$8,256,359 | \$4,556,192 | \$2,315,798 | 66 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$8,908,131 | \$3,842,067 | \$1,809,499 | 28 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$17,121,331 | \$9,206,028 | \$5,766,243 | 91 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$107,908,536 | \$24,484,931 | \$14,164,745 | 50 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$8,879,748 | \$3,872,671 | \$2,366,429 | 41 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$5,381,478 | \$1,960,470 | \$1,442,018 | 30 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$7,161,237 | \$3,813,519 | \$2,101,559 | 30 |
| Primary Metal | \$6,601,864 | \$1,930,132 | \$1,541,318 | 16 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$14,653,313 | \$6,281,010 | \$4,109,803 | 66 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$20,957,059 | \$7,833,632 | \$6,077,495 | 48 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$16,031,631 | \$8,555,545 | \$5,925,966 | 47 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$7,396,259 | \$2,121,523 | \$1,286,733 | 16 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$4,721,110 | \$2,353,312 | \$1,520,125 | 23 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$2,468,631 | \$896,729 | \$745,465 | 9 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$4,095,108 | \$1,452,540 | \$1,199,979 | 16 |
| Transportation | \$53,241,726 | \$35,441,631 | \$23,576,484 | 330 |
| Communication | \$53,498,236 | \$34,433,475 | \$15,003,784 | 137 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$132,217,830 | \$28,840,838 | \$12,933,576 | 45 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$74,704,563 | \$54,176,588 | \$31,479,785 | 365 |
| Retail Trade | \$269,958,972 | \$225,059,661 | \$134,702,470 | 3,685 |
| Finance | \$45,977,514 | \$23,890,636 | \$15,697,957 | 140 |
| Insurance | \$39,453,243 | \$25,646,292 | \$15,218,658 | 197 |
| Real Estate | \$263,881,169 | \$55,798,889 | \$9,065,108 | 91 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$29,331,399 | \$15,151,293 | \$10,087,405 | 236 |
| Personal Services | \$38,375,020 | \$23,912,953 | \$18,598,632 | 338 |
| Business Services | \$118,066,235 | \$81,558,268 | \$69,636,032 | 778 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$93,859,817 | \$55,783,288 | \$29,856,734 | 1,466 |
| Health Services | \$74,901,117 | \$56,687,128 | \$47,434,438 | 809 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$53,929,395 | \$24,199,624 | \$20,754,790 | 516 |
| Households | \$2,503,365 | \$2,503,365 | \$1,975,180 | 141 |
| Total | \$1,844,846,833 | \$923,390,708 | \$563,766,220 | 10,521 |

NOTE: Based on typical results of screening and prevention measures determined in various studies.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## Returns on Research

# The Anticipated Annual Benefits of the Research and Related Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based on Actual Funding Levels in Fiscal Year 2010) Detailed Industrial Category 

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right]$

NOTE: Based on typical annual rate of return to health-related research, addition of four additional researchers per year, and standard patterns in spinoff companies from research outlays (fully adjusted for attrition).
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

| The Anticipated Annual Benefits of the Research and Related Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Impact in Year 10 Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs by Year 3) <br> Detailed Industrial Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product (2010 Dollars) | Personal Income (2010 Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$86,325,897 | \$29,297,348 | \$18,671,550 | 295 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$6,424,618 | \$2,329,177 | \$808,900 | 12 |
| Coal Mining | \$9,931,577 | \$2,820,240 | \$3,007,550 | 24 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$346,471,053 | \$127,604,967 | \$51,680,434 | 223 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$4,564,155 | \$2,025,676 | \$1,380,382 | 25 |
| New Construction | \$65,411,064 | \$30,944,386 | \$24,262,273 | 373 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$117,052,900 | \$65,936,557 | \$53,513,015 | 799 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$200,356,386 | \$50,406,693 | \$25,703,832 | 444 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$2,900,374 | \$693,347 | \$588,382 | 13 |
| Apparel | \$30,151,182 | \$16,656,179 | \$8,461,098 | 242 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$38,974,804 | \$17,065,082 | \$7,930,959 | 123 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$63,033,858 | \$33,574,941 | \$21,192,351 | 344 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$609,279,413 | \$197,606,432 | \$100,730,786 | 603 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$38,400,036 | \$16,468,136 | \$9,932,347 | 184 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$17,783,368 | \$6,445,634 | \$4,727,319 | 99 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$25,917,393 | \$14,276,486 | \$7,785,480 | 116 |
| Primary Metal | \$24,207,410 | \$6,756,042 | \$5,340,386 | 60 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$50,979,777 | \$21,422,537 | \$13,993,513 | 228 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$65,304,211 | \$24,600,127 | \$19,006,990 | 153 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$51,229,115 | \$27,332,231 | \$18,755,447 | 149 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$23,881,217 | \$6,704,189 | \$4,082,893 | 51 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$15,009,605 | \$7,415,544 | \$4,793,147 | 71 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$97,100,752 | \$40,395,239 | \$30,894,238 | 412 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$14,078,894 | \$5,090,677 | \$4,101,090 | 57 |
| Transportation | \$183,240,928 | \$122,081,192 | \$81,147,042 | 1,140 |
| Communication | \$178,779,837 | \$114,403,477 | \$49,744,802 | 455 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$431,462,504 | \$94,438,503 | \$42,247,239 | 151 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$259,771,715 | \$186,559,931 | \$108,289,951 | 1,257 |
| Retail Trade | \$867,595,048 | \$722,965,747 | \$432,679,581 | 11,841 |
| Finance | \$149,737,895 | \$78,121,489 | \$50,809,402 | 454 |
| Insurance | \$130,367,786 | \$84,058,151 | \$49,914,708 | 646 |
| Real Estate | \$864,198,311 | \$179,356,093 | \$29,120,569 | 290 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$94,487,395 | \$48,797,587 | \$32,452,490 | 765 |
| Personal Services | \$128,671,171 | \$80,017,968 | \$62,237,311 | 1,128 |
| Business Services | \$390,408,068 | \$266,260,678 | \$226,446,969 | 2,560 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$315,645,126 | \$187,282,768 | \$100,171,600 | 4,899 |
| Health Services | \$245,508,602 | \$184,514,417 | \$154,534,596 | 2,640 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$182,079,969 | \$81,357,020 | \$69,861,991 | 1,739 |
| Households | \$8,518,072 | \$8,518,072 | \$6,922,847 | 495 |
| Total | \$6,435,241,484 | \$3,192,600,962 | \$1,937,925,461 | 35,560 |

NOTE: Based on typical annual rate of return to health-related research, addition of four additional researchers per year, and standard patterns in spinoff companies from research outlays (fully adjusted for attrition).
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Anticipated Cumulative Ten-Year Benefits of the Research and Related Programs Associated with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (Based Upon Attaining Planned Levels of Funding for all Grant and Research Programs By Year 3) Detailed Industrial Category 

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

NOTE: Based on typical annual rate of return to health-related research, addition of four additional researchers per year, and standard patterns in spinoff companies from research outlays (fully adjusted for attrition).
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## Reduction in Cancer Incidence

# The Potential Annual Impact of a Substantial Reduction in Cancer Incidence as a Consequence of the Catalytic Effect Resulting from the Initiatives of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in Texas (as of 2035) Detailed Industrial Category 

| Category | Total Expenditures (2010 Dollars) | Gross Product $(2010$ Dollars $)$ | Personal Income $(2010$ Dollars) | Employment (Permanent Jobs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Products \& Services | \$259,785,338 | \$79,934,012 | \$50,397,801 | 788 |
| Forestry \& Fishery Products | \$19,152,873 | \$6,371,156 | \$2,189,654 | 35 |
| Coal Mining | \$26,940,971 | \$7,642,817 | \$8,166,245 | 65 |
| Crude Petroleum \& Natural Gas | \$1,060,236,485 | \$395,337,521 | \$159,714,516 | 686 |
| Miscellaneous Mining | \$11,821,985 | \$5,344,380 | \$3,739,610 | 71 |
| New Construction | \$206,287,324 | \$97,589,523 | \$76,516,098 | 1,176 |
| Maintenance \& Repair Construction | \$325,741,433 | \$184,776,168 | \$149,672,155 | 2,239 |
| Food Products \& Tobacco | \$527,482,636 | \$133,401,349 | \$68,001,717 | 1,171 |
| Textile Mill Products | \$7,852,499 | \$1,843,745 | \$1,565,496 | 33 |
| Apparel | \$77,530,210 | \$42,784,300 | \$21,746,187 | 621 |
| Paper \& Allied Products | \$83,650,578 | \$36,078,403 | \$16,991,850 | 262 |
| Printing \& Publishing | \$160,775,512 | \$86,447,943 | \$54,147,114 | 859 |
| Chemicals \& Petroleum Refining | \$1,013,300,308 | \$229,922,391 | \$133,012,099 | 473 |
| Rubber \& Leather Products | \$83,384,055 | \$36,365,790 | \$22,221,631 | 390 |
| Lumber Products \& Furniture | \$50,534,030 | \$18,409,529 | \$13,541,071 | 282 |
| Stone, Clay, \& Glass Products | \$67,246,614 | \$35,810,324 | \$19,734,405 | 284 |
| Primary Metal | \$61,993,902 | \$18,124,640 | \$14,473,532 | 153 |
| Fabricated Metal Products | \$137,599,926 | \$58,980,960 | \$38,592,538 | 618 |
| Machinery, Except Electrical | \$196,794,393 | \$73,560,644 | \$57,069,887 | 450 |
| Electric \& Electronic Equipment | \$150,542,831 | \$80,339,673 | \$55,646,969 | 439 |
| Motor Vehicles \& Equipment | \$69,453,552 | \$19,921,874 | \$12,082,888 | 150 |
| Transp. Equip., Exc. Motor Vehicles | \$44,332,938 | \$22,098,455 | \$14,274,521 | 213 |
| Instruments \& Related Products | \$23,181,339 | \$8,420,610 | \$7,000,189 | 86 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | \$38,454,554 | \$13,639,877 | \$11,268,240 | 151 |
| Transportation | \$499,959,129 | \$332,809,773 | \$221,391,738 | 3,095 |
| Communication | \$502,367,850 | \$323,342,826 | \$140,890,978 | 1,287 |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | \$1,241,573,407 | \$270,825,936 | \$121,450,969 | 425 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$701,502,955 | \$508,737,819 | \$295,606,602 | 3,426 |
| Retail Trade | \$2,535,012,715 | \$2,113,391,891 | \$1,264,905,075 | 34,606 |
| Finance | \$431,745,540 | \$224,341,743 | \$147,409,515 | 1,312 |
| Insurance | \$370,480,267 | \$240,827,984 | \$142,908,719 | 1,854 |
| Real Estate | \$2,477,939,932 | \$523,971,820 | \$85,124,650 | 853 |
| Hotels, Lodging Places, Amusements | \$275,432,478 | \$142,276,137 | \$94,724,397 | 2,218 |
| Personal Services | \$360,355,363 | \$224,551,310 | \$174,647,901 | 3,175 |
| Business Services | \$1,108,684,791 | \$765,861,732 | \$653,907,612 | 7,307 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$881,377,739 | \$523,825,314 | \$280,365,567 | 13,768 |
| Health Services | \$703,348,673 | \$532,312,703 | \$445,426,587 | 7,601 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$506,416,589 | \$227,243,247 | \$194,895,012 | 4,848 |
| Households | \$23,507,510 | \$23,507,510 | \$18,547,653 | 1,325 |
| Total | \$17,323,781,223 | \$8,670,973,829 | \$5,293,969,388 | 98,796 |

NOTE: This scenario assumes that the incidence of cancer in Texas over time is reduced to the average of current levels observed in the five states with the lowest incidence.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

# The Potential Annual Impact of a Substantial Reduction in Cancer Incidence as a Consequence of the Catalytic Effect Resulting from the Initiatives of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) on Business Activity in the United States (as of 2035) Detailed Industrial Category 

|  | Total <br> Expenditures | Gross <br> Product | Personal <br> Income | Employment <br> (2010 Dollars) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (2010 Dollars) | $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Dollars) |  |  |  |

NOTE: This scenario assumes that the incidence of cancer in the US over time is reduced to the average of current levels observed in the five states with the lowest incidence.
SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

## Texas as a Center of Biomedical Production

## The Potential Annual Incremental Impact Associated with Becoming a Major Center of Biomedical Production as a Partial Consequence of the Catalytic Effect Resulting from the Initiatives of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) and Other Initiatives on Business Activity in Texas (Scenario I* as of 2035)—Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$

[^13]
## The Potential Annual Incremental Impact Associated with Becoming a Major Center of Biomedical Production as a Partial Consequence of the Catalytic Effect Resulting from the Initiatives of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) and Other Initiatives on Business Activity in Texas (Scenario II* as of 2035)—Detailed Industrial Category

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\ \text { Expenditures }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Product }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Personal } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Employment } \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2010 Dollars) }\end{array}\right)$
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[^11]:    SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

[^12]:    SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

[^13]:    *This scenario assumes that Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of the US. Only incremental gains above baseline projections are included.
    SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

[^14]:    *This scenario assumes that Texas achieves a concentration in the biomedical industry (pharmaceuticals and medical equipment) by 2035 equivalent to that of California. Only incremental gains above baseline projections are included.
    SOURCE: US Multi-Regional Impact Assessment System, The Perryman Group

